

21-4-00443-34  
MT 50  
Motion  
10562293



13

E-FILED  
THURSTON COUNTY, WA  
SUPERIOR COURT  
06/24/2021 2:22:01 PM  
Linda Myhre Enlow  
Thurston County Clerk

EXPEDITE (If filed within 5 court days of hearing)

Hearing is set

Date: 6-30-21

Time: 9:00am Zoom #: 242-974-5214 Rm:4

Judge/Calendar: Rebekah Zinn/Motion & argument

**Superior Court of Washington  
for Thurston County Family &  
Juvenile Court**

In re: Emergency Guardianship of  
Hazel Belle Ursa Smith

Respondent(s): Minor Child(ren)

No. 21-4-00443-34

**MOTION TO JOIN John Smith  
(grandfather)  
(Rule 19)  
(filing fees waived for family  
members in Guardian actions)**

(Cover Sheet)

**TITLE OF DOCUMENT**

**Preliminary Motion to Join (RULE 19) John Smith (grandfather) and  
Appoint an Attorney for Selena Smith, an indigent party**

**NAME: Selena Smith, indigent mother  
Mailing ADDRESS: 6901 26<sup>th</sup> Ct, SE,  
Lacey, WA 98503  
PHONE: (360)427-3599**

Preliminary MOTION to JOIN (Rule 19) 1 Selena Smith, mother (971) 803-9898  
doublekachina007@protonmail.com 6901 26<sup>th</sup> Ct SE, Lacey, WA 98503

[X] EXPEDITE (if filing within 5 court days of hearing)

[X] Hearing is set:

Date: 6-30-21

Time: 9:00pm Zoom #: 242-974-5214 Rm:4

Judge/Calendar: Rebekah Zinn/Motion & Argument

**Superior Court of Washington  
for Thurston County Family &  
Juvenile Court**

In re: Emergency Guardianship of  
Hazel Belle Ursa Smith

---

Respondent(s): Minor Child(ren)

**No. 21-4-00443-34**

**Preliminary MOTION TO JOIN  
John Smith (grandfather) and  
Appoint an Attorney for Selena  
Smith (moving party)  
(Rule 19)  
(filing fees waived for family  
members in Guardian actions)**

---

TO: The Clerk of the Thurston County and Juvenile Court, (360)709-3260, 2801 32nd AVE SW,  
Tumwater, WA 98512;

AND,

Breckan Scott-Gabriel, bar #:41585, attorney for Kathryn Stoker (maternal grandmother) and Hans  
Stoker (husband of Kathryn Stoker, but NOT the grandfather), PO Box 1123, Yelm, WA 98597-1123,  
PH. (360)960-8951, fax (360)485-1916, e-mail: breckan@breckanlaw.com;

AND,

Selena Ursa Smith, mother, e-mail: [doublekachina007@protonmail.com](mailto:doublekachina007@protonmail.com), domiciled in Oregon  
mailing address: 6901 26<sup>th</sup> Ct SE, Lacey, WA 98503, Ph. (971)803-9898

AND,

Robert Ayers (father of Hazel), Ph. Unknown, address: unknown in Colorado, E-mail: (?)

**I Identity of Parties**

I, Selena Smith (indigent mother of the subject minor(s) in this action) brings this motion to join a necessary and indispensable party in interest (**John Smith**) without counsel of necessity, pro se, and do seek a court appointed attorney to represent me in this action as summarized in section II below, and such other relief as the court deems just by all the parties.

Preliminary MOTION to JOIN (Rule 19)  
[doublekachina007@protonmail.com](mailto:doublekachina007@protonmail.com)

2

Selena Smith, mother (971) 803-9898  
6901 26<sup>th</sup> Ct SE, Lacey, WA 98503

