



13

E-FILED  
THURSTON COUNTY, WA  
SUPERIOR COURT  
06/23/2021 8:01:37 AM  
Linda Myhre Enlow  
Thurston County Clerk

EXPEDITE (If filed within 5 court days of hearing)

Hearing is set

Date: 6-24-21

Time: 4:00pm Zoom #:4296555966 Rm:2

Judge/Calendar: Rebekah Zinn/ex parte

**Superior Court of Washington  
for Thurston County Family &  
Juvenile Court**

In re: Emergency Guardianship of  
Hazel Belle Ursa Smith

Respondent(s): Minor Child(ren)

No. 21-4-00443-34

**MOTION TO JOIN of John Smith  
(grandfather)  
(Rule 19)  
(filing fees waived for family  
members in Guardian actions)**

(Cover Sheet)

**TITLE OF DOCUMENT**

**Motion to Join (RULE 19) of John Smith (grandfather)**

**NAME: John Smith, maternal grandfather  
ADDRESS: PO Box 1711, Shelton, WA 98584  
PHONE: (360)427-3599**

[X] EXPEDITE (if filing within 5 court days of hearing)

[X] Ex Parte Hearing is set:

Date: 6-24-21

Time: 4:00pm Zoom #:4296555966 Rm:2

Judge/Calendar: Rebekah Zinn, et al; ex parte

**Superior Court of Washington  
for Thurston County Family &  
Juvenile Court**

In re: Emergency Guardianship of  
Hazel Belle Ursa Smith

Respondent(s): Minor Child(ren)

**No. 21-4-00443-34**

**MOTION TO JOIN of John Smith  
(grandfather)  
(Rule 19)  
(filing fees waived for family  
members in Guardian actions)**

TO: The Clerk of the Thurston County and Juvenile Court, (360)709-3260, 2801 32nd AVE SW,  
Tumwater, WA 98512;

AND,

Breckan Scott-Gabriel, bar #:41585, attorney for Kathryn Stoker (maternal grandmother) and Hans  
Stoker (husband of Kathryn Stoker, but NOT the grandfather), PO Box 1123, Yelm, WA 98597-1123,  
PH. (360)960-8951, fax (360)485-1916, e-mail: breckan@breckanlaw.com;

AND,

Selena Ursa Smith (aka: Laura?), e-mail: girlsforscience@yahoo/girlsforscience.icloud.com,  
current address uncertain, undisclosed(?);

AND,

James Daniel Wells (father of Raven and Onawa), (253)948-8260(?), [rodytok@gmail.com](mailto:rodytok@gmail.com); homeless,

AND,

Robert Ayers (father of Hazel), Ph. Unknown, address: unknown in Colorado, E-mail: (?)

**I Identity of Parties**

I, John Smith (maternal grandfather of the subject minors in this action) brings this motion as a necessary  
and indispensable party in interest. Without counsel of necessity, pro se, and do seek the relief as an  
intervenor/party to this action summarized in section II below, and such other relief as the court deems just  
by all the parties.

MOTION to JOIN (Rule 19) of  
[pinbalwyz@yahoo.com](mailto:pinbalwyz@yahoo.com)

page 2 John Smith, grandfather 360-427-3599  
PO Boc 1711, Shelton, WA 98584

Kathryn Stoker (maternal grandmother) and her husband, Hans Stoker (who is NOT the grandfather of the children, contrary to his and his wife's sworn misrepresentations in their filed pleadings to this court) brought this action before this court well BEFORE the young children at issue had been evaluated by any qualified Family and Children's social worker within the State of their domicile or oversight of a state court properly presiding over the same, i.e. Oregon, where this court's emergency ex parte order to seize the children was executed at midnight and spirited, under cover of darkness, out of that state after handing off the children to the Stokers at a gas station adjacent to I-5 north of Eugene. The seizure was executed, as described at midnight, 5-30-21 in/near Oakridge, OR, the initial ex parte emergency petition for seizing the mother's 3 children was filed 5-27-21, the order granting the petition was entered on 5-28-21. The petitioners necessarily filed their petition prior to the children being examined and evaluated precisely to deny Selena Smith (my daughter) and her children due process with this court's approval, aid, and abetment under color of state law in violation of Oregon's sovereignty, the federal ADA (my daughter only has one hand), status as a destitute DV survivor (contrary to UCCJEA requirements, and in violation of meaningful protection under the 6<sup>th</sup> and 14 Amendment as well as principles laid out in Troxel vs. Troxel and the Elian Gonzalez international case.

