( Siginal

| Cifinal   |   |
|---|---|
| Attorney or Party Name, Address, Telephone & FAX Numbers and California State Bar Number Diane B. Weissburg (237136) 1842 Washington Way, Suite B, Venice, CA 90291 Telephone: (310) 577-0871 Facsimile: (310) 577-0875 dbw_law@msn.com | FILED SEP 3 0 2011  |
| Attorney for Bree Walker Lampley  |   |
| UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT<br>CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  | CTRINAL DISTRICT OF CALFORNIA<br>21 Bapty Stark   |
| In re:  |   |
| James Grover Mateson  |   |
| Debtor(s).  |   |
| Plaintiff(s). Bree Walker Lampley   | CHAPTER: 7 CASE NO.: 9:11-BK-12680-RR   |
| vs.   | ADVERSARY NO.: 9:11-AP-01252  |
| James Grover Mateson  Defendant(s).   | DATE: October 11, 2011<br>TIME: 11:00 am<br>PLACE: 1415 State Street, Santa Barbara, CA |

### **JOINT STATUS REPORT** LOCAL BANKRUPTCY RULE 7016-1(a)(2)

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

The parties submit the following JOINT STATUS REPORT in accordance with Local Bankruptcy Rule 7016-1(a)(2):

#### **PLEADINGS/SERVICE**: A.

| 1. | Have all parties been served?   | X        | Yes       |           | No           |
|----|---|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| 2. | Have all parties filed and served answers to the complaint/counter-complaints/etc.?                               | ×        | Yes       |           | No           |
| 3. | Have all motions addressed to the pleadings been resolved?  | X        | Yes       |           | No           |
| 4. | Have counsel met and conferred in compliance with Local Bankruptcy Rule 7026-1?                                   | ×        | Yes       |           | No           |
| 5. | If your answer to any of the four preceding questions is anything other that explain below (or on attached page): | n an und | qualified | "YES," t  | hen please   |
|    | Defendant James Mateson in Pro Per. Defendant Alan Crutchfield who has a c  | ompanio  | n BK case | e No. 126 | 679, is also |

in Pro Per. Adversity No. 9:11-AP-01253. Plaintiff's counsel sent the attached e-mails to both defendants to assist in completion of forms and attempt to resolve the matter at the CMC date.

(Continued on next page)

#### Doc 5 Filed 09/30/11 Entered 09/30/11 14:03:21 Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Page 2 of 40 Main Document

|                      | Joint Status Report - Page 2 | F 7016-1.1                   |
|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| In re                |                              | CHAPTER: 7                   |
| James Grover Mateson |                              | CASE NO.: 9:11-BK-12680-RR   |
|                      | Debtor(s).                   | ADVERSARY NO.: 9:11-AP-01252 |

#### **READINESS FOR TRIAL**: В.

When will you be ready for trial in this case? 1.

Plaintiff

Defendant

November 1, 2011

If your answer to the above is more than four (4) months after the summons issued in this case, give reasons 2. for further delay.

Plaintiff

**Defendant** 

Defendants are not willing to stipulate to trial at CMC

Allegations include actions in 3 separate corporations, & extensive discovery & investigation is required & because of defendants limited financial resources,

F 7016-1 1

When do you expect to complete your discovery efforts? 3.

Plaintiff

**Defendant** 

October 10, 2011

4. What additional discovery do you require to prepare for trial?

**Plaintiff** 

Defendant

Special Interrogatories and Requests for Admissions already served on both defendants. Responses due to Plaintiff September 28, 2011.

#### C. TRIAL TIME:

What is your estimate of the time required to present your side of the case at trial (including rebuttal stage if 1. applicable)?

**Plaintiff** 

Defendant

2 hours; Settlement judgment for fraud as to both defendants, and agreement by defendants and Counsel that judgment is not dischargeable in Bankruptcy pursuant to 11 USC 523, et seq., obtained 1/14/2011. ■

How many witnesses do you intend to call at trial (including opposing parties)? 2.

**Plaintiff** 

Defendant

3, Plaintiff Bree Walker Lampley and both defendants, James Mateson and Alan Crutchfield.

How many exhibits do you anticipate using at trial? 3.

Plaintiff

**Defendant** 

3 exhibits: Stipulated Judgments and transcript of Superior Court proceedings when both defendants and Counsel agreed to the judgment on 1/14/2011.

(Continued on next page)

# 

|       |                             | Joint Status Ro  | eport - <i>Page 3</i>     |              | F 7016-1.1                 |
|-------|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| In re |                             |  |                           | CHAPTER      | 2: 7                       |
| Jan   | nes Grov                    | ver Mateson  |                           | CASE NO      | :: 9:11-BK-12680-RR        |
|       |                             |  | Debtor(s).                | ADVERSA      | RY NO.: 9:11-AP-01252      |
|       |                             |  |                           |              |                            |
| D.    | PRE-                        | -TRIAL CONFERENCE:   |                           |              |                            |
|       | be sig                      | e-trial conference is usually conducted between a<br>gned by the court. [See Local Bankruptcy Rule 70°<br>opropriate in this case, please so note below, stat  | 16-1.] If you believe tha |              |                            |
|       |                             | <u>Plaintiff</u>   | <u>De</u>                 | fendant      |                            |
|       | Reas<br><u>origi</u><br>and | rial conference (is)/ (is not) requested. sons: Defendants pro per,want to re-litogate inal case. Defendants barred by ResJudicia Collateral Estoppel to deny judgment not hargeable by11 USC 523, et seq.,1/14/2011 | Reasons:                  |              | (is not) requested.        |
|       |                             | <u>Plaintiff</u>   | <u>De</u>                 | efendant     |                            |
|       | Pre-t                       | rial conference should be set after:   | Pre-trial conference      | e should be  | e set <u>after</u> :       |
|       | (date                       | Same day as CMC  | (date)                    |              |                            |
| Ε.    | SET                         | TLEMENT:   |                           |              |                            |
|       | 1.                          | What is the status of settlement efforts?  |                           |              |                            |
|       |                             | Defendants' refuse to pay 1/14/11 stipulated   | judgment for fraud \$27   | 70,000.11    | USC 523,et seq             |
|       | 2.                          | Has this dispute been formally mediated? If so, when?  | <b>X</b> Ye               | s 🗷          | No                         |
|       |                             | First day of trial settlement reached 1/14/11, not dischargeable by 11 USC 523, et seq. tra  |                           | ınsel. Stipı | ulated judgment for fraud, |
|       | 3.                          | Do you want this matter sent to mediation at I   | his time?                 |              |                            |
|       |                             | Plaintiff  | De                        | efendant     |                            |
|       |                             | □ Van V No   |                           |              | No                         |

(Continued on next page)

#### Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Doc 5 Filed 09/30/11 Entered 09/30/11 14:03:21 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 40

|                      | Joint Status Report - Page 4 | F 7016-1.1                   |
|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| In re                |                              | CHAPTER:7                    |
| James Grover Mateson |                              | CASE NO.: 9:11-BK-12680-RR   |
| cames creve, madeen  | Debtor(s).                   | ADVERSARY NO.: 9:11-AP-01252 |

#### F. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS/RECOMMENDATIONS RE TRIAL: (Use additional page if necessary.)

Plaintiff Comment: Defendants' want to have a trial on the original issues that were already disposed of.

Plaintiff explained to both defendants that the issue of the fraud and that judgment is not dischargeable has already been litigated by their acceptance of the settlement judgment on 01/14/2011 and placed on the record. That the agreement has a Res Judicita effect and that all prior issues have collateral estoppel effect. The stipulated judgment placed on the record clearly states that the Judgment is not dischargeable in Bankruptcy Court pursuant to 11 USC 523, et seq. based on defendants' conduct.

