Case		Entered 07/26/11 11:17:18 Desc
1 2 3 4 5	DIANE B. WEISSBURG [237136] 1842 Washington Way, #B Venice, CA 90291 Telephone: (310) 577-0871 Telecopier: (310) 577-0875 Attorney for Plaintiff/Judgment Cre	FILED JUL 2 6 2011 CLEAK, U.S. E. HKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY Deputy Clerk
6 7 8		ANKRUPTCY COURT
	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
9 10	IN RE:	Case No.: 9;11-BK-12680-RR
10	JAMES GROVER MATESON,	COMPLAINT TO DETERMINE
12	Chapter 7	DISCHARGEABILJTY OF DEBT
12	V.	•
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	JURISDICTION AND PARTIES	
19		brought to determine the dischargeability of
20		the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction
21		for (0), and 28 U.S.C. § 1334(b). Therefore
22		diction to enter a money judgment that is
23	nondischargeable in any bankruptcy proce	
24	2. At all times herein material BRI	EE WAKER LAMPLEY ("plaintiff"), is an
25		y, California, in the geographic jurisdiction
26	of the above-entitled Court.	
27	3. Defendant JAMES GROVER N	MATESON (collectively, "MATESON") is
28	an individual; MATESON is the debtor in	n chapter 7 case number 9;11-bk-12680-RR
	PETITION TO DETERMINE I	1 DISCHARGEABILITY OF DEBT

filed in the Santa Barbara Division of the above-entitled Court on or about June 6,
 2011. At all times herein material MATESON resides in the City of Santa Barbara,
 California, in the geographic jurisdiction of the above-entitled Court.

4

5

6

7

8

4. ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, (collectively "CRUTCHFIELD") is the debtor in chapter 7 case number 9;11-bk-12679-RR filed in the Santa Barbara Division of the above-entitled Court on or about June 6, 2011. At all times herein material CRUTCHFIELD resides in the City of Santa Barbara, California, in the geographic jurisdiction of the above-entitled Court.

9 5. IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT,
10 (collectively "IMVERSE ASIA") is a Corporation doing business in Santa Barbara
11 California. At all times herein material CRUTCHFIELD resides in the City of Santa
12 Barbara, California, in the geographic jurisdiction of the above-entitled Court.

13

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

6. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporate herein by reference, each and
every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-5, inclusive, hereinabove, as though set
forth in full.

7. Plaintiff sued MATESON, and additional Defendants, for Fraud and Breach
 of Written Contract, in Santa Barbara Superior Court action number 13440456
 entitled Bree Walker; v. IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD,
 PRESIDENT, ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON,
 DEFENDANT; and DOES 1-100. ("Superior Court action").

8. That Superior Court action was based on Defendants' ongoing "Ponzi
Scheme" and with Defendants' and each of them deliberately intending to defraud
Plaintiff by obtaining money from Plaintiff based on a personal loan to Defendants;
and Defendants' and each of them, had no intent to ever repay the loan.

9. On January 14, 2011, the first scheduled day of trial, Defendants and each
of them, entered into a delayed stipulated Court supervised judgment with Plaintiff.

10. The terms of the settlement agreement entered into by BREE WALKER
 LAMPLEY and IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT,
 ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT
 on January 14, 2011 was a Court supervised delayed money judgment for
 \$270,000.00 plus interest and was entered in favor of plaintiff, jointly and severally,
 and against defendants, and each of them.

11. The terms of the settlement entered into by BREE WALKER LAMPLEY
and IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, ALAN
CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT on
January 14, 2011, specifically required that the debt of \$270,000.00 was due and
payable to PLAINTIFF in 90 days, but not later than 180 days if certain conditions
were met. If the conditions were not met, the debt was immediately due and
payable April 18, 2011.

14 12. The terms of the settlement entered into by BREE WALKER LAMPLEY
15 and IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, ALAN
16 CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT on
17 January 14, 2011, required that the judgment against all Defendants, was to be joint
18 and several and the debt was not dischargeable in Bankruptcy Court pursuant to 11
19 U.S.C. 523, et al, based on the conduct of the Defendants.