### **JURISDICTION**

The Petitioners (Stokers) are longtime residents of and domiciled in Thurston County, Washington.

The person bringing this motion, John Smith (maternal grandfather of the child(ren) at issue) is a longtime resident of and domiciled in Mason County, Washington.

Selena Smith, the mother of the very young child(ren) at issue in this cause, due to DV, fled the State of Washington with her children prior to 11-24-20, which is the date James Wells (her boyfriend) filed a DV Protection Petition (20-2-30761-34 | JAMES DANIEL WELLS, Jr vs SELENA URSA SMITH) subsequent to Selena's leaving the state to preserve her and her children's safety. Mr. Wells' purpose was to use the children (who he sought custody of in the petition) to support himself. The petition was denied by Court Commissioner Rebekah Zinn. Mr. Wells is currently sleeping in the open near Mt. Adams and is homeless.

Selena Smith filed a petition for DV protection, alleging Mr. Wells was violently abusive with her and the children, an alcoholic, and in need of anger management classes. Court Commissioner Rebekah Wells ruled in Selena's favor and ordered Mr. Wells to surrender his firearms. This action was filed by Selena from out of State. I, John Smith, personally observed her testifying to this court (Court Commissioner Rebekah Zinn, presiding) from an out of state DV women's shelter and read the filed declaration of an advocate associated with that shelter confirming evidence she had seen of what appeared to be stalking while Selena was staying in that out-of-state DV shelter.

**(20-2-30788-34 | SELENA URSA SMITH vs JAMES DANIEL WELLS, Jr)**

i.e. Selena Smith and her children had been absent and no longer resided in Washington State for longer than 6 months prior to having her 3 children seized around midnight on 5-30-21 under the color of Washington State law via an ex parte emergency guardianship order executed beyond its own borders in a foreign state (Oregon). Court Commissioner Rebekah Zinn was either well aware Selena Smith had left Washington State with her children (or should have been) more than 6 months before the instant case had been filed.

Even case officers with the New Jersey Division of Children & Families admitted they had no authority to use a New Jersey Court Order (under the circumstances) to order law enforcement in Oregon to seize Selena's children upon New Jersey's direction, although security guard Robert Kurtz did not. When Kurtz's actions were challenged by myself, a case worker supervisor retorted it was NJ Division of Children & Families to track or find missing families/children when receiving reports/suspicion of the same. Except...there WERE NO missing children. They were with their mother who had no legal duty to provide the State of New Jersey or the Stokers with such information. Nor was there a nation wide manhunt for Selena Smith, only the illegal surveillance conducted by security guard Robert Kurtz which he chose not to reveal in his declaration submitted to this court to avoid incriminating himself.

Robert Kurtz was acting solely on his own without authority from his agency or direction to invade Selena's privacy by conspiring with the Stokers who were using software on her I-phone to track, unbeknownst to her, Selena's movements, purchases, bills and confidential health/billing records obtained by opening her mail without permission (as well as rifling through her personal papers left where Selena once resided on the Stoker property). Adding outrage to injury, the Stokers kept the notices of fines received in the mail they opened rather than forwarding it to Selena's new mailing address of which they were aware—putting Selena's Driver's License at risk of suspension as a result for lack of notice.

This rogue action by a Washington Family Court fails what even grade school children would recognize as the SMELL TEST. The statutory construction of a normal petition for guardianship of minors in Washington State replete with a full complement of meaningful due process is very different from an ex parte emergency petition for guardianship of minors with effectively **no meaningful due process**. Accordingly, the ex parte judicial excess of Washington's judiciary ought to be a pleasure enjoyed by its own citizens which it is accountable to rather than being visited upon the citizens of foreign states.