Kathryn Stoker (maternal grandmother) and her husband, Hans Stoker (who is NOT the grandfather of the children, contrary to his and his wife's sworn misrepresentations in their filed pleadings to this court) brought this action before this court well BEFORE the young children at issue had been evaluated by any qualified Family and Children's social worker within the State of their domicile or oversight of a state court properly presiding over the same, i.e. Oregon, where this court's emergency ex parte order to seize the children was executed around midnight and they were spirited, under cover of darkness, out of Oregon after handing off the very young three to the Stokers at a gas station adjacent to I-5 north of Eugene that night. The seizure was executed, as described at midnight, 5-30-21 in/near Oakridge, OR, the initial ex parte emergency petition for seizing my 3 children was filed 5-27-21, the order granting the petition was entered on 5-28-21. The Stokers filed their petition prior to the children being examined and evaluated precisely to deny me and my children due process with this court's approval, aid, and abetment under color of state law in violation of Oregon's sovereignty, the federal ADA (I have only one hand), my status as a destitute DV survivor (contrary to UCCJEA requirements, and in violation of meaningful protection under the 6<sup>th</sup> and 14 Amendment as well as principles laid out in Troxel vs. Troxel and the notorious Elian Gonzalez international case.

### JURISDICTION

The Petitioners (Stokers) are longtime residents of and domiciled in Thurston County, Washington.

I, Selena Smith (mother), am the person person bringing this motion. I had left Washington State without any intention of returning more than 6 months prior to the date my children were seized in Oregon where I resided and was domiciled with my children.

I, Selena Smith, the mother of the very young child(ren) at issue in this cause, due to DV, fled the State of Washington with my children prior to 11-24-20, which is the date James Wells (my boyfriend) filed a DV Protection Petition (20-2-30761-34 | JAMES DANIEL WELLS, Jr vs SELENA URSA SMITH) after I left Washington State to preserve my and my children's safety. Mr. Wells' purpose was to use the children (who he sought custody of in the petition) to support himself. The petition was denied by Court Commissioner Rebekah Zinn. Mr. Wells is currently sleeping in the open near Mt. Adams, is homeless and non-compliant with a subsequent DV protection order issued by the court.

I, Selena Smith filed a petition for DV protection, alleging Mr. Wells was violently abusive with me and the children, an alcoholic, and in need of anger management classes. Court Commissioner Rebekah Wells ruled in Selena's favor and ordered Mr. Wells to surrender his firearms. This action was filed by myself from out of State. I personally appeared before this court (Court Commissioner Rebekah Zinn, presiding) from an out of state DV women's shelter and filed the declaration of an advocate associated with that shelter confirming evidence I had seen of what appeared to be stalking while I was staying in that out-of-state DV shelter.

(20-2-30788-34 | SELENA URSA SMITH vs JAMES DANIEL WELLS, Jr)

i.e. I, Selena Smith, and my children have been absent and no longer resided in Washington State for longer than 6 months prior to having my 3 children seized around midnight on 5-30-21 under the color of Washington State law via an ex parte emergency guardianship order executed beyond Washington's own borders in a foreign state (Oregon). Court Commissioner Rebekah Zinn was either well aware I had left Washington State with my children (or should have been) more than 6 months before the instant case had been filed. I was under no legal obligation to inform my parents or Hans Stoker of my whereabouts, nor did the Stokers have standing to object since there was no court order granting them standing, custody, or

Preliminary MOTION to JOIN (Rule 19)  
doublekachina007@protonmail.com

3

Selena Smith, mother (971) 803-9898  
6901 26<sup>th</sup> Ct SE, Lacey, WA 98503

visitation. Yet they conspired to track me in conjunction with security guard Robert Kurtz for months wherever I went using my I-phone to do so.

Even case officers with the New Jersey Division of Children & Families admitted they had no authority to use a New Jersey Court Order (under the circumstances) to order law enforcement in Oregon to seize my children upon New Jersey's direction, although security guard Robert Kurtz indifferent to the invasion of my privacy.. When Kurtz's actions were challenged, a case worker supervisor retorted it was NJ Division of Children & Families to track or find missing families/children when receiving reports/suspicion of the same. **Except...there WERE NO missing children!** They were with me, their mother, who had no legal duty to provide the State of New Jersey or the Stokers with such information. Nor was there a nation wide manhunt for me, only the illegal surveillance conducted by security guard Robert Kurtz, the means by which he chose not to reveal in his declaration submitted to this court to avoid incriminating himself.

