DIANE B. WEISSBURG [237136]
2 | 1842 Washington Way, #B

Venice, CA 90291

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Telephone: (310) 577-0871 Telecopier: (310) 577-0875



Attorney for Plaintiff/Judgment Creditor Bree Walker Lampley

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE:

JAMES GROVER MATESON,

Chapter 7

Case No.: 9;11-BK-12680-RR

COMPLAINT TO DETERMINE DISCHARGEABILJTY OF DEBT

JURISDICTION AND PARTIES

v.

- 1. This is an adversary proceeding brought to determine the dischargeability of a debt, and a core proceeding over which the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A), (I), and/or (0), and 28 U.S.C. § 1334(b). Therefore the above- entitled Court also has jurisdiction to enter a money judgment that is nondischargeable in any bankruptcy proceeding.
- 2. At all times herein material BREE WAKER LAMPLEY ("plaintiff"), is an individual residing in Los Angeles County, California, in the geographic jurisdiction of the above-entitled Court.
- 3. Defendant JAMES GROVER MATESON (collectively, "MATESON") is an individual; MATESON is the debtor in chapter 7 case number 9;11-bk-12680-RR

1

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

filed in the Santa Barbara Division of the above-entitled Court on or about June 6, 2011. At all times herein material MATESON resides in the City of Santa Barbara, California, in the geographic jurisdiction of the above-entitled Court.

- 4. ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, (collectively "CRUTCHFIELD") is the debtor in chapter 7 case number 9;11-bk-12679-RR filed in the Santa Barbara Division of the above-entitled Court on or about June 6, 2011. At all times herein material CRUTCHFIELD resides in the City of Santa Barbara, California, in the geographic iurisdiction of the above-entitled Court.
- 5. IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, (collectively "IMVERSE ASIA") is a Corporation doing business in Santa Barbara California. At all times herein material CRUTCHFIELD resides in the City of Santa Barbara, California, in the geographic jurisdiction of the above-entitled Court.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 6. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporate herein by reference, each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-5, inclusive, hereinabove, as though set forth in full.
- 7. Plaintiff sued MATESON, and additional Defendants, for Fraud and Breach of Written Contract, in Santa Barbara Superior Court action number 13440456 entitled Bree Walker; v. IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT; and DOES 1-100. ("Superior Court action").
- That Superior Court action was based on Defendants' ongoing "Ponzi Scheme" and with Defendants' and each of them deliberately intending to defraud Plaintiff by obtaining money from Plaintiff based on a personal loan to Defendants; and Defendants' and each of them, had no intent to ever repay the loan.
- 9. On January 14, 2011, the first scheduled day of trial, Defendants and each of them, entered into a delayed stipulated Court supervised judgment with Plaintiff.

- 10. The terms of the settlement agreement entered into by BREE WALKER LAMPLEY and IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT on January 14, 2011 was a Court supervised delayed money judgment for \$270,000.00 plus interest and was entered in favor of plaintiff, jointly and severally, and against defendants, and each of them.
- 11. The terms of the settlement entered into by BREE WALKER LAMPLEY and IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT on January 14, 2011, specifically required that the debt of \$270,000.00 was due and payable to PLAINTIFF in 90 days, but not later than 180 days if certain conditions were met. If the conditions were not met, the debt was immediately due and payable April 18, 2011.
- 12. The terms of the settlement entered into by BREE WALKER LAMPLEY and IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT on January 14, 2011, required that the judgment against all Defendants, was to be joint and several and the debt was not dischargeable in Bankruptcy Court pursuant to 11 *U.S.C.* 523, et al, based on the conduct of the Defendants.
- 13. Plaintiff's said judgment against JAMES GROVER MATESON, IMVERSE ASIA, INC., AND ALAN CRUTCHFIELD in the Superior Court action is unsatisfied; through July 25, 2011. JAMES GROVER MATESON, IMVERSE ASIA, INC., AND ALAN CRUTCHFIELD judgment debt to plaintiff for principal, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, pre-judgment costs, and post-judgment costs, totals \$270,000.00.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believe, and thereon allege, that JAMES GROVER MATESON, IMVERSE ASIA, INC., AND ALAN CRUTCHFIELDS' acts and conduct that led to the entry of said judgment against them, jointly and

severely in the Superior Court action were and are willful and malicious injury to the person and/or property of each of the plaintiffs within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(6), therefore JAMES GROVER MATESON, IMVERSE ASIA, INC., AND ALAN CRUTCHFIELD said \$270,000.00 judgment debt to plaintiff is nondischargeable in bankruptcy.