All parties were represented by Counsel at the first day of trial where the settlement was entered into by the parties and placed on the record by the Court. The transcript of that hearing is attached to this statement

| Respectfully su | ubmitted,          |               |  |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|--|
| Dated: 09272011 |                    | Dated:        |  |
| Weissburg La    |                    |               |  |
| Firm Name       | Diane B. Weissburg | Firm Name     |  |
| Ву:             | DIANE B. WEISSBURG | Ву:           |  |
| Name: Diane     | Weissburg, Esq.    | Name:         |  |
| Attorney for:   | Bree Waker Lampley | Attorney for: |  |

Main Document Page 5 of 40 F 7016-1.1

|  | Joint Status F  | Report - Page 5  | F 7016-1.1  |
|--|---|--|---|
| In re  |   |  | CHAPTER: 7  |
| James Grover Mates   | son   |  | CASE NO.: 9:11-BK-12680-RR  |
| Sames Store Males  |   | Debtor(s).   | ADVERSARY NO.: 9:11-AP-01252  |
|  | g this form to indicate service of a propo<br>o not generate an NEF because only or   |  |   |
|  | PROOF OF SERVI  | CE OF DOCUME   | NT  |
| I am over the age of<br>1842 Washington W                      | 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy ca<br>ay, Suite B, Venice, CA 90291   | se or adversary proceed  | ing. My business address is:  |
| A true and correct co  | py of the foregoing document described  |  | port with Transcript and e-mails served or was served (a) on the judge  |
| in chambers in the fo  | orm and manner required by LBR 5005-2   |  |   |
| By courier and U.S.  | Mail  |  |   |
| Order(s) and Local B the document. On                          | rmined that the following person(s) are o   | document will be served<br>to the CM/ECF docket f                                      | d by the court via NEF and hyperlink to<br>or this bankruptcy case or adversary   |
|  |   | ☐ Service info   | ormation continued on attached page   |
| On 9282011<br>bankruptcy case or a<br>Mail, first class, posta | . MAIL OR OVERNIGHT MAIL (indicate I served the following perdversary proceeding by placing a true are age prepaid, and/or with an overnight mailing to the judge will be completed no limited. | erson(s) and/or entity(ies<br>nd correct copy thereof in<br>il service addressed as fo | ) at the last known address(es) in this<br>a sealed envelope in the United States<br>Ilow. Listing the judge here constitutes |
| James Mateson and  | I Alan Crutchfield  |  |   |
|  |   | ☐ Service info   | ormation continued on attached page   |
| served): Pursuant to   |   | n <u>September 28, 2011</u><br>ented in writing to such ser                            | I served the following person(s) vice method) by facsimile transmission   |
|  |   | ☐ Service inf  | ormation continued on attached page   |
| I declare under pena   | alty of perjury under the laws of the Unite   |  |   |
| 09282011   | DIANE B. WEISSBURG  | bia  | re B. Weish   |
| Date   | Type Name   | Signatu  | re  |
|  |   |  |   |

### 

|                          | Joint Status Report - Page 6 | F /UID-1.                    |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| in re                    |                              | CHAPTER: 7                   |
| Bree Walker Lampley      |                              | CASE NO.: 9:11-BK-12680-RR   |
| <br>Bice walker Earnpiey | Debtor(s).                   | ADVERSARY NO.: 9:11-AP-01252 |

ADDITIONAL SERVICE INFORMATION (if needed):

F 7016-1.1

**ATTACHMENT 1** 

| 1  | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA       |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2  | FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA                 |  |  |
| 3  | 000   |  |  |
| 4  | BREE WALKER, )                                  |  |  |
| 5  | Petitioner, )                                   |  |  |
| 6  | -vs- ) Case No. 1340456                         |  |  |
| 7  | IMVERSE ASIA INC et al,                         |  |  |
| 8  | Respondents. )                                  |  |  |
| 9  | /   |  |  |
| 10 | REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SETTLEMENT PROCEEDINGS |  |  |
| 11 | BEFORE HON. COLLEEN K. STERNE, JUDGE            |  |  |
| 12 | DEPARTMENT 5<br>SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA       |  |  |
| 13 | Friday, January 14, 2011                        |  |  |
| 14 | APPEARANCES:                                    |  |  |
| 15 | For the Petitioner: DIANE WEISSBURG, ESQ        |  |  |
| 16 |   |  |  |
| 17 | For the Respondent: JAMES MC KEE, ESQ.          |  |  |
| 18 | FOI the Respondent.                             |  |  |
| 19 |   |  |  |
| 20 |   |  |  |
| 21 | Reported by: SHELLEY HOUCHENS, CSR,             |  |  |
| 22 | Official Reporter CSR. No. 13227                |  |  |
| 23 | Con. 1,0. 1322,                                 |  |  |
| 24 |   |  |  |
| 25 |   |  |  |
| 26 |   |  |  |
| 27 |   |  |  |
| 28 |   |  |  |
|    |   |  |  |

```
SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JANUARY 14, 2011;
1
2
3
    DEPARTMENT NO. 5
                           HON. COLLEEN K. STERNE, JUDGE
4
5
                              -000-
6
7
8
                THE COURT: Case number 11, 13440456 Bree
9
    Walker versus Imverse Asia Inc et al.
10
                MS. WEISSBURG: Yes, your Honor, we do have
11
    a settlement thanks to the mediator.
12
                THE COURT: Who will recite the terms of the
13
14
    settlement?
                MS. WEISSBURG: Diane Weissburg on behalf of
15
16
    Bree Walker, who is present in court.
                MR. MC KEE: James McKee on behalf of
17
    Imverse Asia Inc and Alan Crutchfield and James
18
    Matteson, defendants.
19
                THE COURT: Go ahead, please.
20
                MS. WEISSBURG: Thank you, your Honor. I'm
21
    going to talk slowly for your benefit.
22
                Your Honor, settlement of all claims pending
23
    in this case has been resolved. If all terms of the
24
    settlement agreement are met, then the plaintiff's
25
    causes of action will be dismissed with prejudice based
26
    on the following terms: One, defendants in each of them
27
    agree to pay to the plaintiff a total of $247 plus
28
```

#### Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Doc 5 Filed 09/30/11 Entered 09/30/11 14:03:21 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 40

- 1 accrued interest -- \$247,000 plus accrued interest,
- 2 attorney's fees and costs. That sum shall be paid in
- 3 90 days from today's date. In the event that \$50,000 is
- 4 paid by these defendants during the 90-day period,
- 5 credit shall be given for that amount against the total
- 6 amount do you and it is agreed by the parties that the
- 7 date for filing any judgment will be stayed for an
- 8 additional 30 days. Judgment will be joint in several
- 9 against all of defendants. In the event that the
- 10 balance due is not paid in 90 days plus the additional
- 11 30 days, if the \$50,000 payment is made, the judgment
- 12 for \$247,000 plus interest at the current legal rate of
- 13 10 percent attorney's fees and costs shall be filed and
- 14 shall become a judgment for full amount as set forth
- 15 here in jointly and severally against each defendant.
- In the event that the defendants pay nothing
- 17 within the 90-day period judgment shall be entered under
- 18 those terms and shall be filed by the plaintiff. This
- 19 judgment cannot be discharged in bankruptcy court
- 20 because of the conduct of these defendants. And each of
- 21 them, pursuant to a title 11 U.S. Code Section 523,
- 22 accept the terms of the settlement agreement is
- 23 confidential and shall remain so.
- THE COURT: And is there any understanding
- 25 of what the amount of the attorney's fees are, or is
- 26 that subject to --
- MS. WEISSBURG: It's subject to collection.
- 28 Right now your Honor, the attorneys fees are

#### Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Doc 5 Filed 09/30/11 Entered 09/30/11 14:03:21 Desc Main Document Page 11 of 40

- 1 approximately \$22,000 since the inception of the case.
- 2 And then it would be 10 percent interest based on
- 3 statute in California from the date of the judgment
- 4 instead of the date of the breach of the loan agreement.
- 5 THE COURT: Very well. Any other --
- 6 MS. WEISSBURG: There would be costs
- 7 approximately -- right now I believe it's \$1100, the
- 8 original filings fees, service, things like that.
- THE COURT: And Mr. McKee, anything else you
- 10 want to add?
- MR. MC KEE: Well I don't think our costs
- 12 are -- no, nothing else.
- THE COURT: Ms. Weissburg, if you'd inquire
- 14 of your client please.
- 15 MS. WEISSBURG: Thank you. You are Bree
- 16 Walker.
- MS. WALKER: Yes, I am.
- 18 MS. WEISSBURG: And you loaned the
- 19 defendants Allen Cruthfield James Matteson and Imverse
- 20 Asia \$247,000?
- MS. WALKER: Correct.
- MS. WEISSBURG: And do you agree to the
- 23 terms as I just recited them to the court?
- MS. WALKER: I agree to these terms.
- THE COURT: And do you understand by
- 26 agreeing to these terms you'll never be able to come
- 27 back and seek any additional sum of money?
- MS. WALKER: I understand.