In Troxel vs. Troxel, the U.S. Supreme Court pronounced Washington's Courts interpretation of the 'best interests' of the child(ren) "breathtaking in scope"! Additionally, they concluded a parent's bond with their minor children was so fundamental a right that a state which substituted its judgment for a parent's exceeded its authority no matter how seductive the state's reasoning might be unless there was genuine true imminent harm that would come to the child(ren). Not only is that not evident in the instant case, but the child(ren) at issue were not evaluated by a qualified expert prior to the court issuing its ex parte emergency seizure order executed out-of-state under cover of darkness.

Kathryn Stoker lied to me when she described the circumstances and genesis of that seizure as well as the date of the court hearing (Nathan Kortokrax presiding who recused himself) as on the 18<sup>th</sup> of this month when it, in truth, was the 16<sup>th</sup>. The Stokers also lied about my daughters mental condition and claims there was a "nation wide manhunt" for Selena.

This court did not provide Selena with 60 day notice to respond from service out-of-state, nor was a Return of Service filed in either of the 2 case #'s involving the child(ren) to either father or permission for alternative service sought.

In short, this case and all orders pursuant to it are void ab initio for failure to establish proper subject matter and in personam jurisdiction over all the parties. The issuance of the ex parte emergency order to seize the children executed out-of-state was based on fraudulent misrepresentations and deceptions that are a matter of record presented to the court. Nor did the court take any care to insure the child(ren) were genuinely at risk before giving them the impression that their mother was a 'bad' person as they were

seized as though she was Joan Dillinger. I have ordered and will be paying for the video, audio, photos, and police reports from the Oakridge PD. I will present them to this court for its consideration given the chance. I have spoken to the Oakridge authorities about this case. Their assessment does not support the tale the Stokers had to tell this court. The midnight raid on Selena and her child(ren) is what one would expect in a totalitarian regime or from Hollywood. I have spent many hours listening to and questioning my daughter about it. The story she has is convincing when she is given the time to be heard. It is abundantly clear she is not delusional or mentally ill. She does cry as she gets upset about her babies. She also yells at me when she misunderstands what I can and cannot do for her, e.g. represent her in court. I am not an attorney.

## II RELIEF SOUGHT

**I am requesting permission to intervene/join this action under Rule 19 as a necessary and indispensable party in interest, and such other relief as this court deems just by all the parties.**

It is certain my exwife and her husband will not allow me to visit with or maintain a relationship with my daughter.

It is also clear my daughter is so angry and afraid now, of her mother and her husband, she conflates me with them and cannot be counted on to keep me informed of where my grandchildren are to let me love and protect them, even from afar if that is her wish.

I do not smother my daughter and applaud her effort to be free to live her life as a free person without interference from her mother and father. But no good can come of the rancor that has developed between my daughter and the Stokers, Hans in particular. I do not repeat the depth of her antipathy toward that man. It is largely based on the harm already had under his influence upon Selena's brother, Chad, and my oldest granddaughter, Maya, Selena's oldest daughter. Both Chad and Maya changed their name from Smith to Stoker. Maya was transparent enough to confess, when challenged by her mother, she did so for the advantage she thought it would bring her. Chad is less genuine. The Stokers are multi millionaires and live on a 5 acre 5 million dollar estate located on the Nisqually Reach with two large homes on the estate...one a custom built and designed mansion. They also own several parcels of real estate, some with vacation homes on them. Neither is young and strong or sober enough to be raising my young grandchildren. They both take a lot of mild altering/psychosomatic prescribed drugs and try to bully my daughter into doing the same.