Robert Kurtz was acting only on his own without authority from his agency or direction to invade my privacy by conspiring with the Stokers who were using software on my I-phone to track, unbeknownst to me, my movements, purchases, bills and confidential health/billing records obtained by opening my mail without permission (as well as rifling through my personal papers left where I once resided on the Stoker property). Adding outrage to injury, the Stokers kept the notices of fines received in the mail they opened rather than forwarding it to my new mailing address of which they were aware—putting my Driver's License at risk of suspension for want of notice. They used the unlawfully acquired document to try and prejudice the court against me. They may have succeeded, denying me fairness in these proceedings, or even the appearance of fairness.

This rogue action by a Washington Family Court fails what even grade school children would recognize as the SMELL TEST. The statutory construction of a normal petition for guardianship of minors in Washington State replete with a full complement of meaningful due process is very different from an ex parte emergency petition for guardianship of minors with effectively **no meaningful due process**. Accordingly, the ex parte judicial excess of Washington's judiciary ought to be a pleasure enjoyed by its own citizens which it is accountable to rather than being visited upon the citizens of foreign states.

In Troxel vs. Troxel, the U.S. Supreme Court pronounced Washington's Courts interpretation of the 'best interests' of the child(ren) "breathtaking in scope"! Additionally, they concluded a parent's bond with their minor children was so fundamental a right that a state which substituted its judgment for a parents exceeded its authority no matter how seductive the state's reasoning might be unless there was genuine true imminent harm that would come to the child(ren). Not only is that not evident in the instant case, but the child(ren) at issue were not evaluated by a qualified expert prior to the court issuing its ex parte emergency seizure order executed out-of-state under cover of darkness.

Kathryn Stoker lied to my father when she described the circumstances and genesis of that seizure as well as the date of the court hearing (Nathan Kortokrax presiding who recused himself) as on the 18<sup>th</sup> of this month when it, in truth, was the 16<sup>th</sup>. The Stokers also lied about my mental condition and claims there was a "nation wide manhunt" for me.

This court did not provide me with 60 day notice to respond from service out-of-state, nor was a Return of Service filed in either of the 2 case #'s involving the child(ren) to either father or permission for alternative service ought.

Preliminary MOTION to JOIN (Rule 19)  
doublekachina007@protonmail.com

4

Selena Smith, mother (971) 803-9898  
6901 26<sup>th</sup> Ct SE, Lacey, WA 98503

In short, this case and all orders pursuant to it are void ab initio for failure to establish proper subject matter and in personam jurisdiction over all the parties. The issuance of the ex parte emergency order to seize the children executed out-of-state was based on fraudulent misrepresentations and deceptions that are a matter of record presented to the court. Nor did the court take any care to insure the child(ren) were genuinely at risk before giving them the impression that their mother was a 'bad' person as they were seized as though I was Dillinger. My father has ordered and will be paying for the video, audio, photos, and police reports from the Oakridge PD. They will reveal my children were not imperiled and their needs were being met; they were not living in squalid conditions. I will present this evidence to this court for its consideration given the chance. I have spoken to the Oakridge authorities about this case. Their assessment does not support the tale the Stokers had to tell this court. The midnight raid on myself and my child(ren) is what one would expect in a totalitarian regime or from Hollywood. I have spent many hours discussing these events with my father. He is part of my and my children's life and has always tried to maintain a relationship with us. He has never interfered with my relationship with my children. He is a necessary and indispensable party to this action as the Stokers have never respected his role in our lives which can be seen in their declarations where Hans and his wife deceives the court into believing he is my father and my children's grandfather. My story is persuasive if the court would but take the time to hear it out. My father, John Smith, has taken the time to do so since he learned of my predicament despite my mother dissembling to him. It is abundantly clear I am **not** delusional or mentally ill. I do cry and get upset about my babies. My father is not an attorney, but he has an important ongoing role in our lives that will be ignored by the Stokers if he is not allowed to join this action and represent himself on behalf of his relationship with me and my children.

## II RELIEF SOUGHT

**I am requesting my father, John Smith, be joined to this action under Rule 19 as a necessary and indispensable party in interest, and such other relief as this court deems just by all the parties.**

It is certain the Stokers will not allow my father to remain part of my children's lives or to visit freely with them as they have always disparaged him as long as I can remember, even as a child living in their household.