- 1 THE COURT: And you've had a chance to
- 2 discuss the matter with your attorney and she's
- 3 satisfied any questions that you have.
- 4 MS. WALKER: She has.
- THE COURT: Very well. Mr. McKee, if you
- 6 would inquire please.
- 7 MR. MC KEE: Well, we --
- 8 THE COURT: Let me just ask --
- 9 MR. MC KEE: We have discussed the terms of
- 10 the settlement and you agree, both of you, each of you
- 11 agree to the settlement?
- MR. CRUTCHFIELD: Yes for ourselves and for
- 13 the company.
- MR. MATTESON: I do agree.
- THE COURT: It will be considered a
- 16 court-supervised settlement conditional in nature. Are
- 17 you going to put something in writing?
- MS. WEISSBURG: Your Honor, I was going to
- 19 put this into an actual judgment, submit it to defense
- 20 counsel for his approval as to form, not content because
- 21 the content is on the record. And then submit it to the
- 22 Court for signature, your Honor, at the conclusion of
- 23 the time period as specified.
- 24 THE COURT: It will be --
- MS. WEISSBURG: It will be deferred until
- 26 that period.
- THE COURT: So all that will prepared is a
- 28 deferred judgement.

#### Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Doc 5 Filed 09/30/11 Entered 09/30/11 14:03:21 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 40

Right.

MS. WEISSBURG:

1

2 THE COURT: And so words we're going to go 3 ahead and put it on the case management calendar 120 days out. Because then we'll know dispositively 4 whether the 30-day extension applied. 5 MS. WEISSBURG: That's correct. 6 THE COURT: So I'm going to put it on the 7 Court's case management calendar on Monday, June 6th, at 8 8:30 a.m. If the dismissal has been filed by that time, 9 then no one need appear. On the other hand if there are 10 issues, then the parties will need to be present. 11 THE CLERK: Shouldn't this be in department 12 13 3? THE COURT: He's Tuesday. 14 THE CLERK: Yes. 15 THE COURT: So instead of the 6th of June, 16 it would be the 7th. So June 7th at 8:30 a.m. That's in 17 Judge Anderle's department. 18 MR. MC KEE: So we need to come back? 19 THE COURT: If you don't pay and a dismissal 20 is not on file, you'll have to make that appearance. 21 the dismissal has been filed --22 MR. MC KEE: On June 7th, okay. 23 THE COURT: -- then no one need come. 24 MR. MC KEE: All right. 25 And your trial date of 1/25 of THE COURT: 26 2011 and any other date expect for the CMC are vacated. 27 MS. WEISSBURG: Thank you, your Honor. 28

# 

| 1  | MR. MC KEE: Thank you  | 1.            |        |
|----|------------------------|---------------|--------|
| 2  | (Proceedings in the ak | pove-entitled | matter |
| 3  | were concluded.)       |               |        |
| 4  | 000                    |               |        |
| 5  |                        |               |        |
| 6  |                        |               |        |
| 7  |                        |               |        |
| 8  |                        |               |        |
| 9  |                        |               |        |
| 10 |                        |               |        |
| 11 |                        |               |        |
| 12 |                        |               |        |
| 13 |                        |               |        |
| 14 |                        |               |        |
| 15 |                        |               |        |
| 16 |                        |               |        |
| 17 |                        |               |        |
| 18 |                        |               |        |
| 19 |                        |               |        |
| 20 |                        |               |        |
| 21 |                        |               |        |
| 22 |                        |               |        |
| 23 |                        |               |        |
| 24 |                        |               |        |
| 25 |                        |               |        |
| 26 |                        |               |        |
| 27 |                        |               |        |
| 28 |                        |               |        |

| 1           | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE  |
|-------------|---|
| 2           |   |
| 3<br>4<br>5 | BREE WALKER, ) Petitioner, ) -vs- ) Case No. 1340456 IMVERSE ASIA INC et al, ) Respondents. ) |
| 7           | I, SHELLEY HOUCHENS, CSR, Official Reporter of  |
| 8           | the above-entitled court, do hereby certify:  |
| 9           | That I am a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the   |
| LO          | State of California, duly licensed to practice; that I  |
| 11          | did report in Stenotype oral proceedings had upon   |
| 12          | hearing of the aforementioned cause at the time and   |
| 13          | place hereinbefore set forth; that the foregoing pages  |
| 14          | numbered 1 through 6, inclusive, constitute to the best                                       |
| 15          | of my knowledge and belief a full, true, and correct  |
| 16          | computer-aided transcription from my said shorthand   |
| 17          | notes so taken for the date of Friday, January 14, 2011.                                      |
| 18          | Dated at Santa Barbara, California, this 23rd day   |
| 19          | of January, 2011.   |
| 20          |   |
| 21          |   |
| 22          |   |
| 23          |   |
| 24          | CSR,  |
| 25          | Official Reporter, CSR No. 13227  |
| 26          |   |
| 27          |   |

## **ATTACHMENT 2**

DIANE B. WEISSBURG [237136]
Attorney at Law
4724 La Villa Marina #J
Marina del Rey, California 90292
Telephone: (310) 822-6638
Telecopier: (310) 822-6638

Attorney for Plaintiff

JUN 2.2 2011

BY TERRI CHAVEZ, Deputy Clark

### SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

### COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

BREE WALKER.

ν.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff.

IMVERSE ASIA, INC., et al

Defendants.

Case No.: 1340456

### PROPOSED ORDER

HONORABLE THOMAS P. ANDERLE

Dept. SB3

Ex-Parte Date: 06/22/11

Time: 8:15 a.m.

The motion of PLAINTIFF BREE WALKER LAMPLEY for an EX-PARTE Hearing for an Order to shorten time to move for an order to enter judgment is granted. The judgment shall be in accordance with the terms of the settlement entered into by BREE WALKER LAMPLEY and IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT on January 14, 2011 at Santa Barbara, California, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "1" and incorporated herein by reference was heard by the court on June 22, 2011. Plaintiff appeared by counsel Diane B. Weissburg; defendants appeared by Counsel Preston Marx, Esq.

The court, having considered the documents before it, having heard the arguments of counsel, and being fully advised, finds as follows:

IT IS ORDERED that an order shortening time for a hearing on Plaintiff's request for 1 2 the Court to Order Judgment for Plaintiff BREE WALKER LAMPLEY against Defendants 3 IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, 4 DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT, jointly and severally, pursuant to the 5 settlement agreement dated January 14, 2011, for \$247,000.00, plus attorney fees of \$22,000.00, 6 and costs in the amount of \$1,100.00, plus 10% interest is hereby granted. Judgment for Plaintiff 7 8 BREE WALKER LAMPLEY against shall be entered against Defendants IMVERSE ASIA INC., 9 <del>CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, DEF</del>E 10 PURSUAUT TO THE TAU 14, 201 jointly and severally pursuant to the January 14, 2011 11 settlement agreement. 12 13 xue 27, 2011 14 15 THOMAS P. ANDÉRLE 16 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 17 THOMAS P ANDERLE 18 /// 19 20 21 THE COURT RESERVES TURISMETTON AS TO ARTHURANTS MITSON AND CRUTCHINEOU HAVING BEEN ADVINED THAT THEY HAVE FICED BANKRUNTON ACREST THE COURT DU NOT SHE THAT ANY SOCIEMENTS 22 23 24 NAME BEEN FILED IN THIS CARE INDUSTRIAL THAT 25