- 15. On June 7, 2011, PLAINTIFF requested the Court Honorable Thomas Anderle, Department 5, Santa Barbara Superior Court, enter judgment against defendants, jointly and severely for \$270,000.00.
- 16. Plaintiff was informed at that June 7, 2011 hearing that Defendants' JAMES GROVER MATESON, AND ALAN CRUTCHFIELD filed for Bankruptcy on June 6, 2011.
- 17. The terms of the settlement entered into by BREE WALKER LAMPLEY and IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT on January 14, 2011 at Santa Barbara, California, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "1" and incorporated herein by reference
- 18. The terms of the settlement entered into by BREE WALKER LAMPLEY and IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, PRESIDENT, ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT on January 14, 2011 at Santa Barbara, California, was read into the Court Record, in open Court, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "2" and incorporated herein by reference.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff BREE WALKER LAMPLEY, prays for judgment against, ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT, and each of them:

1. For this Court's order that the total principal, interest, and costs set forth in the Judgment entered in favor of plaintiff and against defendant ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT in Santa Barbara

- 2. For this Court's order that all post-judgment interest on the said \$270,000.00 Judgment, and all post-judgment costs incurred to enforce that Judgment under the laws of California through the June 20, 2011 filing of his Chapter 7 cases 911-bk-12679-RR, and 911-bk-12680-RR a total of \$270,000.00, is nondischargeable in bankruptcy;
- 3. For post-judgment interest on \$270,000.00, at the federal maximum legal rate from June 20, 2011 through entry of judgment herein, and for this Court's order that all such sums are nondischargeable in bankruptcy;
- 4. For plaintiff's costs incurred herein, and for this Court's order that all such sums are nondischargeable;
- 5. For entry of judgment in favor of BREE WALKER LAMPLEY and against ALAN CRUTCHFIELD, DEFENDANT, AND JAMES MATESON, DEFENDANT, and each of them:

Dated: July 26, 2011

WEISSBURG LAW FIRM

DIANE B. WEISSBURG
ATTORNEY FOR BREE WALKER LAMPLEY

///
///
///
///
///
///

1	IT IS ORDERED that an order shortening time for a hearing on Plaintiff's request for
2	the Court to Order Judgment for Plaintiff BREE WALKER LANDIEN
3 4	IMVERSE ASIA INC., by ALAN CRUTCHELL D. DREGION
5	III) FFFND ANT AND TAXOS AS SEE
6	settlement agreement dated January 14, 2011, for \$247,000.00, plus attorney fees of \$22,000.00,
7	and costs in the amount of \$1,100.00, plus 10% interest is hereby granted. Judgment for Plaintiff
8	BREE WALKER LAMPLEY against shall be entered against Defendants IMVERSE ASIA INC.,
9	by ALAN CRETCHING
10	JAMES MATERON DEPOSITIONE STATE THE TANK THE TANK THE
1 i	JAMES MATESON, DEPENDANT, jointly and severally pursuant to the January 14, 2011,
12	settlement agreement.
13	
14	Dated: 27, 2011
15 16	Multiple 1
17	THOMAS P. ANDERLE JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
18	THOMAS P ANDERLE
19	/// ///
20	
21	X THE COURT RESERVES TURISMETION AS TO
22	Arra Man - Marco Della Dalla Marco della la Marco
23	DETENDANTS MITSON AND CRUTCHFRED HAVING BEEN
24	ANVISED THAT THEY HAVE FILED BANKBURTCY ACREST
25	HAVE BEEN FILEIS IN THIS CARE INDICATING THAT
26	WAS THE STATUS
27	
28	6/22/11. Teleples
11	