**I am requesting a court appointed attorney because I am indigent, cannot afford to hire one, am at risk of having my parental rights effectively extinguished by the Stokers, and because this court is an inconvenient venue I do not have meaningful access to.**

Washington State's public policy with respect to children is to reunify families, not tear them apart. This court, to date, has deeply insulted that policy, without proper jurisdiction or due diligence.

## DECLARATION

I have but one hand, am indigent (though employed), homeless, a DV victim fleeing my abuser, and desperately needs a court appointed lawyer, as do the child(ren) need a GAL not of the Stoker's choosing. I, Selena Smith [Name] **Declare** that:

1. A. I am the mother of Hazel Smith. Hans Stoker, contrary to his and his wife's sworn misrepresentation to this court, is **not**.

Preliminary MOTION to JOIN (Rule 19) 5 Selena Smith, mother (971) 803-9898  
doublekachina007@protonmail.com 6901 26<sup>th</sup> Ct SE, Lacey, WA 98503

Petitioners submitted materially misleading and fraudulent information to prompt this court to issue an ex parte emergency Guardianship of Minors order executed out of state in Oregon.

The Petitioners had no standing to be granted this emergency petition devoid of meaningful due process executed in a foreign state.

There is a custody/parenting plan order that exists in Colorado defining the father's (Robert Ayers) visitation rights regarding Hazel the petitioners did not inform this court of, even though they were well aware of it. Their testimony and representations are not credible.

**There was no emergency or threat of imminent harm when my children** were seized in Oakridge, Oregon around midnight after this court had issued an **ex parte** emergency order which the Oakridge police erroneously acted on without oversight from any Oregon State court where the children and I resided and were domiciled. More than 6 months had elapsed since I fled the State of Washington with my children to avoid DV abuse.

The ex parte emergency guardianship order executed out-of-state issued by this court violated Oregon's sovereignty and any meaningful due process I and my children were entitled to before our rights and welfare were violated.

My child(ren) at issue in this cause had been absent from Washington State in my care for **over 6 months** when they were seized after midnight, and transferred hours later under cover of darkness to the Stokers at a gas station north of Eugene, OR. adjacent to I-5 around 3:00am on 5-31-21. Subsequently, they were spirited across the state line into Washington and are at this time residing on the Stoker's 5 million dollar estate in Thurston County on the waterfront of the Nisqually reach.

I (Selena Smith) am indigent, homeless but for my RV, working as an auto parts delivery service, and just recently paid the traffic tickets threatening to suspend my WA. Driver's made all the more pernicious due to the Stokers seeking to use the unlawfully acquired document (notification) to prejudice this court rather than forward my mail to my new mailing address where I could have more timely responded. This reveals the Stokers did not act in good faith for my ever entrusting my mail to them and their demonstrated indifference to my welfare, contrary to the rosy picture they paint of themselves before this court. The Minnesota speeding ticket I received was shortly after I crossed a state line from a jurisdiction where the speed limit was 80mph. The Stokers opened my mail without my permission, then failed to send the notice to my new mailing address which they knew about. The court should refuse to take notice of this fruit from a poisoned tree.

The present Thurston venue the petitioners plotted in advance is highly inconvenient for me for all the reasons I've stated above.. I am unrepresented, have no computer or printer or access to the internet and my I-phone (the one the Stokers used to spy on me for months across country) is broken. I cannot afford to repair it. Thurston County represents a grave hardship as a venue for me--but the petitioners knew this when they filed in Thurston County, calculating it would hamstring me from being able to respond effectively, counting on my poverty to grease the skids they had constructed.

I desperately needs appointed counsel in this case because I cannot afford an attorney and have no practical way presently to attend this court in person.

I have objected on the record to these proceedings for want of jurisdiction, the Stokers having failed to provide even the barest scintilla of due process to the me and the fathers of the children.

Preliminary MOTION to JOIN (Rule 19) 6 Selena Smith, mother (971) 803-9898  
doublekachina007@protonmail.com 6901 26<sup>th</sup> Ct SE, Lacey, WA 98503

No Return of Service has been filed in this case I have seen verifying what was served on the parents, both fathers, and that it was done properly.

No motion demonstrating due diligence seeking alternative service on the fathers has been filed in this case/litigation.