While their overindulgence has proven harmful (along with their deliberate and familial alienation) to my children and grandchildren over the years, I do believe Selena should allow them to remain in contact with our (Kathy and mine) grandchildren. It is well that the grandchildren should know their grandparents without either controlling them or Selena's relationship/bonding with her children but for the most dire of circumstances—circumstances that do not exist in the instant case despite the fraud and deceptions this court acted on intended for execution in a foreign state without proper jurisdiction.

Washington State's public policy with respect to children is to reunify families, not tear them apart. This court, to date, has deeply insulted that policy, without jurisdiction or due diligence.

Selena has but one hand, is indigent, homeless, a DV victim fleeing her abuser, and desperately needs a court appointed lawyer, as do the child(ren) need a GAL not of the Stoker's choosing.

I John Smith [Name] **Declare** that:

1. A. I am the grandfather of Hazel Smith. Hans Stoker, contrary to his and his wife's sworn misrepresentation to this court, is **not**.

Petitioners submitted materially misleading and fraudulent information to prompt this court to issue an ex parte emergency Guardianship of Minors order executed out of state (OR.).

The Petitioners had no standing to be granted this emergency petition devoid of meaningful due process executed in a foreign state.

There is a custody/parenting plan order that exists in Colorado defining the father's (Robert Ayers) visitation rights regarding Hazel the petitioners and the mother did not inform this court of, even during a colloquy from the court seeking clarification on the matter that I personally witnessed.

There was no emergency or threat of imminent harm when the children of Selena Smith, the mother, were seized in Oakridge, Oregon around midnight after this court had issued an emergency order which the Oakridge police erroneously acted on without oversight from any Oregon State court where the children and their mother resided and were domiciled. More than 6 months had elapsed since Selena Smith fled the State of Washington with her children to avoid her DV abuser.

The child(ren) at issue in this cause had been absent from Washington State in their mother's care for over 6 months when they were seized after midnight, and transferred hours later under cover of darkness to the Stokers at a gas station north of Eugene, OR. adjacent to I-5 around 3:00am on 5-31-21. Subsequently, they were spirited across the state line into Washington and are at this time residing on the Stoker's 5 million dollar estate.

The mother (Selena Smith) is indigent, homeless but for her RV, working as an auto parts delivery service, and about to have her WA. Driver's license revoked by Washington State due to her inability to pay for a Minnesota speeding ticket received after she had just left an 80mph zone across the neighboring state line. The Stokers opened Selena's mail without her permission, then failed to send the notice to Selena's new mailing address which they knew about.

The Thurston venue the petitioners plotted in advance is highly inconvenient for Selena. She is unrepresented, has no computer or printer or access to the internet and about to lose her license on Monday, 6-21-21. Thurston County represents a grave hardship as a venue for her, but the petitioners knew this when they filed in Thurston County, calculating it would hamstring Selena from being able to respond effectively, counting on her poverty to grease the skids they had constructed.

Selena Smith desperately needs appointed counsel in this case because she cannot afford an attorney and has no practical way presently to attend this court in person.

Selena Smith has objected on the record to these proceedings for want of jurisdiction, the Stokers having failed to provide even the barest scintilla of due process to the mother and the fathers of the children.

No Return of Service has been filed in this case I have seen verifying what was served on the parents, both fathers, and that it was done properly.

No motion demonstrating due diligence seeking alternative service on the fathers has been filed in this case/litigation.

There is evidence this court has tampered with the record (altering the caption in the filed documents/pleadings) without so much as a sua sponte motion being made part of the record permitting it—a violation of WA State criminal law and the rules of court prohibiting such tampering. (See this courts lining out of 2 of the children's names in this case number rather than granting an amended document or sua sponte motion or giving opposing parties the opportunity to object or weigh in on the matter.

Discussion of filing a petition for a Write of Habeas Corpus to produce the children in an Oregon court has been had and is being explored.