Tarahari

26

27

28

| gammadali i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i  | EJ-001  |  | 1 <mark>0PY of Document Recorded</mark><br>12-Jun-20 <b>1</b> 1 2010-0036123   |
|--|---|--|--|
| ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORY takephone number!  Recording requested by and return to  DIANE Weighb  4724 La Villa M  MDR, CA 9029  310 822-6638  | <del>,</del>  | •  | das not been compared with<br>original<br>SANTA BARBARA COUNTY RECORDER  |
| STREET ADDRESS 1100 AA   | NTY OF Santa Barbura  |  | CON DECORDER (OF ONLY  |
| MAILING ADDRESS SUNTA  | Barbara, 493121   |  | FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY  |
| PLAINTIFF: Bree W  |   |  | CASE NUMBER  |
| DEFENDANT Imver  | Se Asia, IUC.   |  | 1340456  |
|  | OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL<br>SMALL CLAIMS                                   | Amended  | FOR COURT USE ONLY   |
| a. Judgment debtor's Name an   | dgment and represents the following: d last known address GIA T.DC; |  |  |
| 3463 ST  | the ST., # 207<br>bana, Ct 93/05                                    |  |  |
| <ul> <li>b. Driver's license no. [last 4]</li> <li>c. Social security no. [last 4]</li> <li>d. Summons or notice of enmailed to (name and add</li> </ul>   | digits]:<br>try of sister-state judgment was pers                   | Unknowr<br>Unknowr<br>onally served or                         |  |
| 2. Information on addition debtors is shown on post of the state of th | age 2.<br>nd address): 5<br>19124                                   | creditors is sh<br>Original abstr<br>a. Date.<br>b. Instrument | n additional judgment nown on page 2. act recorded in this county:  I No.:  SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR ATTORNEY)                      |
| 6. Total amount of judgment as 270, 100, 00.  7. All judgment creditors and case. B. a. Judgment entered on (dash. Renewal entered on (dash.)  | debtors are listed on this abstract.                                | is ende<br>a. Am   | : execution lien attachment lien orsed on the judgment as follows: ount. Sound address:  |
| 9. This judgment is an in  |   |  | peen ordered by the court. In ordered by the court effective until   |
|  | This abstract issued on (date):                                     | iha :  | tify that this is a true and correct abstract of udgment entered in this action. This doops of the judgment blattached ICER.  Deputy |
| Form Adopted for Mendatory Use<br>Judicial Council of Culfornia<br>EU-001 (Rev. January 1, 2008)   | ABSTRACT OF JU  | <br>JDGMENT—CIV<br>L CLAIMS                                    | Legal Page 1 of 2 Solutions Gade of Crys Producture 188 485 485, 485, 485, 485, 485, 485, 485  |

|   | AT-138, EJ-125,  |
|---|--|
| ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name state bar number, and address)  — DIANE B. WEISSBURG [237136]  4724 La Villa Marina #J   | FOR COURT USE ONLY   |
| Marina del Rey, CA 90292  | AUGERICH COURT A CALIFORNIA  |
| TELEPHONE NO. 310/822-6638 FAX NO.: 310/822-6638 ATTORNEY FOR (Name). Plaintiff   | JUN 2 7 2011   |
| NAME OF COURT Superior Court of California, County of Santa Barbara STREET ADDRESS 1100 Anacapa St.   | GARY MOLAIR, Executive Officer Fi  |
| Santa Barbara, CA 93121-1107  BRANCH NAME Anacapa Division  | TERRI CHAVEZ, Deputy Clerk   |
| PLAINTIFF: BREE WALKER  | Ä  |
| DEFENDANT IMVERSE ASIA, INC., et al.  | <u>Co</u>  |
| APPLICATION AND ORDER FOR APPEARANCE AND EXAMINATION  | 0938 NUMBER  |
| ✓ ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT ATTACHMENT (Third Person)  ✓ Judgment Debtor Third Person   | 1340456  |
| TO (name). Imverse Asia, Inc., by Alan Crutchfield, Officer  YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR personally before this court, or before a referee appear.   furnish information to aid in enforcement of a money judgment against your.  answer concerning property of the judgment debtor in your possession or continuident debtor.  | The state of the s |
| c answer concerning property of the defendant in your possession or control or a that is subject to attachment.  Date 7 26 11 Time 9 3 0 Dept. or Div. 37   |  |
| Date 7 Time. Dept. or Div.: 3  Address of court snown above is:   | Rm   |
| This order may be served by a sheriff, marshal, registered process served or the follow   | ing specially appointed person (name)  |
| TANE Weissburg Attorney for Manual Will   | A MARCE  |
| This order must be served not less than 10 days before the date s   | et for the examination.  |
| IMPORTANT NOTICES ON REVER  | RSE  |
| APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO APPEAR FOR EXAMINED Assignee of record Plaintiff who has a right to applies for an order requiring (name) Imverse Asia. Inc., by Alan Crutchfield.   | attach order   |
| to aid in enforcement of the money judgment or to answer concerning property or debt. The person to be examined is a  the judgment debtor.  |  |
| b. a third person (1) who has possession or centrol of property belonging to the procession of centrol of property belonging to the procession of the pro | icting this application under Code of Civil  |
| The person to be examined resides or has a place of business in this county or within 19.  This court is not the court in which the money judgment is entered or (attachment attachment. An affidavit supporting an application under Code of Civil Procedure's   | only) the court that issued the writ of  |
| The judgment debtor has been examined within the past 120 days. An affidavit ships attached   | owing good cause for another examination   |
| eclarerunder penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing  | is true and correct  |
| DIANE B. WEISSBURG  | <u>/                                    </u>   |
| Continued on reverse)   | -Sturik Turke de deolarant)  |
| Sea yeared in Machana A. A  | (1.55 mar.)  |

#### APPEARANCE OF JUDGMENT DEBTOR (ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT)

NOTICE TO JUDGMENT DEBTOR If you fail to appear at the time and place specified in this order, you may be subject to arrest and punishment for contempt of court, and the court may make an order requiring you to pay the reasonable attorney fees incurred by the judgment creditor in this proceeding.

# APPEARANCE OF A THIRD PERSON (ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT)

- (1) NOTICE TO PERSON SERVED If you fail to appear at the time and place specified in this order, you may be subject to arrest and punishment for contempt of court, and the court may make an order requiring you to pay the reasonable attorney fees incurred by the judgment creditor in this proceeding.
- (2) NOTICE TO JUDGMENT DEBTOR The person in whose favor the judgment was entered in this action claims that the person to be examined pursuant to this order has possession or control of property which is yours or owes you a debt. This property or debt is as follows (Describe the property or debt using typewritten capital letters):

If you claim that all or any portion of this property or debt is exempt from enforcement of the money judgment, you must file your exemption claim in writing with the court and have a copy personally served on the judgment creditor not later than three days before the date set for the examination. You must appear at the time and place set for the examination to establish your claim of exemption or your exemption may be waived.

#### APPEARANCE OF A THIRD PERSON (ATTACHMENT)

NOTICE TO PERSON SERVED If you fail to appear at the time and place specified in this order, you may be subject to arrest and punishment for contempt of court, and the court may make an order requiring you to pay the reasonable attorney fees incurred by the plaintiff in this proceeding.

# APPEARANCE OF A CORPORATION, PARTNERSHIP, ASSOCIATION, TRUST, OR OTHER ORGANIZATION

It is your duty to designate one or more of the following to appear and be examined: officers, directors, managing agents, or other persons who are familiar with your property and debts.