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
3	000
4	BREE WALKER,
5	Petitioner,
6	-vs-) Case No. 1340456
7	IMVERSE ASIA INC et al,
8	Respondents.
9)
10	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SETTLEMENT PROCEEDINGS
11	BEFORE HON. COLLEEN K. STERNE, JUDGE
12	DEPARTMENT 5
13	SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA Friday, January 14, 2011
14	APPEARANCES:
15	For the Petitioner: DIANE WEISSBURG, ESQ
16	
17	
18	For the Respondent: JAMES MC KEE, ESQ.
19	
20	•
21	
22	Reported by: SHELLEY HOUCHENS, CSR, Official Reporter
23	CSR. No. 13227
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

```
1
      SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, JANUARY 14, 2011;
 2
    DEPARTMENT NO. 5 HON. COLLEEN K. STERNE, JUDGE
 3
 5
 6
                               -000-
 7
 8
 9
                THE COURT: Case number 11, 13440456 Bree
10
    Walker versus Imverse Asia Inc et al.
11
                MS. WEISSBURG: Yes, your Honor, we do have
12
    a settlement thanks to the mediator. .
13
                THE COURT: Who will recite the terms of the
14
    settlement?
15
                MS. WEISSBURG: Diane Weissburg on behalf of
16
    Bree Walker, who is present in court.
17
                MR. MC KEE: James McKee on behalf of
18
    Imverse Asia Inc and Alan Crutchfield and James
    Matteson, defendants.
19
20
                THE COURT: Go ahead, please.
21
                MS. WEISSBURG: Thank you, your Honor. I'm
22
    going to talk slowly for your benefit.
23
                Your Honor, settlement of all claims pending
24
    in this case has been resolved. If all terms of the
25
    settlement agreement are met, then the plaintiff's
26
    causes of action will be dismissed with prejudice based
27
    on the following terms: One, defendants in each of them
```

agree to pay to the plaintiff a total of \$247 plus

28

Case 9:11-bk-12680-RR Doc 21 Filed 07/26/11 Entered 07/26/11 11:17:18 Desc Main Document Page 9 of 16

- 1 accrued interest -- \$247,000 plus accrued interest,
- 2 attorney's fees and costs. That sum shall be paid in
- 3 90 days from today's date. In the event that \$50,000 is
- 4 paid by these defendants during the 90-day period,
- 5 credit shall be given for that amount against the total
- 6 amount do you and it is agreed by the parties that the
- 7 date for filing any judgment will be stayed for an
- 8 additional 30 days. Judgment will be joint in several
- 9 against all of defendants. In the event that the
- 10 balance due is not paid in 90 days plus the additional
- 11 30 days, if the \$50,000 payment is made, the judgment
- 12 for \$247,000 plus interest at the current legal rate of
- 13 10 percent attorney's fees and costs shall be filed and
- 14 shall become a judgment for full amount as set forth
- 15 here in jointly and severally against each defendant.
- In the event that the defendants pay nothing
- 17 within the 90-day period judgment shall be entered under
- 18 those terms and shall be filed by the plaintiff. This
- 19 judgment cannot be discharged in bankruptcy court
- 20 because of the conduct of these defendants. And each of
- 21 them, pursuant to a title 11 U.S. Code Section 523,
- 22 accept the terms of the settlement agreement is
- 23 confidential and shall remain so.
- 24 THE COURT: And is there any understanding
- 25 of what the amount of the attorney's fees are, or is
- 26 that subject to --
- 27 MS. WEISSBURG: It's subject to collection.
- 28 Right now your Honor, the attorneys fees are

Case 9:11-bk-12680-RR Doc 21 Filed 07/26/11 Entered 07/26/11 11:17:18 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 16

