E-FILED

THURSTON COUNTY, WA SUPERIOR COURT 02/28/2023 - 3:48PM Linda Myhre Enlow Thurston County Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF THURSTON

FAMILY AND JUVENILE COURT

IN THE GUARDIANSHIP OF:

| No. 21-4-00578-34 | Consolidated with No. 21-4-00443-34 |
| Minors/Children. | |

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORDED PROCEEDINGS

BE IT REMEMBERED that on the 21st day of November, 2022, the above-entitled and numbered cause came on for hearing before the Honorable Commissioner Pamella Nogueira, Thurston County Superior Court, Olympia, Washington.

Kathryn A. Beehler, CCR No. 2448
Official Court Reporter
Thurston County Superior Court
2000 Lakeridge Drive S.W.
Family and Juvenile Court
Olympia, WA 98502
(360) 709-3212

APPEARANCES

For the Petitioners
Hans Stoker and
Kathryn Stoker:

Virginia Clifford Attorney at Law Clifford Law

2952 Limited Lane NW

Suite A

Olympia, WA 98502

360-357-3007

Office@vcliffordlaw.net

For the Respondent Selena Ursa Smith:

Selena Ursa Smith

Guardian ad litem:

Cynthia Johnson, GAL

Also Present:

Selena Smith, mother

I N D E X

<u>Description</u>	Page Reference
November 21, 2022, Hearing:	4
Case Called/Introductory Remarks	4
Argument by Ms. Clifford	5
Court Indicates It Will Sign Renewed Orders	8
Selena Smith Enters Zoom Platform	9
Discussion	9
Ms. Selena Smith Addressed the Court	10
Response by Ms. Clifford	11
Discussion	12
Ruling of the Court	14
Discussion re Mediation	14
Court Enters Orders	16

1	November 21, 2022 Olympia, Washington
2	MORNING SESSION
3	Commissioner Pamella Nogueira, Presiding
4	(Transcript of Recorded Proceedings:)
5	000
6	THE COURT: All right. And then we have
7	Ms. Clifford now in the courtroom, so
8	MS. CLIFFORD: I apologize, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: if you want to no, that's
10	okay. I started right on the dot, so sometimes that
11	happens.
12	MS. CLIFFORD: No. It's my failure
13	THE COURT: I see
14	MS. CLIFFORD: I went back for the court
15	orders. I had forgotten to bring them.
16	THE COURT: Have you heard anything from
17	Ms. Smith?
18	MS. CLIFFORD: I have had some e-mail
19	communication back and forth. I e-mailed her copies
20	of the original petition and summons in the minor
21	guardianship cases, and she acknowledged receipt of
22	those.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	MS. CLIFFORD: I don't have any information
25	she doesn't want to disclose where she is, and of

1 course --2 THE COURT: No, no, no. MS. CLIFFORD: -- there are criminal cases. 3 THE COURT: I apologize. Oh, I always forget 4 5 when I get --Oh, which Smith? MS. CLIFFORO: 6 THE COURT: -- attorney Smith. 7 MS. CLIFFORD: But no, I have not --8 9 THE COURT: Ms. -- mom, Ms. Selena Smith is I apologize. I was like she doesn't want to 10 11 disclose where she's at? I'm assuming she's in her office. 12 13 MS. CLIFFORD: Okay. 14 THE COURT: I apologize. MS. CLIFFORD: I can find Ms. Smith. I can't 15 16 find Selena Smith. 17 THE COURT: I apologize. MS. CLIFFORD: So the biggest complication 18 19 right now at this moment is that attorney Breckan Scott's office is closed, and unfortunately, her 20 21 files have not been released to my client. So I'm having my clients -- so I'm having trouble verifying 22 whether or not the publication occurred for 23 24 Mr. Ayers, and I'd like to try --25 THE COURT: 0h.

MS. CLIFFORD: -- to proceed with getting that accomplished and filing proof of if it happened. And then I'm thinking that I'll come to the court for a motion for leave to do publication for Selena Smith, she is happy to receive the pleadings, but she's not quite ready to sign an acceptance of service. So we're in the, she knows about this, she's participating, but we haven't formally served or properly under the civil rules. And I think that probably I'll need to do that. But first I'm contacting the bar, because apparently our clients also have some funds still on account with Ms. Scott.