|  | SUBP-002  |  |
|--|---|--|
| ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY INclime, State disk number, and auditess)   | FOR COURT USE ONLY  |  |
| - DIANT WELDSburg (237136)   |   |  |
| 4724 Lavilla MARINOST  |   |  |
| MDD 1A GAZGZ   |   |  |
| 310 822-6638<br>TELEPHONE NO 2   |   |  |
| Cana / VIVa-   |   |  |
| ATTORNEY FOR (Name) DIEC (MC) RE   |   |  |
| NAME OF COURT SUPERIOR COURT, COUNTY OF SOUTH BOULDER STREET ADDRESS 1100 AND CORPA ST   |   |  |
| STREET ADDRESS 1100 AMACAPA ST   |   |  |
| CITY AND ZIP CODE. Ganta Barbara .CA. 93121  |   |  |
| BRANCH NAME. A VOICAPU DIVISION  |   |  |
| PLAINTIFF/ PETITIONER Bree Walker  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| DEFENDANT/ RESPONDENT I MVERSE ASIA, IUC.  | CASE N. MARCO.  |  |
| CIVIL SUBPOENA (DUCES TECUM) for Personal Appearance   | 1340456   |  |
| and Production of Documents and Things at Trial or Hearing AND DECLARATION   | 1210136   |  |
| THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALLEORNIA TO (name address and telephone nu  | mber of witness if known):  |  |
| Tulorce Asia INC. by Alan Crothere W. O.   | FILER   |  |
| 2412 STATEST # 207, 5B, A9310,5  | -   |  |
| THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. TO (name, address, and telephone number of the state of california. To (name, address, and telephone number of the state o | nd place shown in the box below   |  |
| UNLESS your appearance is excused as indicated in box 3b below or you make an item 4 below.  | agreement with the person named in  |  |
| a. Date. 7/26/11 Time: 9 30 Dept.: 3   | Div.: Room:   |  |
| a. Date. 7/26/11 Time: 9 am Dept.: 3 b. Address: 1100 ANGCAPG St., Sarta Bankova, a  | 1 93121 Room:   |  |
| b. radios.   |   |  |
| UNDER CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 1985.3 OR 1985.6 AND A MOTION TO QUASH OR AN OBJECTION HAS BEEN SERVED ON YOU, A COURT ORDER OR AGREEMENT OF THE PARTIES, WITNESSES, AND CONSUMER OR EMPLOYEE AFFECTED MUST BE OBTAINED BEFORE YOU ARE REQUIRED TO PRODUCE CONSUMER OR EMPLOYEE RECORDS.   |   |  |
| 3. YOU ARE (item a or b must be checked):  | antina na mana tua ay tha atlanhasi   |  |
| <ul> <li>a. X Ordered to appear in person and to produce the records described in the declar<br/>declaration or affidavit. The personal attendance of the custodian or other quality</li> </ul>  | ration on page two or the attached  |  |
| original records are required by this subpoena. The procedure authorized by Ev   | vidence Code sections 1560(b), 1561, and  |  |
| 1562 will not be deemed sufficient compliance with this subpoena.  |   |  |
| b. Not required to appear in person if you produce (i) the records described in the  | declaration on page two or the attached   |  |
| declaration or affidavit and (ii) a completed declaration of custodian of records  | in compliance with Evidence Code sections                                       |  |
| 1560, 1561, 1562, and 1271 (1) Place a copy of the records in an envelope (or declaration of the custodian with the records. Seal the envelope, (2) Attach a co  | r other wrapper), Enclose the original only of this subpoens to the envelope or |  |
| write on the envelope the case name and number; your name; and the date, tin   | ne, and place from item 1 in the box above.                                     |  |
| (3) Place this first envelope in an outer envelope, seal it, and mail it to the clerk  | of the court at the address in item 1. (4)                                      |  |
| Mail a copy of your declaration to the attorney or party listed at the top of this fo  | orm.  |  |
| 4. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE TIME OR DATE YOU ARE TO APPEAR, OR IF YOU WANT TO BE CERTAIN THAT YOUR PRESENCE IS REQUIRED, CONTACT THE FOLLOWING PERSON BEFORE THE DATE ON WHICH YOU ARE  |   |  |
| TO APPEAR:   | 510077-117C   |  |
| TO APPEAR: a. Name of subpoenaing party or attorney DIANE Weigsburg b. Telepi  | hone numberブル カンペー もちょう フ   |  |
| 5. Witness Fees: You are entitled to witness fees and mileage actually traveled both ways<br>at the time of service. You may request them before your scheduled appearance from the  | s, as provided by law, if you request them                                      |  |
| DISOBEDIENCE OF THIS SUBPOENA MAY BE PUNISHED AS CONTEMPT BY THIS COURT. YOU WILL ALSO BE LIABLE   |   |  |
| FOR THE SUM OF FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND ALL DAMAGES RESULTING FROM YOUR FAILURE TO OBEY.   |   |  |
| Date issued: 6/22/2011   | 1110  |  |
| Jacqueline Vazquez   | h W J   |  |
| (TNPE CALPRIET MINUE) SICN   | ATURE OF HEUSON/SS <del>((10 ) 10 HUS</del> LA                                  |  |
| Deputy Clerk   |   |  |
| (Declaration in support of subposha on reverse)  | (TIPLE: Page 1 of 3   |  |

WALKER v. IMVERSE ASIA, etc.; et al. Santa Barbara SC CASE NO. 1340456

#### EXHIBIT "1"

#### **DEFINITIONS**

- 1. "ALL" means and refers to each and every.
- 2. "IMVERSE ASIA, INC." means and refers to IMVERSE ASIA, INC., a corporation, and all owners, partners, shareholders, officers, directors, joint venturers, employees, employers, agents, accountants, attorneys (except attorneys of record in this action), representatives, predecessors, successors, assigns, and any person or entity acting under the direction or control of or on behalf of any of the foregoing.
  - 3. "YOU", "YOUR", and "YOURS" mean and refer to IMVERSE ASIA, INC...
- 4. "BUSINESS" means and includes any wholesale and/or retail for-profit entity and any not-for-profit entity (regardless of name, location, status, and legal form or format) that buys, barters, deposits, keeps, sells, stores, trades, and/or donates, any product and/or service.
- 5. "PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION" means and refers to January 1, 2008 through 8:30 a.m. on the day YOU are ordered to appear for examination as a judgment debtor and produce documents in Santa Barbara Superior Court action number 1340456 entitled *Bree Walker v. Imverse Asia, Inc., et al.*
- 6. "DOCUMENT" and "DOCUMENTS" mean and refer to writings as defined in *Evidence Code* §250, and include the original or a copy, and each duplicate (whether such duplicate is an original, a copy, a draft, or a final version), of handwriting, typewriting, printing, photocopying, photographing, facsimile, electronic mail (aka "e-mail"), tape, video, disk (including but not limited to computer disks of all kinds, and digital video disks), computer drive (includes but is not limited to hard drive, and portable drive, regardless of storage capacity and/or format), and every other means of recording upon any tangible thing and form of communicating or representation, including letters, words, pictures, sounds, or symbols, or combinations of them.
- 7. "RELATE TO" and "RELATED TO" mean and include of, about, concerning, describing, depicting, discussing, referring to, and commenting upon.

#### **DOCUMENTS AND THINGS TO BE PRODUCED**

- 1. ALL licenses issued to IMVERSE ASIA, INC. by any government (includes but is not limited to national, international, state, province, county, parish, township, city, town, village, prefecture, precinct, ward, agency, department, and/or district, and each political subdivision of any of the foregoing).
  - 2. ALL licenses issued to IMVERSE ASIA, INC. by any private entity.
  - 3. ALL licenses issued to IMVERSE ASIA, INC. by any person.
- 4. ALL permits issued to IMVERSE ASIA, INC. by any government (includes but is not limited to national, international, state, province, county, parish, township, city, town, village, prefecture, precinct, ward, agency, department, and/or district, and each political subdivision of any of the foregoing).

#### Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Doc 5 Filed 09/30/11 Entered 09/30/11 14:03:21 Desc Main Document Page 24 of 40

- 5. ALL permits issued to IMVERSE ASIA. INC. by any private entity.
- 6. ALL permits issued to IMVERSE ASIA, INC. by any person.
- 7. ALL DOCUMENTS for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION that RELATE TO ALL ventures undertaken by IMVERSE ASIA, INC. at any time.
- 8. ALL DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO ALL vehicles (including but not limited to automobiles, trucks, tractors, trailers, boats, airplanes, helicopters, and recreational vehicles of all types) registered to IMVERSE ASIA, INC. and/or regularly used by IMVERSE ASIA, INC.
- 9 ALL DOCUMENTS of title for ALL vehicles (including but not limited to automobiles, trucks, tractors, trailers, boats, airplanes, helicopters, and recreational vehicles of all types) IMVERSE ASIA, INC. owns, has any interest in, and/or regularly uses.
- 10. ALL DOCUMENTS of title for ALL real property which IMVERSE ASIA, INC. owns, has any financial interest in, and/or regularly uses.
- 11. ALL DOCUMENTS of title for ALL personal property which IMVERSE ASIA, INC. owns, has any financial interest in, and/or regularly uses.
- 12. ALL DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO ALL policies of insurance IMVERSE ASIA, INC. owns and/or has any financial interest in.
  - 13. ALL of YOUR checks and check registers for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 14. ALL DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO ALL accounts (includes but is not limited to personal accounts and business accounts) of all types (including but not limited to checking accounts, savings accounts, and certificates of deposit) in YOUR name at any financial institution (regardless of name, location, status, and legal form or format) for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 15. ALL DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO each BUSINESS in which YOU have any financial interest during the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
  - 16. ALL promissory notes (regardless of name and legal form or format) payable to YOU.
- 17. ALL DOCUMENTS (including but not limited to ledgers, invoices, and back-up DOCUMENTS) that RELATE TO ALL of YOUR accounts receivable for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 18. ALL DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO ALL debts, obligations, and/or ventures that provide for payment of money to YOU for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 17. ALL DOCUMENTS that depict describe and/or refer to ALL instruments of any kind in which YOU are named as a trustor, trustee, attorney-in-fact, devisee, legatee, remainder man, and/or beneficiary.
- 18. ALL DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO ALL securities (includes but is not limited to stocks, bonds of all kinds and descriptions, funds, royalties, licences, syndicates, and/or franchises) YOU own and/or have any financial interest in for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 19. ALL of YOUR federal tax returns (regardless of name and/or number includes but is not limited to returns, schedules, attachments—and transmittal DOCUMENTS) for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 20. ALL of YOUR California DE-3s and related transmittal DOCUMENTS (regardless of name and/or number) for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 21. ALL 1099s and W-2s issued by IMVERSE ASIA, INC. for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 22. ALL DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO ALL 1099s and W-2s issued by IMVERSE ASIA, INC. for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 23. ALL DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO ALL legal actions other than Bree Walker v. Inverse Asia. Inc., et al. wherein YOU are a party (including but not limited to plaintiff, petitioner, defendant, cross-defendant, respondent, appellant, appellee, and/or intervenor) who has a judgment (includes initial judgment and/or renewed judgment) in YOUR favor against any person and/or entity.
  - 24. ALL Accounts Payable ledgers of YOURS for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.