There is evidence this court has tampered with the record (altering the caption in the filed documents/pleadings) without so much as a sua sponte motion being made part of the record permitting it—a violation of WA State criminal law and the rules of court prohibiting such tampering. (See this courts lining out of 2 of the children's names in this case number rather than granting an amended document or sua sponte motion or giving opposing parties the opportunity to object or weigh in on the matter.

Discussion of filing a petition for a Write of Habeas Corpus to produce the children in an Oregon court has been had and is being explored.

I, Selena Smith, also object to these proceeding as void ab initio because proper jurisdiction was never obtained since the parents were not properly served and subject matter jurisdiction is in doubt given no order from Washington State prior to the instant case gave the Stokers any standing whatsoever. Nor were the required 60 days to respond to original process for out-of-state service respected.

### **The Uniform Child-Custody Jurisdiction & Enforcement Act**

The above law has been adopted by 49 out of the 50 states with the exception of Massachusetts and Puerto Rico. Its purpose evolved from a coordinated interstate effort to prevent forum shopping and stymying the other parent's due process and access to the children, the very antithesis of what is occurring in the instant case before this court. Most galling is the fact Hans Stoker is not my children's grandparent despite what he misled the court to believe under penalty of perjury. Kathryn Stoker also perjured herself in support of the false claim made by Hans Stoker, as did their attorney, an officer of this court, who admitted she knew Hans was not the grandfather when she signed and submitted her petition to this court.

Some laws enacted after the UCCJA added a Federal dimension to interstate and international child-custody practices that was unforeseen by the drafters of the UCCJA in 1968 (but which was considered by drafters of the UCCJEA in 1997). In addition to the PKPA, these Federal laws include the Full Faith and Credit provisions of the VAWA, enacted in 1994; the Hague Convention, ratified in 1986; and the ICARA, enacted in 1988.<sup>19</sup> The VAWA. In recognition of the fact that domestic violence victims often leave the State where they were abused and need continuing protection in their new locations, the VAWA provides, among other things, for interstate enforcement of protection orders. Custody provisions incorporated into protection orders, however, are not governed by the VAWA.<sup>20</sup> These provisions are "custody determinations," subject to the PKPA and State law governing jurisdiction in child-custody cases. Neither the PKPA nor the UCCJA explicitly addresses the key concerns of domestic

Preliminary MOTION to JOIN (Rule 19)  
[doublekachina007@protonmail.com](mailto:doublekachina007@protonmail.com)

7

Selena Smith, mother (971) 803-9898  
6901 26<sup>th</sup> Ct SE, Lacey, WA 98503

violence victims who must litigate child custody interstate. The UCCJEA, however, addresses these concerns with a number of provisions. For instance, it protects against disclosure of a victim's address, expands emergency jurisdiction to cases in which a parent or sibling is at risk, and requires courts to **consider family abuse in their "inconvenient forum" analysis.**

It is aimed at and constructed to discourage/prevent **parents** from forum shopping by moving children from one state's jurisdiction to another so as to prevent access to and/or meaningful due process in resolving custody disputes litigation...precisely the strategy the Stokers have used in dispossessing me of my children except they are **NOT** the **parents** of the children, had no court standing or ordered visitation rights or pending litigation affecting the status of the children and **no genuine emergency existed where the children were at risk of immediate/imminent harm when seized out of state in Oakridge, OR. in the dead of night.**

Certainly Washington is an 'inconvenient forum/jurisdiction' given my indigent status and circumstances. It effectively deprives me of all meaningful due process protections that might otherwise have been available via a normal guardianship of minors petition. Petitioners have acted illegally and in bad faith by rifling through my personal records and opening my mail without permission.

The Stokers are also far too old to be raising my children. Hans is an alcoholic and both of them take a variety of mood altering/psychosomatic prescribed drugs, insisting I should do the same.

The Stokers have smeared my reputation and credibility from here to Kingdom Come using their false narrative of character assassination and mental illness to anybody who would listen, their own children in particular. Kathy's older brother (Dee) now suffers from dementia. Kathy's younger brother, Thomas Warren and his wife, Diane Warren are estranged from the Stokers for the parental alienation of affections of Thomas Warren's own children for much the same reason my father complains of the Stokers alienating my children from him.