13. Plaintiff's said judgment against JAMES GROVER MATESON,
IMVERSE ASIA, INC., AND ALAN CRUTCHFIELD in the Superior Court action
is unsatisfied; through July 25, 2011. JAMES GROVER MATESON, IMVERSE
ASIA, INC., AND ALAN CRUTCHFIELD judgment debt to plaintiff for principal,
pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, pre-judgment costs, and post-judgment
costs, totals \$270,000.00.

26 14. Plaintiff is informed and believe, and thereon allege, that JAMES
27 GROVER MATESON, IMVERSE ASIA, INC., AND ALAN CRUTCHFIELDS'
28 acts and conduct that led to the entry of said judgment against them, jointly and

1 severely in the Superior Court action were and are willful and malicious injury to the 2 person and/or property of each of the plaintiffs within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. 3 §523(a)(6), therefore JAMES GROVER MATESON, IMVERSE ASIA, INC., AND 4 ALAN CRUTCHFIELD said \$270,000.00 judgment debt to plaintiff is 5 nondischargeable in bankruptcy.

6

15. On June 7, 2011, PLAINTIFF requested the Court Honorable Thomas 7 Anderle, Department 5, Santa Barbara Superior Court, enter judgment against 8 defendants, jointly and severely for \$270,000.00.

9 16. Plaintiff was informed at that June 7, 2011 hearing that Defendants' 10 JAMES GROVER MATESON, AND ALAN CRUTCHFIELD filed for Bankruptcy 11 on June 6, 2011.

12 17. The terms of the settlement entered into by BREE WALKER LAMPLEY 13 and IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, ALAN 14 CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT on January 14, 2011 at Santa Barbara, California, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 15 "1" and incorporated herein by reference 16

18. The terms of the settlement entered into by BREE WALKER LAMPLEY 17 and IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, ALAN 18 CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT on 19 January 14, 2011 at Santa Barbara, California, was read into the Court Record, in 20 open Court, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "2" and incorporated herein by 21 22 reference.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff BREE WALKER LAMPLEY, prays for judgment 23 against, ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, 24 DEFENDANT, and each of them: 25

1. For this Court's order that the total principal, interest, and costs set forth in 26 the Judgment entered in favor of plaintiff and against defendant ALAN 27 CRUTCHFIELD, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT in Santa Barbara 28

1	Superior	Court	case	number	1340456,	\$270,000.00,	is	nondischargeable	in
2	bankrupte	ey;							

2. For this Court's order that all post-judgment interest on the said
\$270,000.00 Judgment, and all post-judgment costs incurred to enforce that
Judgment under the laws of California through the June 20, 2011 filing of his
Chapter 7 cases 911-bk-12679-RR, and 911-bk-12680-RR a total of \$270,000.00, is
nondischargeable in bankruptcy;

8 3. For post-judgment interest on \$270,000.00, at the federal maximum legal
9 rate from June 20, 2011 through entry of judgment herein, and for this Court's order
10 that all such sums are nondischargeable in bankruptcy;

4. For plaintiff's costs incurred herein, and for this Court's order that all such
sums are nondischargeable;

5. For entry of judgment in favor of BREE WALKER LAMPLEY and against
ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON,
DEFENDANT, and each of them:

Dated: July 26, 2011

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

III

111

WEISSBURG LAW FIRM DIANE

ATTORNEY FOR BREE WALKER LAMPLEY

PETITION TO DETERMINE DISCHARGEABILITY OF DEBT

Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Doc 1 Filed 07/26/11 Entered 07/26/11 11:17:18 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 16