- 1 approximately \$22,000 since the inception of the case.
- 2 And then it would be 10 percent interest based on
- 3 statute in California from the date of the judgment
- 4 instead of the date of the breach of the loan agreement.
- 5 THE COURT: Very well. Any other --
- 6 MS. WEISSBURG: There would be costs
- 7 approximately -- right now I believe it's \$1100, the
- 8 original filings fees, service, things like that.
- 9 THE COURT: And Mr. McKee, anything else you
- 10 want to add?
- MR. MC KEE: Well I don't think our costs
- 12 are -- no, nothing else.
- THE COURT: Ms. Weissburg, if you'd inquire
- 14 of your client please.
- MS. WEISSBURG: Thank you. You are Bree
- 16 Walker.
- MS. WALKER: Yes, I am.
- MS. WEISSBURG: And you loaned the
- 19 defendants Allen Cruthfield James Matteson and Imverse
- 20 Asia \$247,000?
- MS. WALKER: Correct.
- 22 MS. WEISSBURG: And do you agree to the
- 23 terms as I just recited them to the court?
- MS. WALKER: I agree to these terms.
- THE COURT: And do you understand by
- 26 agreeing to these terms you'll never be able to come
- 27 back and seek any additional sum of money?
- MS. WALKER: I understand.

```
1
                 THE COURT: And you've had a chance to
 2
    discuss the matter with your attorney and she's
 3
     satisfied any questions that you have.
 4
                 MS. WALKER:
                              She has.
` 5
                 THE COURT: Very well. Mr. McKee, if you
 6
    would inquire please.
 7
                 MR. MC KEE: Well, we -- .
 8
                 THE COURT: Let me just ask --
 9
                MR. MC KEE: We have discussed the terms of
10
    the settlement and you agree, both of you, each of you
11
    agree to the settlement?
12
                MR. CRUTCHFIELD: Yes for ourselves and for
13
    the company.
14
                MR. MATTESON:
                               I do agree.
15
                THE COURT: It will be considered a
16
    court-supervised settlement conditional in nature. Are
17
    you going to put something in writing?
18
                MS. WEISSBURG: Your Honor, I was going to
19
    put this into an actual judgment, submit it to defense
    counsel for his approval as to form, not content because
20
21
    the content is on the record. And then submit it to the
22
    Court for signature, your Honor, at the conclusion of
23
    the time period as specified.
24
                THE COURT: It will be --
25
                MS. WEISSBURG: It will be deferred until
26
    that period.
                THE COURT: So all that will prepared is a
27
28
    deferred judgement.
```

Case 9:11-bk-12680-RR Doc 21 Filed 07/26/11 Entered 07/26/11 11:17:18 Desc Main Document Page 12 of 16

```
1
                 MS. WEISSBURG: Right.
 2
                 THE COURT: And so words we're going to go
    ahead and put it on the case management calendar
 3
    120 days out. Because then we'll know dispositively
 4
 5
    whether the 30-day extension applied.
 6
                 MS. WEISSBURG: That's correct.
 7
                 THE COURT: So I'm going to put it on the
 8
    Court's case management calendar on Monday, June 6th, at
    8:30 a.m. If the dismissal has been filed by that time,
 9
10
    then no one need appear. On the other hand if there are
11
    issues, then the parties will need to be present.
12
                THE CLERK: Shouldn't this be in department
13
    3?
14
                THE COURT: He's Tuesday.
15
                THE CLERK: Yes.
16
                THE COURT: So instead of the 6th of June,
17
    it would be the 7th. So June 7th at 8:30 a.m. That's in
18
    Judge Anderle's department.
19
                MR. MC KEE: So we need to come back?
20
                THE COURT: If you don't pay and a dismissal
    is not on file, you'll have to make that appearance.
21
22
    the dismissal has been filed --
23
                MR. MC KEE: On June 7th, okay.
24
                THE COURT: -- then no one need come.
25
                MR. MC KEE: All right.
26
                THE COURT:
                            And your trial date of 1/25 of
27
    2011 and any other date expect for the CMC are vacated.
28
                MS. WEISSBURG: Thank you, your Honor.
```

Case 9:11-bk-12680-RR Doc 21 Filed 07/26/11 Entered 07/26/11 11:17:18 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 16

1	•	MR. MC KEE:	Thank you.
2		(Proceedings	in the above-entitled matter
3		were conclude	
4			000
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	•		
10			
11			
12			
13	•		
14	·		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			•
20			•
21	•		
22	•		
23			
24			
25			
26	•		
27			
28			