#### Case 9:11-ap-01252-RR Doc 5 Filed 09/30/11 Entered 09/30/11 14:03:21 Desc Main Document Page 25 of 40

- 25. ALL DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO each entry in YOUR Accounts Payable ledgers for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
  - 26. ALL of YOUR General ledgers for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 27. ALL DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO each entry in YOUR General ledgers for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 28. ALL DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO each fictitious business name (also known as "DBA") that YOU have any interest in for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
  - 29. ALL credit cards issued to IMVERSE ASIA, INC. for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 30. ALL DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO ALL credit cards issued to IMVERSE ASIA, INC. for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
  - 31. ALL credit cards IMVERSE ASIA, INC. uses during the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 32. ALL DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO ALL credit cards IMVERSE ASIA, INC. uses during the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
  - 33. ALL of YOUR stock ledgers (or, lists) for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 34. ALL DOCUMENTS that RELATE TO ALL of YOUR stock ledgers (or, lists) for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 35. ALL DOCUMENTS RELATED TO each policy of insurance in which YOU are named as an insured and/or beneficiary for the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 36. Articles of Incorporation (including but not limited to original and amended Articles) of IMVERSE ASIA, INC.
- 37. Minutes of each meeting (including but not limited to initial and ALL subsequent meetings) of ALL incorporators of IMVERSE ASIA, INC.
  - 38. Minutes of each general meeting of the Board of Directors of IMVERSE ASIA, INC.
  - 39. Minutes of each special meeting of the Board of Directors of IMVERSE ASIA, INC.
- 40. ALL DOCUMENTS RELATED TO ALL actions considered by the Board of Directors of IMVERSE ASIA, INC. on waiver of notice.
- 41. ALL DOCUMENTS RELATED TO ALL actions taken by the Board of Directors of IMVERSE ASIA, INC. by written consent without a meeting.
  - 42. Minutes of each annual meeting of shareholders of IMVERSE ASIA, INC.
  - 43. Minutes of each annual meeting of shareholders of IMVERSE ASIA, INC.
- 44. ALL DOCUMENTS that depict describe and/or refer to the name address and telephone number of ALL accountants, and ALL tax preparers YOU engaged and/or used during the PERIOD OF THE EXAMINATION.
- 45. ALL employer identification numbers issued to IMVERSE ASIA. INC. by any government (includes but is not limited to national, international, state, province, county, parish, township, city, town, village, prefecture, precinct, ward, agency, department, and/or district, and each political subdivision of any of the foregoing).

#### DIANE B. WEISSBURG

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1842 WASHINGTON WAY #B •VENICE, CALIFORNIA 90291 Telephone (310) 577-0871 Facsimile (310) 577-0875

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE:

July 2, 2011

Richard Hall, Esq.

Fax: (866) 305-1238

Preston Marx, Esq.

Fax: (805) 684-3975

SUBJECT: Walker v. Imverse Asia. et al. Santa Barbara Superior Court No. 1340456:

Crutchtield Bankruptcy No. 9:11-bk-12679-rr; and Mateson Bankruptcy No. 9:11 bk-12680-RR;

#### **Automatic Stay**

**PAGES** 

SENT:

10 (INCLUDING THIS PAGE)

[X] FOR YOUR FILES/INFORMATION

[X] PLEASE HANDLE

**MESSAGE OR INSTRUCTIONS:** 

#### Counsel:

Plaintiff, Bree Walker Lampley is in receipt of Automatic Stay as to Crutchfield Bankruptcy No. 9;11-bk-12679-rr; and Mateson Bankruptcy No. 9;11-bk-12680-RR. As you know, Inverse Asia has not filed for Bankruptcy, therefore that Order does not apply to Inverse Asia, Inc.

Plaintiff has obtained a Court Judgment in the amount of \$270,000.00 against Defendants and signed by the Court, Exhibit 1. Then that judgment was entered and Plaintiff filed an abstract of that judgment with the County Recorder's office. Exhibit 2.

Plaintiff has obtained a Civil Subpoena for Personal Appearance and Production of Documents, against Imverse Asia only, signed by the Honorable Thomas Anderle, Exhibit 3. Further, an Application and Order for personal appearance and examination against Imverse Asia only signed by the Clerk, was also obtained, Exhibit 4. That hearing is scheduled for July 26, 2011, Dept. 3, @ 9:30 a.m. in Santa Barbara Superior Court.

All documents were personally served on Alan Crutchfield, Officer of Imverse Asia, on July 1, 2011,  $(\hat{a}_i 8:07 \text{ a.m.})$ , by Jonathan Westwood, of my staff. The POS will be fax filed on July, 5, 2011.

Finally, be advised Plaintiff will file her Complaints as to both bankruptcy actions, to determine dischargeability of the debt with the bankruptcy court, after your first meeting of creditors.

Questions, please call.

Diane B. Weissburg, Esq.

ORIGINAL [] WILL [x] WILL NOT FOLLOW BY [x] MAIL [] EMAIL

IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES SENT, CONTACT DIANE WEISSBURG AT (310) 822-6638

THIS TRANSMISSION IS CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVILEGED. IT IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE RECIPIENT NAMED ABOVE, COPYING BY, DELIVERY TO, AND/OR USE BY, ANYONE EXCEPT BY THAT RECIPIENT OR THOSE RUSPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERY TO THE NAMED RECIPIENT, IS PROHIBITED SENDER RESTRYLS ALL RIGHTS, IF THIS TRANSMISSION IS RECEIVED IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY THE SENDER IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL OF ALL TRANSMITTED DOCUMENTS TO THE SENDER BY U.S. MAIL.

## **ATTACHMENT 3**

#### **Diane Weissburg**

From: "Diane Weissburg" < dbw law@msn.com>

To: "James Mateson" <jm@imverse.com>; "Alan Crutchfield" <alc@imverse.com>
Cc: "Bree Walker Lampley" <brewalker2263@gmail.com>; <dbw\_law@msn.com>

Sent: Monday, September 05, 2011 5:22 PM
Attach: Plaintiffs RFAJames.pdf; Plaintiffs RFAAlan.pdf

Subject: Fw: Adverse Action

Mr. Mateson and Mr. Crutchfield:

This e-mail contains Requests for Admissions that are due 30 days from service. Diane Weissburg, Esq.

---- Original Message ----- From: Diane Weissburg

To: <u>James Mateson</u>; <u>Diane Weissburg</u>
Cc: <u>Alan Crutchfield</u>; <u>Bree Walker Lampley</u>
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2011 12:51 PM

Subject: Re: Adverse Action

Mr. Mateson and Mr. Crutchfield:

This e-mail is to confirm that I contacted each of you on your cell phones today to address the items listed below. I invited each of you to respond to the points below as well as filing a joint statement, and a discussion regarding if a settlement was possible in this matter.

You each assured me that you would discuss this matter with each other and timely get back to me regarding the issues below.

Diane B. Weissburg, Esq.

---- Original Message ---From: <u>Diane Weissburg</u>
To: James <u>Mateson</u>

Cc: <u>Alan Crutchfield</u>; <u>Bree Walker Lampley</u> Sent: Saturday, August 27, 2011 4:53 PM

Subject: Adverse Action

Mr. Mateson and Mr. Crutchfield:

Your answer to Plaintiff's complaint was due August 25, 2011. Plaintiff did not receive Defendant Mateson and Crutchfield's answers until August 27, 2011. Therefore, Defendants' answers are defective and may be rejected by the Court.

Without waiving Defendants' late answers, pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Bankruptcy Rule 7026, a status conference has been set for October 11, 2011 in Room 201, 1415 State St., Santa Barbara, in which each of you are required to attend.

A joint Case Status Report from all parties is to be completed and forwarded to the Court by mail and opposing counsel at least fourteen (14) days prior to the conference, unless mandated by additional local rules. Further, if you fail to respond Plaintiff will submit her report seven (7) days before the hearing.