Kathryn Stoker admitted to me she was using my I-PHONE TO TRACK me across the U.S., which explains a lot which the New Jersey Division of Children & Families security guard, Robert Kurtz, would not. His was a declaration full of unsubstantiated speculation, innuendos, and illegal surveillance (fruit of a poisoned tree doctrine) fed to him largely by the Stokers the court relied on heavily when signing its order for ex parte emergency relief executed out of state erroneously allowing the seizure of my children from me.

### **THE SMELL TEST**

After decades of allowing my mother to support me in exchange for generous visitation and association with my children, I realized in the wake of a falling out with my drug/alcohol addled boyfriend and DV abuser (James Wells) over a dispute regarding who the government social agencies would send the children's public assistance to, my relationship with my mother was more a liability than an asset to the integrity of my bond with my young children. James (father) was

Preliminary MOTION to JOIN (Rule 19)    8    Selena Smith, mother (971) 803-9898  
doublekachina007@protonmail.com    6901 26<sup>th</sup> Ct SE, Lacey, WA 98503



more like having an extra special needs child in an adult's body in my household than a parental partner. With only one good hand, my handicap made doing household chores more difficult than it would be for a normal person with two good hands. I had exhausted my support from Washington welfare agencies in the wake of my dispute with Jim (father) over the money which made both of us look suspicious/fraudulent, or worse, in the State's eyes. Washington refused to provide either of us further public assistance benefits.

James Wells filed petitions for a DV restraining order and one for a parenting plan seeking custody for himself of his two young children, Onawa and Raven in Thurston County Family Court. The house I and James resided in belonging to the Stokers became squalid by the owner's (and Maya Stoker's) own declaration--a condition on their own doorstep, which developed AFTER I left it, the Stokers had allowed to continue unabated. They also allowed the drug and alcohol abuse within that 'squalid' residence along with the DV to continue throughout those same years. None of this was a secret to the Stokers. The Stoker residence, though a waterfront mansion on the Nisqually Reach worth many millions of dollars, is falling into disrepair. It certainly isn't squalid, but Maya's declaration indicates the home the Stokers allowed myself, James Wells, and my children to live in practically at the Stokers door step, rent free, was!—according to them. It would appear the Stokers find fault with squalid home conditions unless it's a home they own and control.

I filed my own counter petition for DV protection against Jim Wells with the court circa December 3, 2020 while out of state in a DV protected women's shelter. The matter was heard before court commissioner Rebekah Zinn who entered the DV protection order in my (and my children's) favor. James Wells was ordered to undergo drug/alcohol evaluation and treatment, a condition he has not complied with along with anger management classes. Commissioner Zinn asked me what my financial status was, and I told her I had virtually nothing. She could see I was in an out of state DV women's shelter and was told I was indigent. Despite her knowledge of these mitigating factors under the UCCJEA, they were not considered in this case. Rather, Commissioner Zinn bought into the unsubstantiated fable I was mentally ill, allowing the Stokers to discredit me.

James had begun to describe me as an "evil woman", implying I should be 'eliminated'. I became frightened due to Jim's association with drug addicts, his own use of meth and alcohol as well as his association with the Hell's Angels biker club. I fled WA State out of fear, seeking safety in out of state DV shelters for women. I began to notice vehicles (at least one in particular) that appeared to be stalking me. My DV advocate noted it as well and filed a report with the Thurston Family court so stating under penalty of perjury. But this all fed into the Stoker's false narrative that Selena was delusional and mentally ill--a classic example of gaslighting. Yet it was consistent with Kat's history many years prior of hiring a detective to surveil my father and a Mason County Court clerk to monitor him on her behalf.

As I traveled, Hans and my mother began to amass unpaid traffic tickets and toll road/bridge violations in various states. The Stokers received the notices of the same in their mailbox where I was receiving my mail. Out of curiosity, they opened that mail and then submitted some of it in their declarations to this court in the instant ex parte emergency guardianship cases--products of

Preliminary MOTION to JOIN (Rule 19) 9 Selena Smith, mother (971) 803-9898  
[doublekachina007@protonmail.com](mailto:doublekachina007@protonmail.com) 6901 26<sup>th</sup> Ct SE, Lacey, WA 98503

violating my right to privacy. Neither did I give permission for the Stokers to rifle through my personal records in the house I left. The Stokers used my medical records they discovered in my personal belongings and submitted those to the court as well--another instance of their invading my privacy, an actionable cause for collateral litigation.