So I know that there's a process for reaching -THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. CLIFFORD: -- IOLTA accounts and getting files turned over. So I'm going to start that formally. I sent the forms to my client, but I think I'm going to chase this, because that also is the missing link.

I have not talked at all with Ms. Jennifer Smith, Counsel, but I know that her status quo is pretty -- it seems that she's not asking for anything. But I'm -- I think there's some informal contact between the petitioners and Mr. Wells. But I don't know if that --

THE COURT: I think so, as well. At least that had been mentioned in the past, that that was in the works or --

MS. CLIFFORD: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- at least an open communication.

MS. CLIFFORD: Yes. They're communicate -they're on the same team, so to speak.

THE COURT: So what is your hope to accomplish today?

MS. CLIFFORD: To get a reissuance of orders for both of the cases, because they -- the actual orders, letters, expired now.

Your Honor, I've spoken to the Clerk's Office downstairs, and they say that they'll issue letters of guardianship if the court gives that specific instruction in this reissuance order. I haven't written it in because normally we're reissuing the orders exactly as is, and it hasn't been in there yet. So I don't know if the court would entertain writing that in. It would help my clients feel a little consoled if they had actual letters of guardianship, but it's the court's option.

THE COURT: I thought that had already been done, so I am willing to entertain that today. Let's see.

1 Ms. Selena Smith, anything you want to let me know 2 today? Where is she? She was here a moment ago. 3 MS. CLIFFORD: Is she present? THE COURT: She -- oh, that's who you were 4 saying, Ms. Johnson, that you saw a minute ago. I 5 did see her, too. I thought you meant Ms. Jennifer 6 Smith, and I hadn't seen her --7 8 MS. JOHNSON: Oh --9 THE COURT: -- there you go. 10 MS. JOHNSON: -- oh. 11 THE COURT: The confusion of the Smiths. MS. JOHNSON: Yes, it is. 12 THE COURT: All right. I do believe Ms. Smith 13 14 left the platform. 15 MS. CLIFFORD: I apologize if I missed her. No. That's -- I think she left 16 THE COURT: before I even called it the first time. At least 17 18 Ms. Johnson was already not finding her. 19 Okay. At this point I will sign renewed orders. 20 MS. CLIFFORD: If I can approach? 21 THE COURT: Yes. We'll maintain the status 22 Ms. Johnson, I take it you don't have any 23 objections to that? MS. JOHNSON: No, I don't, Your Honor. 24 25 THE COURT: All right. Let's find a date

for --

MS. CLIFFORD: The next one.

THE COURT: -- the new hearing. Oh, Ms. Smith is joining us back again.

MS. CLIFFORD: Oh, okay. Selena Smith?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. CLIFFORD: Okay.

THE COURT: Ms. Selena Smith, can you hear us?

MS. SELENA SMITH: Sorry about that. I had technical difficulties.

THE COURT: That's okay. So as you know, we have been having an ongoing guardianship petition in this -- in both of your cases. Ms. Clifford took over as attorney for Ms. Kathryn and Mr. Hans Stoker since Ms. Scott's passing. Unfortunately, they've been having some difficulties obtaining the information from Ms. Scott's office since her passing. And that's unfortunate but also a little bit expected and common, just because she was a single -- a solo attorney, and it's not like a firm that others just keep going with the practice and assisting in what's going on.

So Ms. Clifford is having to contact the bar association in order to further inquire and have access to the files in this matter.

MS. SELENA SMITH: What kind of information?

THE COURT: Any and all information. The entire file is -- she has not been having access to it yet. So any and everything that the Stokers have been able to provide to their attorney has not made it to the hands of Ms. Clifford yet.

Does that make sense?

MS. SELENA SMITH: Yeah. So I also recognize that some of what they asserted existed does not exist in New Jersey or anywhere else.

THE COURT: What about New Jersey?