LEAD TRIAL COUNSEL are expected to participate in the conference. All participants in this conference shall have full authority and are expected to be prepared to discuss the following:

- (a) jurisdictional defects, if any;
- (b) the possibility of an amicable settlement;
- (c) alternative dispute resolution;

- (d) time limitations within which additional parties may be joined and pleadings may be amended, if necessary;
- (e) scheduling for remaining pretrial proceedings including discovery deadlines, filing of motions, hearings, and future pretrial conferences if deemed necessary;
- (f) scheduling a date for trial; and
- (g) any other appropriate matters which would facilitate the disposition of the case.

A Scheduling Order will be entered as a result of this conference.

Prior to attending the conference, participants are expected to have conferred with each other about each of the above-listed items. Plaintiff's counsel shall initiate the discussions, including discussion of settlement.

Additionally, if the trial is anticipated to take less than two (2) hours, the parties may stipulate to conduct the trial of the case on the date specified above, instead of holding a status conference. Such a stipulation must be lodged with the court at least two (2) court days before the date set forth above and is subject to court approval.

Therefore, since you are each representing yourselves, I am initiating this discussions as required.

Please advise dates and times, within the next week as to when you will be available by telephone to conduct the discussions as required. Further, please provide a telephone number that I may contact you.

Thank you, Diane B. Weissburg

---- Original Message -----

From: James Mateson
To: Diane Weissburg

Cc: Preston Marx; Alan Crutchfield Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2011 8:24 AM

Subject: Walker v. Imverse - Debtor Exam Production additional docs - 4 of 4

Email #4 of 4

Should you have any issue opening or accessing any of these attachments or emails, please contact me immediately so we can remedy that. Please review and direct any comments to Mr. Marx at his email address above, and kindly CC Alan and me that you received all four emails.

Sincerely,

Jim Mateson



James Mateson

#### **IMVERSE ASIA, INC.**

3463 State Street, Suite 207
Santa Barbara, CA 93105
(805) 882-1910 office | (808) 344-0534 cell
(805) 882-1920 fax | (877) 570-1440 efax
jm@imverse.com | http://www.imverse.com | http://www.hawaiiteleport.com

**Confidentiality Note:** This E-mail is intended only for the person or persons to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this E-mail or the information herein by or to anyone other than the intended recipients or their designated agents is prohibited. If you receive this E-mail in error, please immediately notify our company ("info@imverse.com") and destroy the original message and all copies thereof. Thank you for your cooperation.

#### Diane Weissburg

From:

"Diane Weissburg" <dbw\_law@msn.com>

To:

"Alan Crutchfield" <alc@imverse.com>; "James Mateson" <jm@imverse.com>; "Diane Weissburg"

<dbw law@msn.com>

Cc:

"Bree Walker Lampley" < breewalker2263@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, September 05, 2011 1:16 PM

Attach:

StipandOrderInverseBKALAN1.pdf; StipandOrderInverseBKJames1.pdf

Subject:

Re: Trial

Mr. Mateson and Mr. Crutchfield:

As I addressed in my previous e-mails, it is Plaintiff's position that each matter can be resolved in two hours or less as there are very few issues for the Court to adjudicate, and is ideal for the trial being held on the CMC date of October 11, 2011.

As you each know, "if the trial is anticipated to take less than two (2) hours, the parties may stipulate to conduct the trial of the case on the date specified above, instead of holding a status conference. Such a stipulation must be lodged with the court at least two (2) court days before the date set forth above and is subject to court approval." Attached is a stipulation for each of you to review and sign. Please advise each of your decisions by September 15, 2011. If not please advise your issues for trial as required below and each of your time estimates for trial.

Diane B. Weissburg, Esq.

---- Original Message -----

From: Diane Weissburg

To: Alan Crutchfield ; James Mateson

Cc: Bree Walker Lampley

Sent: Sunday, August 28, 2011 9:52 AM

Subject: NOTICE

Mr. Mateson and Mr. Crutchfield:

As required, I am providing you with notice of my upcoming vacation. Please do not schedule any court matters during that time.

Further, to assist you both, I am providing you with sample forms for the case status report. A separate form is required for each case number after our telephonic case status, or a joint report can be done with each of you and the plaintiff separately.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Diane Weissburg, Esq.

--- Original Message ----From: Diane Weissburg To: James Mateson

Cc: Alan Crutchfield ; Bree Walker Lampley Sent: Saturday, August 27, 2011 4:53 PM

Subject: Adverse Action

Mr. Mateson and Mr. Crutchfield:

Your answer to Plaintiff's complaint was due August 25, 2011. Plaintiff did not receive Defendant Mateson and Crutchfield's answers until August 27, 2011. Therefore, Defendants' answers are defective and may be rejected by the Court.

Without waiving Defendants' late answers, pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Bankruptcy Rule 7026, a status conference has been set for October 11, 2011 in Room 201, 1415 State St., Santa Barbara, in which each of you are required to attend.

A joint Case Status Report from all parties is to be completed and forwarded to the Court by mail and opposing counsel at least fourteen (14) days prior to the conference, unless mandated by additional local rules. Further, if you fail to respond Plaintiff will submit her report seven (7) days before the hearing.

LEAD TRIAL COUNSEL are expected to participate in the conference. All participants in this conference shall have full authority and are expected to be prepared to discuss the following:

- (a) jurisdictional defects, if any;
- (b) the possibility of an amicable settlement;
- (c) alternative dispute resolution;
- (d) time limitations within which additional parties may be joined and pleadings may be amended, if necessary;
- (e) scheduling for remaining pretrial proceedings including discovery deadlines, filing of motions, hearings, and future pretrial conferences if deemed necessary;
- (f) scheduling a date for trial; and
- (g) any other appropriate matters which would facilitate the disposition of the case.

A Scheduling Order will be entered as a result of this conference.

Prior to attending the conference, participants are expected to have conferred with each other about each of the above-listed items. Plaintiff's counsel shall initiate the discussions, including discussion of settlement.

Additionally, if the trial is anticipated to take less than two (2) hours, the parties may stipulate to conduct the trial of the case on the date specified above, instead of holding a status conference. Such a stipulation must be lodged with the court at least two (2) court days before the date set forth above and is subject to court approval. Therefore, since you are each representing yourselves, I am initiating this discussions as required.

Please advise dates and times, within the next week as to when you will be available by telephone to conduct the discussions as required. Further, please provide a telephone number that I may contact you.

Thank you, Diane B. Weissburg

---- Original Message -----

From: <u>James Mateson</u>
To: <u>Diane Weissburg</u>

Cc: <u>Preston Marx</u>; <u>Alan Crutchfield</u> Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2011 8:24 AM

Subject: Walker v. Imverse - Debtor Exam Production additional docs - 4 of 4

Email #4 of 4

Should you have any issue opening or accessing any of these attachments or emails, please contact me immediately so we can remedy that. Please review and direct any comments to Mr. Marx at his email address above, and kindly CC Alan and me that you received all four emails.

Sincerely,

Jim Mateson



#### **James Mateson**

IMVERSE ASIA, INC.
3463 State Street, Suite 207
Santa Barbara, CA 93105
(805) 882-1910 office | (808) 344-0534 cell
(805) 882-1920 fax | (877) 570-1440 efax
im@imverse.com | http://www.imverse.com | http://www.hawaiiteleport.com

Confidentiality Note: This E-mail is intended only for the person or persons to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this E-mail or the information herein by or to anyone other than the intended recipients or their designated agents is prohibited. If you receive this E-mail in error, please immediately notify our company ("info@imverse.com") and destroy the original message and all copies thereof. Thank you for your cooperation.

## **ATTACHMENT 4**

#### Diane Weissburg

From: "Diane Weissburg" < dbw\_law@msn.com>

To: "Alan Crutchfield" <alc@imverse.com>; "James Mateson" <jm@imverse.com>; "Diane Weissburg"

<dbw\_law@msn.com>

Sent: Monday, September 05, 2011 1:16 PM

Attach: StipandOrderInverseBKALAN1.pdf; StipandOrderInverseBKJames1.pdf

Subject: Re: Trial

Mr. Mateson and Mr. Crutchfield:

As I addressed in my previous e-mails, it is Plaintiff's position that each matter can be resolved in two hours or less as there are very few issues for the Court to adjudicate, and is ideal for the trial being held on the CMC date of October 11, 2011.