I, John Smith, also object to these proceeding as void ab initio because proper jurisdiction was never obtained since the parents ere not properly served and subject matter jurisdiction is in doubt given no order from Washington State prior to the instant case gave the Stokers any standing whatsoever

### **The Uniform Child-Custody Jurisdiction & Enforcement Act**

The above law has been adopted by 49 out of the 50 states with the exception of Massachusetts and Puerto Rico. Its purpose evolved from a coordinated interstate effort to prevent forum shopping and stymying the other parent's due process and access to the children, the very antithesis of what is occurring in the instant case before this court. Most galling is the fact the Stokers are not the children's parents and Hans Stoker is not the grandfather despite what he misled the court to believe under penalty of perjury. Kathryn Stoker also perjured herself in support of the false claim made by Hans Stoker, as did their attorney, an officer of this court, who admitted she knew Hans was not the grandfather when she signed and submitted her petition to this court.

Some laws enacted after the UCCJA added a Federal dimension to interstate and international child-custody practices that was unforeseen by the drafters of the UCCJA in 1968 (but which was considered by drafters of the UCCJEA in 1997). In addition to the PKPA, these Federal laws include the Full Faith and Credit provisions of the VAWA, enacted in 1994; the Hague Convention, ratified in 1986; and the ICARA, enacted in 1988.<sup>19</sup> The VAWA. In recognition of the fact that domestic violence victims often leave the State where they were abused and need continuing protection in their new locations, the VAWA provides, among other things, for interstate enforcement of protection orders. Custody provisions incorporated into protection orders, however, are not governed by the VAWA.<sup>20</sup> These provisions are "custody determinations," subject to the PKPA and State law governing jurisdiction in child-custody cases. Neither the PKPA nor the UCCJA explicitly addresses the key concerns of domestic violence victims who must litigate child custody interstate. The UCCJEA, however, addresses these concerns with a number of provisions. For instance, it protects against disclosure of a

victim's address, expands emergency jurisdiction to cases in which a parent or sibling is at risk, and requires courts to consider family abuse in their "inconvenient forum" analysis.

It is aimed at and constructed to discourage/prevent parents from forum shopping by moving children from one state's jurisdiction to another so as to prevent access to and/or meaningful due process in resolving custody disputes litigation...precisely the strategy the Stokers have used in dispossessing Selena of her children except they are NOT the parents of the children, had no court standing or ordered visitation rights or pending litigation affecting the status of the children and no genuine emergency existed where the children were at risk of immediate/imminent harm when seized out of state in Oakridge, OR. in the dead of night.

Certainly Washington is an 'inconvenient forum/jurisdiction' given my daughter's indigent status and circumstances. It effectively deprives her of all meaningful due process protections that might otherwise have been available via a normal guardianship of minors petition. Your clients have acted illegally and in bad faith by rifling through Selena's personal records and opening her mail without permission.

The Stokers are also far too old to be raising my grandchildren. Hans is an alcoholic and both of them take a variety of mood altering/psychosomatic prescribed drugs, insisting my daughter should do the same.

The Stokers have smeared my daughter's reputation and credibility from here to Kingdom Come using their false narrative of character assassination and mental illness to anybody who would listen, their own children in particular. Kathy's older brother (Dee) is said to now suffer from dementia. Kathy's younger brother, Thomas Warren and his wife, Diane Warren are estranged from the Stokers for the alienation of affections of Thomas Warren's own children for much the same reason Selena Smith complains of the Stokers alienating Selena's children from her.

Kathryn Stoker admitted to Selena she was using Selena's I-PHONE TO TRACK SELENA across the U.S., which explains a lot which the New Jersey Division of Children & Families security guard, Robert Kurtz, would not. His was a declaration full of unsubstantiated speculation, innuendos, and illegal surveillance (fruit of a poisoned tree doctrine) fed to him largely by the Stokers the court relied on heavily when signing its order for ex parte emergency relief executed out of state erroneously allowing the seizure of my grandchildren from my daughter.