MS. SELENA SMITH: Well, Robert Kurts (phonetic) was a security guard, and that was proven through the office of accountability and through the child and welfare system there. There's no case that exists there on file with the sphere of record of the children and family services. And for several other assertions -- and including, like, the Brooklyn, New Jersey police log, like none of what they've asserted that started the case off is actually correct. Those files don't exist.

Like some of what they've asserted in the case doesn't exist. I've talked to Cynthia Johnson a little bit about this per e-mail, you know, where to look to prove that that's the case. So -- I mean,

I'm not sure what -- I understand the importance of having all files that Breckan Scott has associated with this case, but some of what's been asserted in the case already on record with the court simply doesn't exist.

I mean, Robert Kurts and fake subpoenas and, you know, some of those other stuff. I mean, I -- I just don't understand -- fact checking and verifying goes well beyond Breckan Scott's files. It's a matter of record with New Jersey and the State of Washington and other records sources. So, I mean, she's not the only source of records.

MS. CLIFFORD: Your Honor, if I could respond. I think what Ms. Selena Smith is saying, that she's disputing the validity of the information that was the basis of the petitioner's case. But we have not had a trial yet. And at a trial --

THE COURT: That's --

MS. CLIFFORD: --- everything must be proven and with evidence that is acceptable to -- and proven, you know, with a good track record, where it came from and admissibility. So that's down the road.

Right now we're just -- I'm attempting to verify actually whether a publication service occurred for

Mr. Ayers. That's one of the primary things I'm concerned about.

I also indicated to the court that Ms. Selena Smith receives the pleadings by e-mail and that that was acceptable to you for the petition and the summons. Now, I don't know if you are willing to acknowledge receipt of those and say that that's sufficient service to you or whether we have to do the -- the rules are that we send -- that we give you personal service or publication service. And so I would go those next steps and do that, unless you are satisfied with receiving the e-mails as service to you.

MS. SELENA SMITH: I verified with you several times, Ms. Clifford, that I've received --

THE COURT: All right --

MS. SELENA SMITH: -- what you've sent me by e-mail.

THE COURT: -- it's in the record now.

MS. CLIFFORD: That's great. I appreciate that. And I told the judge. That was not in the court record. So I wanted to --

THE COURT: It is now. There you go.

MS. CLIFFORD: -- yeah. I'd like to say, then, so you're happy with receiving pleadings by

e-mail, and so you'll tell the court that that's satisfactory to you?

MS. SELENA SMITH: Oh, it -- am I missing something here?

MS. CLIFFORD: Yeah. It's just that you're formally satisfying that that's satisfactory. And the reason is, otherwise I have to go find publication service to you in wherever we think you are. And without prying, I'm trying to just simplify things. So if you say you are satisfied receiving service by e-mail, that simplifies things.

MS. SELENA SMITH: I mean, can -commissioner, am I missing something? I understand
that I can receive service several ways, one of which
is by e-mail. I've confirmed with Ms. Clifford
several times that I've received what she sent by
e-mail. I don't understand why this question keeps
coming up.

MS. CLIFFORD: Well, I --

THE COURT: Because it was not part of the record before. And unfortunately, if it's not -- if the confirmation is not given to me, then basically a party could say that that was insufficient way later on and cause a nightmare for everybody in the case.

Does that make sense? That's the easiest way to

1 put it. So --2 MS. SELENA SMITH: I guess, but in the written 3 referral, what --THE COURT: -- to make sure that we're all on 4 the same page and that we're all moving forward 5 together on the same page, she just wants to make 6 sure that it's on the record that you did receive 7 8 them, although you have let her know informally 9 that --MS. SELENA SMITH: I -- I just stated that I 10 11 did --12 THE COURT: Exactly. 13 MS. SELENA SMITH: -- and she's asking me to 14 repeat myself. 15 MS. CLIFFORD: Okay. THE COURT: There you go. And that's now on 16 the record, and it's sufficient for the court, and it 17 is -- and that's that. 18 19 MS. CLIFFORD: Thank you. That's all. 20 THE COURT: So what I intend on doing today is 21 setting the next review hearing. I will say, my intentions -- Ms. Clifford, do you think mediation in 22 this case would be beneficial at all? 23 24 MS. CLIFFORD: I guess. I'd ask Ms. Selena Smith. Do you feel that mediation with your mother 25

and stepfather would be of use in the case?