As you each know, "if the trial is anticipated to take less than two (2) hours, the parties may stipulate to conduct the trial of the case on the date specified above, instead of holding a status conference. Such a stipulation must be lodged with the court at least two (2) court days before the date set forth above and is subject to court approval."

Attached is a stipulation for each of you to review and sign. Please advise each of your decisions by September 15, 2011. If not please advise your issues for trial as required below and each of your time estimates for trial.

Diane B. Weissburg, Esq.

---- Original Message -----

From: Diane Weissburg

To: Alan Crutchfield ; James Mateson

Cc: Bree Walker Lampley

Sent: Sunday, August 28, 2011 9:52 AM

Subject: NOTICE

Mr. Mateson and Mr. Crutchfield:

As required, I am providing you with notice of my upcoming vacation. Please do not schedule any court matters during that time.

Further, to assist you both, I am providing you with sample forms for the case status report. A separate form is required for each case number after our telephonic case status, or a joint report can be done with each of you and the plaintiff separately.

If you have any questions, please contact me. Diane Weissburg, Esq.

---- Original Message ---From: Diane Weissburg
To: James Mateson

Cc: Alan Crutchfield; Bree Walker Lampley Sent: Saturday, August 27, 2011 4:53 PM

Subject: Adverse Action

Mr. Mateson and Mr. Crutchfield:

Your answer to Plaintiff's complaint was due August 25, 2011. Plaintiff did not receive Defendant Mateson and Crutchfield's answers until August 27, 2011. Therefore, Defendants' answers are defective and may be rejected by the Court.

Without waiving Defendants' late answers, pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Bankruptcy Rule 7026, a status conference has been set for October 11, 2011 in Room 201, 1415 State St., Santa Barbara, in which each of you are required to attend.

A joint Case Status Report from all parties is to be completed and forwarded to the Court by mail and opposing counsel at least fourteen (14) days prior to the conference, unless mandated by additional local rules. Further, if you fail to respond Plaintiff will submit her report seven (7) days before the hearing.

LEAD TRIAL COUNSEL are expected to participate in the conference. All participants in this conference shall have full authority and are expected to be prepared to discuss the following:

- (a) jurisdictional defects, if any;
- (b) the possibility of an amicable settlement;
- (c) alternative dispute resolution;
- (d) time limitations within which additional parties may be joined and pleadings may be amended, if necessary;
- (e) scheduling for remaining pretrial proceedings including discovery deadlines, filing of motions, hearings, and future pretrial conferences if deemed necessary;
- (f) scheduling a date for trial; and
- (g) any other appropriate matters which would facilitate the disposition of the case.

A Scheduling Order will be entered as a result of this conference.

Prior to attending the conference, participants are expected to have conferred with each other about each of the above-listed items. Plaintiff's counsel shall initiate the discussions, including discussion of settlement.

Additionally, if the trial is anticipated to take less than two (2) hours, the parties may stipulate to conduct the trial of the case on the date specified above, instead of holding a status conference. Such a stipulation must be lodged with the court at least two (2) court days before the date set forth above and is subject to court approval. Therefore, since you are each representing yourselves, I am initiating this discussions as required.

Please advise dates and times, within the next week as to when you will be available by telephone to conduct the discussions as required. Further, please provide a telephone number that I may contact you.

Thank you, Diane B. Weissburg

---- Original Message -----

From: <u>James Mateson</u> **To:** Diane <u>Weissburg</u>

Cc: Preston Marx; Alan Crutchfield Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2011 8:24 AM

Subject: Walker v. Imverse - Debtor Exam Production additional docs - 4 of 4

Email #4 of 4

Should you have any issue opening or accessing any of these attachments or emails, please contact me immediately so we can remedy that. Please review and direct any comments to Mr. Marx at his email address above, and kindly CC Alan and me that you received all four emails.

Sincerely,

Jim Mateson



#### **James Mateson**

IMVERSE ASIA, INC.
3463 State Street, Suite 207
Santa Barbara, CA 93105
(805) 882-1910 office | (808) 344-0534 cell
(805) 882-1920 fax | (877) 570-1440 efax
||m@imverse.com | http://www.imverse.com | http://www.hawaiiteleport.com

**Confidentiality Note:** This E-mail is intended only for the person or persons to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this E-mail or the information herein by or to anyone other than the intended recipients or their designated agents is prohibited. If you receive this E-mail in error, please immediately notify our company ("info@imverse.com") and destroy the original message and all copies thereof. Thank you for your cooperation.

## **ATTACHMENT 5**

#### Diane Weissburg

From: "Diane Weissburg" <dbw\_law@msn.com>

To: "James Mateson" <im@imverse.com>; "Alan Crutchfield" <alc@imverse.com>

Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2011 10:51 AM

Attach: 1\_Joint\_Status\_Report\_JM\_rev2\_open.pdf; 1\_Joint\_Status\_Report\_JM\_rev2\_locked.pdf;

1\_Joint\_Status\_Report\_ALC\_rev2\_open.pdf; 1\_Joint\_Status\_Report\_ALC\_rev2\_locked.pdf

Subject: Fw: Joint Status Report docs from Mateson, Crutchfield

James, The Open files are blank. Who are your seven witnesses and what discovery is relevant to the subject of the judgment based on fraud?

As I explained to you on the telephone last night, the issue of the fraud has already been litigated by your acceptance of the judgment. This is Res Judicita and the issue has collateral estoppel effect. See below:

The doctrine of <u>res judicata</u> prevents a litigant from getting yet another day in court after the first lawsuit is concluded by giving a different reason than he gave in the first for recovery of damages for the same invasion of his right. The rule provides that when a court of competent jurisdiction has entered a final <u>judgment</u> on the merits of a cause of action, the parties to the suit and their privies are bound "not only as to every matter which was offered and received to sustain or defeat the claim or demand, but as to any other admissible matter which might have been offered for that purpose." A final judgment on the merits bars further claims by the same parties based on the same <u>cause of action</u>.

Res judicata prevents a plaintiff from suing on a claim that already has been decided and also prevents a defendant from raising any new defense to defeat the enforcement of an earlier judgment. It also precludes relitigation of any issue, regardless of whether the second action is on the same claim as the first one, if that particular issue actually was contested and decided in the first action. (Friedenthal § 14.1) Former adjudication is an analogue of the criminal law concept of double jeopardy.

Diane Weissburg, Esq.

---- Original Message -----

From: James Mateson
To: Diane Weissburg
Cc: Alan Crutchfield

Sent: Monday, September 26, 2011 4:37 PM

Subject: Joint Status Report docs from Mateson, Crutchfield

Dear Ms. Weissburg,

Please receive the attached two PDF files for each defendant, James Mateson & Alan Crutchfield. Both the 'locked' and 'open' versions have the same content. One is saved so it can be accessed and edited (open), the

other is hard saved as a closed PDF (locked) file, in case you have any trouble accessing the editable version.

Contrary to what you told me on our call, I found the PDF files from the court to be editable upon emailing. I believe that it can depend on what platform/computer you are using. Hopefully they will work for you to cut and paste to and from.

Once you fill in your part of this document we can then exchange locked PDF files to then each sign. We can then conclude the preparation of this document tomorrow, Tuesday, in order to have this joint statement timely filed with the court on Tuesday, Sept. 27th.

I would suggest that since I work very close to the court, that if you agree, I can walk the filing over to the court and serve it upon the Judge, and court clerk itself for good measure. I can have extra copies confirmed/stamped and scan them for same day email back to you, as well as mailing hard copy to you, to confirm timely filing.

I have noted your comment re this judge wanting an additional copy for their files, and will be sure to provide that also.

In terms of the attached draft Joint Status Conference Report, please review what is offered here as it concerns our answers to this report.

To my information the only portion of the report that may require us to collaborate on a joint answer might be in Section E #1 & E #2, where:

- . E1 asks about the status of any settlement efforts. Since there have been no settlement efforts on this matter before this judge, that of dischargability, I am suggesting we agree on answering "None."
- . E2 also talks about "this dispute" to which I understand it to be again the dischargability issue before this judge, which has not been formally mediated, so I am suggesting we agree to check the "No." box with an X.

If you agree with these two things, there is nothing more of substance here to discuss.

Once we both have complete documents we can hard save them as non-editable PDF versions for affixing our signatures and confirm the completion of this document for submission to the court.

I hope that this will meet with your approval and await your reply.

Sincerely,

Jim Mateson (808)-344-0534 jm@imverse.com

Alan L. Crutchfield (805) 450-8780 alc@imverse.com