#### **THE SMELL TEST**

MOTION to JOIN (Rule 19) of  
[pinbalwyz@yahoo.com](mailto:pinbalwyz@yahoo.com)

page 8 John Smith, grandfather 360-427-3599  
PO Boc 1711, Shelton, WA 98584



After decades of allowing her mother to support her in exchange for generous visitation and association with her children, Selena realized in the wake of a falling out with her drug/alcohol addicted boyfriend and DV abuser (James Wells) over a dispute regarding who the government social agencies would send the children's public assistance to, her relationship with her mother was more a liability than an asset to the integrity of her bond with her young children. James was more like having an extra special needs child in an adult's body in Selena's household than a parental partner. With only one good hand, Selena's handicap (only one hand) made doing household chores more difficult than it would be for a normal person with two good hands. She had exhausted her support from Washington welfare agencies in the wake of her dispute with Jim over the money which made both parents look suspicious/fraudulent, or worse, in the State's eyes. Washington refused to provide either of them further public assistance benefits.

James Wells filed petitions for a DV restraining order and one for a parenting plan seeking custody for himself of his two young children, Onawa and Raven in Thurston County Family Court. The house Selena and James resided in belonging to the Stokers became squalid by the owner's (and Maya Stoker's) own declaration--a condition on their own doorstep the Stokers had allowed to continue unabated for years. They also allowed the drug and alcohol abuse within that squalid residence along with the DV to continue throughout those same years. None of this was a secret to the Stokers. The Stoker residence, though a waterfront mansion on the Nisqually Reach worth many millions of dollars, is falling into disrepair. It certainly isn't squalid, but Maya's declaration indicates the home the Stokers allowed Selena, James Wells, and my grandchildren to live in practically at the Stokers door step, rent free, was! It would appear the Stokers find fault with squalid home conditions unless it's a home they own and control. When I met my wife in southern California in the 70's, she was a heavy recreational drug user and smoker, but I'm not referring to marijuana. She continues to prefer mood altering/psychosomatic prescribed medications.

Selena filed her own counter petition for DV protection against Jim Wells with the court circa December 3, 2020 while out of state in a DV protected women's shelter. The matter was heard before court commissioner Rebekah Zinn who entered the DV protection order in Selena's (and her children's) favor. James Wells was ordered to undergo drug/alcohol evaluation and treatment, a condition he has not complied with along with anger management classes.

James had begun to describe Selena as an "evil woman", implying she should be 'eliminated'. Selena became frightened due to Jim's association with drug addicts, his own use of meth and alcohol as well as his association with the Hell's Angels biker club. Selena fled WA State out of fear, seeking safety in out of state DV shelters for women. Selena began to notice vehicles (at least one in particular) that appeared to be stalking her. Her DV advocate noted it as well and filed a report with the Thurston Family court stating so under penalty of perjury. But this all fed into the Stoker's false narrative that Selena was delusional and mentally ill--a classic example of gaslighting. Yet it was consistent with Kat's history many years prior of hiring a detective to surveil me and a Mason County Court clerk to monitor me on her behalf.

As Selena traveled, she began to amass unpaid traffic tickets and toll road/bridge violations in various states. The Stokers received the notices of the same in their mailbox where Selena was receiving her mail. Out of curiosity, they opened that mail and then submitted some of it in their declarations to this court in the instant ex parte emergency guardianship cases--products of violating Selena's right to privacy. Neither did Selena give permission for the Stokers to rifle through her personal records in the house she left. The Stokers used Selena's medical records they discovered in her personal belongings and submitted those to the court as well--another instance of their invading Selena's privacy, an actionable cause for collateral litigation.

Selena started to become aware that the CPS agencies in various states she was traveling through or near were receiving complaints about her children. She imagined this might be due to retaliation from James for her obtaining a DV protection order against him. On occasion, she would call her mother in Washington. She spent some time in Massachusetts, NY, Maryland, Montana, Wisconsin, Wyoming, Oregon, and (she says) no more than 5 days in New Jersey (Brooklawn, not Camden as Han Stoker falsely claimed before this court), yet she noted 12 CPS complaints lodged with NJ authorities when she was not present in NJ. This may be consistent with someone tracking Selena with her I-phone, but not knowing her exact location. Proximity may have been enough to trigger the welfare requests/CPS complaints from an invisible observer. Security guard Robert Kurtz declined in his declaration to name the methods he had access to for tracking Selena across the country, perhaps to avoid criminal liability. But he freely admits he did so. His employer in NJ stated Kurtz had no authority to engage in this conduct under their aegis. Yet he developed a great quantity of detailed facts regarding Selena and the Stokers--information he could only have gotten from the Stokers, including their misrepresentation to him they were the grandparents of Selena's children.