I started to become aware that the CPS agencies in various states I was traveling through or near were receiving complaints about my children. I imagined this might be due to retaliation from James for my obtaining a DV protection order against him. On occasion, I would call my mother in Washington. I spent some time in Massachusetts, NY, Maryland, Montana, Wisconsin, Wyoming, Oregon, and no more than 5 days in New Jersey (Brooklawn, not Camden as Hans Stoker falsely claimed before this court), yet I noted 12 CPS complaints lodged with NJ authorities when I was not present in NJ. This may be consistent with someone tracking me with my I-phone, but not knowing my exact location. Proximity may have been enough to trigger the welfare requests/CPS complaints from an invisible observer. Security guard Robert Kurtz declined in his declaration to name the methods he had access to for tracking me across the country, perhaps to avoid criminal liability. But he freely admits he did so. His employer in NJ stated Kurtz had no authority to engage in this conduct under their aegis. Yet he developed a great quantity of detailed facts regarding me and the Stokers--information he could only have gotten from the Stokers, including their misrepresentation to him they were the grandparents of my children.

Amy (my best friend) confirmed my suspicions about my I-Phone which Kathy paid for in a 'family' plan. How ironic. I turned the I-phone off and that seemed to stop the incidents associated with the tracking. I confronted my mother (Kathy) about this once I had purchased a burner cell phone at a retail store. Kathy admitted to my suspicions and promised to turn the tracking off. I didn't believe her. The burner cell phone lacked many of the capabilities my I-phone had. In a moment of naive weakness (the time I spent vacationing with my children next to a park in Oakridge, Oregon) I turned the I-phone on. That was enough to trigger the plan the Stokers and security guard Kurtz had hatched. They now knew my approximate location, had a description of my RV and license plate.

Without knowing anything about the condition or immediate circumstances of my children, they resorted to filing an ex parte emergency guardianship petition in Washington State rather than in Oregon where I and my children were then residing and domiciled. This was done IN ADVANCE (before the circumstances and any claimed 'imminent risk of harm' to my children could be determined) so as to conspire with Kurtz and some Oregon officials (but not Oregon's courts) to seize my children in the dead of night. An Oakridge LEO observed, at the time, my children had adequate care and their basic needs met from all appearances.

""We've GOT them," announced the call the Stokers received after dark and after they'd already filed their ex parte emergency guardianship petition in anticipation rather than in a reaction to any determination of an emergency reflecting the children's instant circumstances. In fact, **there was no emergency or imminent risk of harm to the children.** My fears of my mother's hysterical possessiveness and control fetish had been realized. But it was too late to stop the cascade of

events when my children were seized and taken from their me as though I was on the FBI's most wanted list, a fugitive from justice. Dillinger? The effect this had on my children is incalculable. The effect this had on me was devastating to the point I was inconsolable. I still begin to cry every time Raven's (my youngest) name comes up.

My children were sped to a handoff point at a gas station north of Eugene somewhere around 3:00am at night.. The Stokers then smuggled their kidnapped wards into Washington State where they had secured a court order prohibiting me from visiting or contacting my children. This is exceptionally cruel to me and my children. It's likely my very young and confused children now believe their mom is a 'bad' person (to use Maya's phrase) and a fugitive from justice akin to Al Capone.

There was no legitimate reason why a normal petition for the guardianship of minors replete with meaningful due process protections could not have been pursued absent any imminent risk to my children. The Stokers have managed to destroy me and my children, leaving them only with a drug addled alcoholic homeless father too habitually indolent to work. The Stokers, according to plan, have picked up the pieces (once again!) of the family they shattered to gratify their own egos and sense of self-righteous importance.

My father is very saddened by the recent turn of events. He has difficulty expressing this.

My father very much wants to continue to be part of our lives and is committed to me and my children. He says he will continue to pursue (including an appeal if necessary) his motion to join this action, as will I to join him under Rule 19 in order to preserve any access he has to my children. The Stokers certainly aren't going to willingly accommodate him in any event. They never have. They did everything possible to convince us as children behind his back he was the bugaboo. I expect they won't change their habits when they engage with my children, perpetuating the cycle of abuse for yet another generation.