IT IS ORDERED that an order shortening time for a hearing on Plaintiff's request for 1 2 the Court to Order Judgment for Plaintiff BREE WALKER LAMPLEY against Defendants 3 IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, 4 DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT, jointly and severally, pursuant to the 5 settlement agreement dated January 14, 2011, for \$247,000.00, plus attorney fees of \$22,000.00, 6 7 and costs in the amount of \$1,100.00, plus 10% interest is hereby granted. Judgment for Plaintiff 8 BREE WALKER LAMPLEY against shall be entered against Defendants IMVERSE ASIA INC., 9 RUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, 10 AND. PURSUAUT TO THE THU IT, KH 11 and severally pursuant to the January 14, 2011. settlement agreement. 12 13 UNE 27, 2011 14 Dated: 15 16 THOMAS P. ANDERLE JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 17  $\prime\prime\prime\prime$ THOMAS P ANDERLE 18 ///  $\parallel \parallel$ 19 2021 \* THE COURT REGARDES JURISAICTION AS TO 22 AEVENDANTS MATSON AND CLUTCHARED INHUNG BREAD ADVISED THAT THEY HAVE FILED BANKPUNTCY AUBERT THE COURT DID NOT SEE THAT ANY BRUDHENTS 23 24 25 NAVE BEEN FILEIT IN THIS CARE INDICATING THAT 26 WAS THE STATUS 27 Topolor 28 6/22/11 10 EX-PARTE AND MOTION FORR ORDER FOR JUDGMENT FOR BREE WALKER LAMPLEY, PLAINTIFF

### Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Doc 1 Filed 07/26/11 Entered 07/26/11 11:17:18 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 16

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 3 --000--4 BREE WALKER, ) } 5 Petitioner, ) 6 . -vs-Case No. 1340456 ) 7 IMVERSE ASIA INC et al, 8 . Respondents. ) 9 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SETTLEMENT PROCEEDINGS 11 BEFORE HON. COLLEEN K. STERNE, JUDGE 12 DEPARTMENT 5 SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA 13 Friday, January 14, 2011 14 APPEARANCES: 15 For the Petitioner: DIANE WEISSBURG, ESQ 16 17 For the Respondent: JAMES MC KEE, ESQ. 18 . 19 20 . . 21 SHELLEY HOUCHENS, CSR, Reported by: 22 Official Reporter CSR. No. 13227 23 24 25 26 27 28

#### Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Doc 1 Filed 07/26/11 Entered 07/26/11 11:17:18 Desc Main Document Page 8 of 16

1 SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JANUARY 14, 2011; 2 DEPARTMENT NO. 5 HON. COLLEEN K. STERNE, JUDGE 3 4 5 6 -000-7 8 9 THE COURT: Case number 11, 13440456 Bree 10 Walker versus Imverse Asia Inc et al. 11 MS. WEISSBURG: Yes, your Honor, we do have 12 a settlement thanks to the mediator. 13 THE COURT: Who will recite the terms of the 14 settlement? 15 MS. WEISSBURG: Diane Weissburg on behalf of 16 Bree Walker, who is present in court. 17 MR. MC KEE: James McKee on behalf of 18 Imverse Asia Inc and Alan Crutchfield and James Matteson, defendants. 19 20 THE COURT: Go ahead, please. 21 MS. WEISSBURG: Thank you, your Honor. I'm 22 going to talk slowly for your benefit. 23 Your Honor, settlement of all claims pending 24 in this case has been resolved. If all terms of the 25 settlement agreement are met, then the plaintiff's 26 causes of action will be dismissed with prejudice based 27 on the following terms: One, defendants in each of them 28 agree to pay to the plaintiff a total of \$247 plus

### Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Doc 1 Filed 07/26/11 Entered 07/26/11 11:17:18 Desc Main Document Page 9 of 16

1 accrued interest -- \$247,000 plus accrued interest, attorney's fees and costs. That sum shall be paid in 2 3 90 days from today's date. In the event that \$50,000 is 4 paid by these defendants during the 90-day period, 5 credit shall be given for that amount against the total 6 amount do you and it is agreed by the parties that the 7 date for filing any judgment will be stayed for an additional 30 days. Judgment will be joint in several 8 9 against all of defendants. In the event that the 10 balance due is not paid in 90 days plus the additional 11 30 days, if the \$50,000 payment is made, the judgment 12 for \$247,000 plus interest at the current legal rate of 13 10 percent attorney's fees and costs shall be filed and 14 shall become a judgment for full amount as set forth 15 here in jointly and severally against each defendant.