Amy (Selena's best friend) confirmed Selena's suspicions about her I-Phone which Kathy paid for in a 'family' plan. How ironic. Selena turned the I-phone off and that seemed to stop the incidents associated with the tracking. She confronted her mother (Kathy) about this once Selena had purchased a burner cell phone at a retail store. Kathy admitted to Selena's suspicions and promised to turn the tracking off. Selena didn't believe her. The burner cell phone lacked many of the capabilities the I-phone had. In a moment of naive weakness (the time she spent vacationing with her children next to a park in Oakridge, Oregon) she turned the I-phone on. That was enough to trigger the plan the Stokers and security guard Kurtz had hatched. They now knew Selena's approximate location, had a description of her RV and license plate.

Without knowing anything about the condition or immediate circumstances of the grandchildren, they resorted to filing an ex parte emergency guardianship petition in Washington State rather than in Oregon where the mother and grandchildren were then residing. This was done IN ADVANCE (before the circumstances and any imminent risk of harm to the grandchildren could be determined) so as to conspire with Kurtz and some Oregon officials (but not Oregon's courts) to seize the grandchildren in the dead of night. An Oakridge LEO observed, at the time, the children had adequate care and their basic needs met from all appearances.

""We've GOT them," announced the call the Stokers received after dark and after they'd already filed their ex parte emergency guardianship petition in anticipation rather than in a reaction to any determination of an emergency reflecting the children's instant circumstances. In fact, there was no emergency or imminent risk of harm to the children. Selena's fears of her mother's hysterical possessiveness and control fetish had been realized. But it was too late to stop the cascade of events where her children were seized and taken from their mother as though she was on the FBI's most wanted list, a fugitive from justice. Joan Dillinger? The effect this had on her children is incalculable. The effect this had on their mother was devastating to the point I fear for her life. My daughter is inconsolable and weeps piteously every time Raven's (her youngest) name comes up. Sometimes she is so overwrought, she yells at and insults me over the phone. This upsets me, but I try to reassure her nevertheless.

The children were sped to a handoff point at a gas station north of Eugene somewhere around 3:00am at night.. The Stokers then smuggled their kidnapped wards into Washington State where they had secured a court order prohibiting Selena from visiting or contacting her children. Way to go, Stokers! It's likely Selena's very young and confused children now believe their mom is a 'bad' person (to use Maya's phrase) and a fugitive from justice akin to Al Capone.

There was no legitimate reason why a normal petition for the guardianship of minors replete with meaningful due process protections could not have been pursued absent any imminent risk to Selena's children. The Stokers have managed to destroy my grandchildren's mother, leaving them only with a drug addled alcoholic homeless father too habitually indolent to work. The Stokers, according to plan, have picked up the pieces (once again!) of the family they shattered to gratify their own egos and sense of self-righteous importance.

I believe my daughter is frustrated by what she sees as my inadequacy to be able to protect her and her children from the Stokers. I feel she's dead set on excluding the Stokers from the rest of her life and is likely to conflate me as part of the mix, throwing me out as the baby with the bathwater. i.e. I believe I wouldn't have any more access to my children than the Stokers were Selena to have her druthers. I can't describe how this saddens me.

I don't want to be bullied by anyone into choosing between my daughter and my grandchildren. I am getting a LOT of pressure from ALL sides to do so. But I simply won't do it. I believe I will be punished for it no matter who prevails. Thus, I will continue to pursue (including an appeal if necessary) my motion to join this action in order to preserve the little access I have to my grandchildren. The Stokers certainly aren't going to willingly accommodate me in any event. They never have. They did everything possible to convince my children behind my back I was the bugaboo. I expect they won't change their habits when they engage with my grandchildren, perpetuating the cycle of abuse for yet another generation.