MS. SELENA SMITH: I don't know what mediation is.

THE COURT: So mediation would be a time where you would meet. Oftentimes people go to the Dispute Resolution Center because it's more affordable than hiring an attorney or a retired judge in order to do that. And the mediator is basically like a referee. They will assist in figuring it out, people's point of views and what they want and common ground in an attempt to settle the case.

Does that make sense?

MS. SELENA SMITH: Yes. I have no attorney to assist me in that process.

THE COURT: That's correct. However, the

Dispute Resolution Center doesn't accept attorneys,
so they wouldn't have attorneys there, either.

MS. SELENA SMITH: Okay. And if mediation fails, does it end up back in court? I'm not confident that --

THE COURT: Then you guys come right back to where you were --

MS. SELENA SMITH: -- mediation would be successful.

THE COURT: -- yep. It's just an effort to

settle. You're not obligated to settle.

MS. SELENA SMITH: All right. Well, I still object to the guardianship. There were problems with original service and jurisdiction. But I am willing to give mediation a try. I expect full custody of my children back.

MS. CLIFFORD: Okay. That does explain your position, and I appreciate that. I think that given that that is a strongly held belief and position, I have to respect that and say that I think mediation is probably not going to help, because I believe that both sides in the case are both feeling the same way. And there's no way to --

MS. SELENA SMITH: Then I have confidence in the trial process. Because you're not going to be able to come up with --

THE COURT: And that's all right --

MS. SELENA SMITH: -- you know, what's necessary to back up your assertions.

THE COURT: -- Ms. Smith. Hold on. Hold on. Let's one at a time; okay? And you are both speaking to me, so there is no reason to be disrespectful or be angry. I'm just listening and hearing the --

MS. SELENA SMITH: It's my children --

THE COURT: -- what everyone has to say. I

very much understand. But so today I will enter orders. I am also going to enter an order waiving mediation.

MS. SELENA SMITH: I don't feel fully informed on this. They're saying they won't cooperate in the mediation process, that their positions aren't going to change, so mediation isn't going to make a difference. I'm willing to give mediation a try, but if they're -- if their whole idea is that, you know, I'm just going to give into the guardianship, well then that's not mediation.

THE COURT: Can I get an order waiving mediation? And that is why I'm waiving that requirement. That is a local court rule that requires mediation unless some specific circumstances make it so the court believes that mediation should be waived. And in this case -- I'll need two, actually, because there's two cause numbers.

MS. CLIFFORD: Do you want me to fill them out, Your Honor?

THE COURT: I wouldn't mind that at all.

MS. CLIFFORD: Okay. I can -- I have a pen, and I can could it.

THE COURT: Thank you. So I am willing -MS. SELENA SMITH: My kids have been gone for

November 21, 2022, Hearing - Court Enters Orders

1 two years, like over a year. And they're -- and 2 there's been no fact checking or verification of the 3 Stokers' original petition. She had apologized to 4 My kids were one, two, and eight, you 5 know, when they were removed. And, I mean, that's 6 really young. If this were a CPS process, I would 7 have more rights. 8 THE COURT: So again, Ms. Clifford, I want 9 that order to waive mediation to --10 MS. CLIFFORD: Yes. 11 THE COURT: -- also place this matter on the 12 administrative calendar for trial setting on January 13 9th. 14 MS. CLIFFORD: Trial setting on January 9th. 15 Okay. 16 THE COURT: All right? MS. SELENA SMITH: No attorney. No attorney. 17 18 THE COURT: Ms. Smith? You've had two 19 attorneys. You fired them both. I have gone --20 MS. SELENA SMITH: Yeah. Because they never 21 were in contact or communication. 22 THE COURT: No, Ms. Smith. You were never in 23 contact or in communication, and you were --24 MS. SELENA SMITH: My e-mails can prove the 25 exact opposite.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Even with that, I have						
2	still attempted to find attorneys for you. I have						
3	reached out to every single attorney we have on the						
4	list. I then went through the presiding judge, had						
5	another list of attorneys approved, and I still have						
6	not been able to find an attorney that has an						
7	acceptable caseload and that						
8	MS. SELENA SMITH: That doesn't change my						
9	rights						
10	THE COURT: do not have a conflict with						
11	this case.						
12	Ms. Smith, your rights were met when I appointed						
13	attorney number one and number two. Now it's a						
14	favor, not a right. I'm						
15	MS. SELENA SMITH: A favor.						
16	THE COURT: Yes. Your right to						
17	MS. SELENA SMITH: I went two months without						
18	an appointed attorney in the emergency matter of this						
19	case. I've gone several						
20	THE COURT: Ms. Smith, if you continue to						
21	interrupt						
22	MS. SELENA SMITH: times to that						
23	THE COURT: me, you're going to be done						
24	speaking today all						
25	MS. SELENA SMITH: I'm already						