#### **OBJECTIONS & RULE 19**

**I object to these proceeding as being without proper jurisdiction, thus void ab initio. I further object to them as an abuse of process under color of state law based on fraudulent misrepresentations to this court, discrimination against my handicap (in violation of the Americans with a Disability Act (ADA)), and failure to provide me sufficient time to prepare and object and make my case for vacating/dismissing this action and restoring my children to me immediately.**

**I also object to these proceedings because the are tantamount to cruel and unusual punishment visited on myself and my children that will leave them deeply scarred should they survive this ordeal in violation of the 8<sup>th</sup> Amendment, the 14<sup>th</sup>, and the 6<sup>th</sup> (transparency). Cutting me off without providing me with a meaningful quantum**

Preliminary MOTION to JOIN (Rule 19)  
[doublekachina007@protonmail.com](mailto:doublekachina007@protonmail.com)


11 Selena Smith, mother (971) 803-9898  
6901 26<sup>th</sup> Ct SE, Lacey, WA 98503

**of time to make my case does not meet the requirement of the court to provide justice and fairness to all the parties. It has not.**

**I have electronically e-mailed a copy of this document to the petitioner's attorney, Breckan Scott, Selena Smith, but have yet to receive Robert Ayers' (father) contact info. Ms. Scott may presently have that info.**

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington and pursuant to GENERAL Court RULE 13 and RCW 9A.72.085 that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signed at Mason, [County] Washington [State] on June 23, 2021 [Date].

A rectangular box containing a handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Selena Smith".

---

Signature of Petitioner or Lawyer/WSBA No.

Selena Smith (mother), pro se

Print Name

**I have e-mailed a copy of this entire document to Breckan Scott, attorney for the Stokers, Selena Smith, but not Robert Ayers having no contact information for him, on 6-23-21.**

**Superior Court of Washington  
for Thurston County Family &  
Juvenile Court**

In re: Emergency Guardianship of Hazel  
Belle Ursa Smith

Respondent(s): Minor Child(ren)

**No. 21-4-00443-34**

**Proposed Order of Selena  
Smith  
(Rule 19)  
(filing fees waived for family  
members in Guardian actions)**

TO: The Clerk of the Thurston County and Juvenile Court, (360)709-3260, 2801 32nd AVE SW,  
Tumwater, WA 98512;

AND,

Breckan Scott-Gabriel, bar #:41585, attorney for Kathryn Stoker (maternal grandmother) and Hans  
Stoker (husband of Kathryn Stoker, but NOT the grandfather), PO Box 1123, Yelm, WA 98597-1123,  
PH. (360)960-8951, fax (360)485-1916, e-mail: breckan@breckanlaw.com;

AND,

Selena Ursa Smith (aka: Laura?), e-mail: girlsforscience@yahoo/girlsforscience.icloud.com,  
current address uncertain, undisclosed(?);

AND,

James Daniel Wells (father of Raven and Onawa), (253)948-8260(?), [rodytok@gmail.com](mailto:rodytok@gmail.com); homeless,

AND,

Robert Ayers (father of Hazel), Ph. Unknown, address: unknown in Colorado, E-mail: (?)

**Findings of Fact and Conclusions of law**


**This court finds, by a preponderance of the evidence and declarations presented that John Smith is  
the father of Selena Smith and the maternal grandfather of her children.**

**This court will take Selena Smith's objections to jurisdiction into consideration and under  
advisement.**

**ORDER**

**Selena Smith's request for a court appointed attorney is granted/. The Clerk of the court is ordered  
to make the arrangements promptly and provide Selena Smith with the appointed attorney's contact  
information.**

**Selena Smith's request to join John Smith to this action pursuant to RULE 19 is granted.**



6-23-21

Respectfully submitted by John Smith Date

Signature of Judge/Commissioner

Date

Preliminary MOTION to JOIN (Rule 19)  
[doublekachina007@protonmail.com](mailto:doublekachina007@protonmail.com)

13 Selena Smith, mother (971) 803-9898  
6901 26<sup>th</sup> Ct SE, Lacey, WA 98503