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3 4	BREE WALKER, Petitioner, 1340426
5	-vs-) Case No. 1340456 IMVERSE ASIA INC et al,) Respondents.)
6	
7	I, SHELLEY HOUCHENS, CSR, Official Reporter of
8	the above-entitled court, do hereby certify:
9	That I am a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the
10	State of California, duly licensed to practice; that I
11	did report in Stenotype oral proceedings had upon
12	hearing of the aforementioned cause at the time and
13	place hereinbefore set forth; that the foregoing pages
14	numbered 1 through 6, inclusive, constitute to the best
15	of my knowledge and belief a full, true, and correct
16	computer-aided transcription from my said shorthand
17	notes so taken for the date of Friday, January 14, 2011.
18	Dated at Santa Barbara, California, this 23rd day
19	of January, 2011.
20	•
21	
22	•
23	•
24	CSR,
25	Official Reporter, CSR No. 13227
26	•
27	
28	

FORM B104 (08/07)

2007 USBC, Central District of California

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEE	T ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)				
(Instructions on Page 2)	FILED				
PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS				
Bree Walker Lampley	JUL 2 6 2011				
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.) Diane B. Weissburg, Esq 4724 La Villa Marina, #J, Marina del Rey, CA 90292 (310) 577-0871	ATTORNEYS (If Known) Richard Hall, Esq. POB 237, Auburn, CA 95604 (530) 888-7100 CLERK, U.S. ELIPKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Deputy Clerk				
PARTY (Check One Box Only)	PARTY (Check One Box Only)				
□ Debtor □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin	☑ Debtor □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin				
☑ Creditor □ Other	☐ Creditor ☐ Other				
☐ Trustee	☐ Trustee				
Fraud, Fraudulent Breach of Written Settlement Agreement; Judgm on actual fraud cause of action. Settlement agreement in open coudue to the conduct of the parties. Code Sections 11 U.S.C, 523,et s	FACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED) adducted Breach of Written Settlement Agreement; Judgment, for 270,000.00D. Debtors settlement agreement was based fraud cause of action. Settlement agreement in open court specifically precluded debt being discharge in Bankruptcy Court, conduct of the parties. Code Sections 11 U.S.C, 523,et seq.; 523(a)(6); 523(a)(2); and 523 (a)(4). NATURE OF SUIT lumber up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)				
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property	FRBP 7001(6) - Dischargeability (continued)				
11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property	61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support 68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury				
12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference	63-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(8), student loan				
13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer	64-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support)				
3 14-Recovery of money/property - other	2 65-Dischargeability - other				
FRBP 7001(2) - Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien	FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief				
21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property	71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay 72-Injunctive relief – other				
FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property	12-hijunctive relier – other				
31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)	FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest 81-Subordination of claim or interest				
FRBP 7001(4) - Objection/Revocation of Discharge					
41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e)	FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment 91-Declaratory judgment				
FRBP 7001(5) - Revocation of Confirmation 51-Revocation of confirmation	FRBP 7001(10) Determination of Removed Action 01-Determination of removed claim or cause				
FRBP 7001(6) - Dischargeability	Other				
66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims	SS-SIPA Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa et.seq.				
62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud	02-Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy case)				
7-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement,					
V larceny					
(continued next column)	E OL L'Alicie des delle disconsiste EDOD 00				
☐ Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law	☐ Check if this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23				
☐ Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$ 270,000.00				
Other Relief Sought					

Case 9:11-bk-12680-RR Doc 21 Filed 07/26/11 Entered 07/26/11 11:17:18 Desc Main Document Page 16 of 16

EODM R104 (08/07), page 2

/

2007 USBC, Central District of California

BANKRU	PTCY CASE IN	WHICH THIS ADVERSARY		
NAME OF DEBTOR				(RUPTCY CASE NO.
James Grover Mateson			9;11-bk-12680RR	
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PEND	ING	DIVISIONAL OFFICE		NAME OF JUDGE
Central District		Santa Barbara		Hon. Robin L. Riblet
	RELATE	ED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING	(IF ANY)	
PLAINTIFF Bree Walker Lampley	DEFENI Alan Cr	DANT utchfield	ADVE	ERSARY PROCEEDING NO.
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDING		DIVISIONAL OFFICE	DIVISIONAL OFFICE	
Central District		Santa Barbara		Hon. Robin L. Riblet
SIGNATURE OF ALTORNEY (OR P	le hellen	dg		
DATE 7/25/11		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNE Diane B, Weissburg	EY (OR PLAIN	TIFF)

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also must complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendents. Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not presented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.