16 In the event that the defendants pay nothing 17 within the 90-day period judgment shall be entered under those terms and shall be filed by the plaintiff. This 18 19 judgment cannot be discharged in bankruptcy court 20 because of the conduct of these defendants. And each of 21 them, pursuant to a title 11 U.S. Code Section 523, 22 accept the terms of the settlement agreement is 23 confidential and shall remain so.

THE COURT: And is there any understanding of what the amount of the attorney's fees are, or is that subject to --

MS. WEISSBURG: It's subject to collection.Right now your Honor, the attorneys fees are

#### Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Doc 1 Filed 07/26/11 Entered 07/26/11 11:17:18 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 16

1 approximately \$22,000 since the inception of the case. 2 And then it would be 10 percent interest based on 3 statute in California from the date of the judgment instead of the date of the breach of the loan agreement. 4 5 THE COURT: Very well. Any other --6 MS. WEISSBURG: There would be costs 7 approximately -- right now I believe it's \$1100, the 8 original filings fees, service, things like that. 9 THE COURT: And Mr. McKee, anything else you 10 want to add? 11 MR. MC KEE: Well I don't think our costs 12 are -- no, nothing else. 13 THE COURT: Ms. Weissburg, . if you'd inquire 14 of your client please. 15 MS. WEISSBURG: Thank you. You are Bree 16 Walker. 17 MS. WALKER: Yes, I am. 18 MS. WEISSBURG: And you loaned the 19 defendants Allen Cruthfield James Matteson and Imverse 20 Asia \$247,000? 21 MS. WALKER: Correct. 22 MS. WEISSBURG: And do you agree to the 23 terms as I just recited them to the court? 24 MS. WALKER: I agree to these terms. THE COURT: And do you understand by 25 26 agreeing to these terms you'll never be able to come 27 back and seek any additional sum of money? 28 MS. WALKER: I understand.

### Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Doc 1 Filed 07/26/11 Entered 07/26/11 11:17:18 Desc Main Document Page 11 of 16

1 THE COURT: And you've had a chance to 2 discuss the matter with your attorney and she's 3 satisfied any questions that you have. 4 MS. WALKER: She has. 5 THE COURT: Very well. Mr. McKee, if you 6 would inquire please. 7 MR. MC KEE: Well, we -- . 8 THE COURT: Let me just ask --9 MR. MC KEE: We have discussed the terms of 10 the settlement and you agree, both of you, each of you 11 agree to the settlement? 12 MR. CRUTCHFIELD: Yes for ourselves and for 13 the company. 14 MR. MATTESON: I do agree. 15 THE COURT: It will be considered a 16 court-supervised settlement conditional in nature. Are 17 you going to put something in writing? 18 MS. WEISSBURG: Your Honor, I was going to 19 put this into an actual judgment, submit it to defense 20 counsel for his approval as to form, not content because 21 the content is on the record. And then submit it to the 22 Court for signature, your Honor, at the conclusion of 23 the time period as specified. 24 THE COURT: It will be --25 MS. WEISSBURG: It will be deferred until 26 that period. THE COURT: So all that will prepared is a 27 28 deferred judgement.

#### Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Doc 1 Filed 07/26/11 Entered 07/26/11 11:17:18 Desc Main Document Page 12 of 16

1 MS. WEISSBURG: Right. 2 THE COURT: And so words we're going to go ahead and put it on the case management calendar 3 120 days out. Because then we'll know dispositively 4 5 whether the 30-day extension applied. 6 MS. WEISSBURG: That's correct. 7 THE COURT: So I'm going to put it on the Court's case management calendar on Monday, June 6th, at 8 8:30 a.m. If the dismissal has been filed by that time, 9 10 then no one need appear. On the other hand if there are 11 issues, then the parties will need to be present. 12 THE CLERK: Shouldn't this be in department 13 3? 14 THE COURT: He's Tuesday. 15 THE CLERK: Yes. 16 THE COURT: So instead of the 6th of June, 17 it would be the 7th. So June 7th at 8:30 a.m. That's in 18 Judge Anderle's department. 19 MR. MC KEE: So we need to come back? 20 THE COURT: If you don't pay and a dismissal is not on file, you'll have to make that appearance. 21 Ιf 22 the dismissal has been filed --23 MR. MC KEE: On June 7th, okav. 24 THE COURT: -- then no one need come. 25 MR. MC KEE: All right. 26 THE COURT: And your trial date of 1/25 of 27 2011 and any other date expect for the CMC are vacated. 28 MS. WEISSBURG: Thank you, your Honor.

## Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Doc 1 Filed 07/26/11 Entered 07/26/11 11:17:18 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 16

·

# Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Doc 1 Filed 07/26/11 Entered 07/26/11 11:17:18 Desc Main Document Page 14 of 16

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	BREE WALKER,
4	Petitioner, ) -vs- ) Case No. 1340456
5	IMVERSE ASIA INC et al, ) Respondents. )
6	)
7	I, SHELLEY HOUCHENS, CSR, Official Reporter of
8	the above-entitled court, do hereby certify:
9	That I am a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the
10	State of California, duly licensed to practice; that I
11	did report in Stenotype oral proceedings had upon
12	hearing of the aforementioned cause at the time and
13	place hereinbefore set forth; that the foregoing pages
14	numbered 1 through 6, inclusive, constitute to the best
15	of my knowledge and belief a full, true, and correct
16	computer-aided transcription from my said shorthand
17	notes so taken for the date of Friday, January 14, 2011.
18	Dated at Santa Barbara, California, this 23rd day
19	of January, 2011.
20	
21	
22	•
23	·
24	CSR,
25	Official Reporter, CSR No. 13227
26	·
27	·
28	

.

FORM B104 (08/07)

(Instructions on Page 2)	ET (Court Use Only)
(11011001010 011 - 390 -)	ELLED
PLAINTIFFS ree Walker Lampley	James Grover Mateson JUL 2 6 2011
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.) Diane B. Weissburg, Esq 724 La Villa Marina, #J, Marina del Rey, CA 90292 310) 577-0871	ATTORNEYS (If Known) Richard Hall, Esq. POB 237, Auburn, CA 95604 (530) 888-7100
PARTY (Check One Box Only) □ Debtor □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin	PARTY (Check One Box Only) ☑ Debtor □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin
Ø Creditor □ Other □ Trustee	Creditor Other Trustee
on actual fraud cause of action. Settlement agreement in open co due to the conduct of the parties. Code Sections 11 U.S.C, 523,et	ment. for 270,000.00D. Debtors settlement agreement was based burt specifically precluded debt being discharge in Bankruptcy Court t seq.; 523(a)(6); 523(a)(2); and 523 (a)(4).
NATURE (Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as	E OF SUIT s 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)
FRBP 7001(1) - Recovery of Money/Property	FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability (continued)
11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property	61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support 68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury
12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference	63-Dischargeability - §523(a)(8), student loan
13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer	64-Dischargeability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support)
3. 14-Recovery of money/property - other	2 65-Dischargeability - other
FRBP 7001(2) Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien	FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief
21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property	71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay 72-Injunctive relief – other
FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property	
31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)	FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest 81-Subordination of claim or interest
FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge	FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment
	91-Declaratory judgment
FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation 51-Revocation of confirmation	FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action O1-Determination of removed claim or cause
FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability	Other
66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims	Other SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa et.seq.
62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud	02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case)
7-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement,	
(continued next column)	
Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law	Check if this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23
	Demand \$ 270,000.00
Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand & Elejectico

FORM B104 (08/07), page 2

2007 USBC, Central District of California

BANKRU	PTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY			
NAME OF DEBTOR		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.		
James Grover Mateson		9;11-bk-12680RR		
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PEN	DING DIVISIONAL OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE		
Central District	Santa Barbara	Hon. Robin L. Riblet		
	RELATED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (	IF ANY)		
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.		
Bree Waiker Lampley	Alan Crutchfield			
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY	IS PENDING DIVISIONAL OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE		
Central District	Santa Barbara	Hon. Robin L. Riblet		
SIGNATURE OF ALLORNEY (OR F	rehlenug			
DATE 7/25/11	PRINT NAME OF ATTORNE Diane B, Weissburg	PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF) Diane B, Weissburg		

#### INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also must complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendents. Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not presented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.