MOTION to JOIN (Rule 19) of  
[pinbalwyz@yahoo.com](mailto:pinbalwyz@yahoo.com)

page 11 John Smith, grandfather 360-427-3599  
PO Boc 1711, Shelton, WA 98584

**OBJECTIONS & RULE 19**

**I object to these proceeding as being without proper jurisdiction, thus void ab initio. I further object to them as an abuse of process under color of state law based on fraudulent misrepresentations to this court, discrimination against my handicapped daughter (in violation of the Americans with a Disability Act (ADA)), and failure to provide my daughter sufficient time to object and make her case for vacating/dismissing this action and restoring her children to her immediately.**

**I also object to these proceedings because the are tantamount to cruel and unusual punishment visited on my daughter and grandchildren that will leave them deeply scarred should they survive this ordeal in violation of the 8<sup>th</sup> Amendment, the 14<sup>th</sup>, and the 6<sup>th</sup> (transparency). Cutting my daughter off without providing her with a meaningful quantum of time to make her case does not meet the requirement the court must provide justice and fairness to all the parties. It has not.**

**I have electronically e-mailed a copy of this document to the petitioner's attorney, Breckan Scott, Selena Smith, and James Wells today.**

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington and pursuant to GENERAL Court RULE 13 and RCW 9A.72.085 that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signed at Mason, [County] Washington [State] on June 22, 2021 [Date].



---

Signature of Petitioner or Lawyer/WSBA No.

John Smith (grandfather), pro se

Print Name

pinbalwyz@yahoo.com

(360)427-3599

PO Box 1711, Shelton, WA 98584

**I have e-mailed a copy of this entire document to Breckan Scott, attorney for the Stokers, Selena Smith, but not Robert Ayers having no contact information for him, on 6-22-21.**

**Superior Court of Washington  
for Thurston County Family &  
Juvenile Court**

In re: Emergency Guardianship of Hazel  
Belle Ursa Smith

**No. 21-4-00443-34**

Respondent(s): Minor Child(ren)

**Proposed Order of John Smith  
(grandfather)  
(Rule 19)  
(filing fees waived for family  
members in Guardian actions)**

TO: The Clerk of the Thurston County and Juvenile Court, (360)709-3260, 2801 32nd AVE SW,  
Tumwater, WA 98512;

AND,

Breckan Scott-Gabriel, bar #:41585, attorney for Kathryn Stoker (maternal grandmother) and Hans  
Stoker (husband of Kathryn Stoker, but NOT the grandfather), PO Box 1123, Yelm, WA 98597-1123,  
PH. (360)960-8951, fax (360)485-1916, e-mail: breckan@breckanlaw.com;

AND,

Selena Ursa Smith (aka: Laura?), e-mail: girlsforscience@yahoo/girlsforscience.icloud.com,  
current address uncertain, undisclosed(?);

AND,

James Daniel Wells (father of Raven and Onawa), (253)948-8260(?); [rodytok@gmail.com](mailto:rodytok@gmail.com); homeless,

AND,

Robert Ayers (father of Hazel), Ph. Unknown, address: unknown in Colorado, E-mail: (?)


**Findings of Fact and Conclusions of law**

**This court finds, by a preponderance of the evidence and declarations presented that John Smith is  
the father of Selena Smith and the maternal grandfather of her children.**

**This court will take John Smith's objections to jurisdiction into consideration and under advisement.**

**ORDER**

**John Smith's request to join this action pursuant to RULE 19 is granted.**



6-22-21

Respectfully submitted by John Smith

Date

Signature of Judge/Commissioner

Date

MOTION to JOIN (Rule 19) of  
[pinbalwyz@yahoo.com](mailto:pinbalwyz@yahoo.com)

page 13 John Smith, grandfather 360-427-3599  
PO Boc 1711, Shelton, WA 98584