1 THE COURT: -- together. 2 MS. SELENA SMITH: -- done. You've got my 3 What matters? kids. 4 THE COURT: I don't have your kids. So again, 5 if you --6 MS. SELENA SMITH: Yeah. I've got due 7 process. 8 THE COURT: -- stop interrupting me, we can 9 continue today's matter. Otherwise, I can mute you 10 and you can listen to what happens next. 11 So again, today I want to enter orders for both matters waiving mediation, setting these matters for 12 13 trial setting on the administrative calendar. For 14 Ms. Smith's knowledge, that is not something that 15 anybody attends to. It's just so it's on our staff's 16 calendar for trial setting. 17 MS. CLIFFORD: Your Honor, does the -- excuse 18 Do I put that in this order, or is that on your 19 own process? 20 THE COURT: You can, but I can do it as well 21 if you'd like. 22 MS. CLIFFORD: I can do it. 23 THE COURT: And then the parties will have 24 until December 27th to file a response to a request for settlement conference and trial setting: 25

then I intend on setting a review hearing on this matter for January 23 if the parties are available.

MS. CLIFFORD: Yes. I am, Your Honor. So December 26th is the date for filing a response by Ms. Smith?

THE COURT: December 27th. The 26th is a --

MS. CLIFFORD: Oh, a holiday.

THE COURT: -- holiday. Yeah.

MS. CLIFFORD: Okay. Respondent's --

THE COURT: So December 27th would be a -would be the deadline for all parties in this case to
file the form that can be found and by -- online at
our Superior Court website. It can be found in the
Clerk's Office or Court Administration.

The form has a mouthful of a name. It's "response to a request for settlement conference and trial setting." I promise I did not name it; to the contrary, I try to change it every day. And Ms. Smith, although I have already told you everything that's been done for an attorney, I have continued to have my staff reach out to local attorneys that were just too busy to see if that has changed, and I have her continue to reach out to attorneys out of county to see if anyone could possibly take the case.

1	Oh, she logged off again. Well					
2	MS. CLIFFORD: I'm going to send her a copy of					
3	this.					
4	THE COURT: Thank you. But again, I will					
5	continue to do those efforts. I do think it would be					
6	beneficial to all parties to have her represented.					
7	It would be easier, more efficient, and obviously					
8	more helpful to her.					
9	But I have signed the orders on emergency					
10	guardianships on Raven and Onawa, and I am now going					
11	to sign the one on Hazel.					
12	MS. CLIFFORD: Okay.					
13	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Clifford, do you					
14	mind if you just present those whenever you have them					
15	ready?					
16	MS. CLIFFORD: Yes. That's fine.					
17	THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to call					
18	some other cases					
19	MS. CLIFFORD: Yes. I'm happy to do so.					
20	Thank you.					
21	THE COURT: Ms. Johnson.					
22	MS. JOHNSON: All right.					
23	THE COURT: Thank you.					
24	MS. CLIFFORD: I feel like we made some					
25	progress, at least, in moving it along.					
	I					

THE COURT: Well, all right. If you feel like progress, then I'm happy. Sometimes these cases, you know --MS. CLIFFORD: Always. THE COURT: -- it's like so many setbacks that are -- it's difficult to find progress in them. hopefully we're getting there. All right. So I'll move on. (Conclusion of the November 21, 2022, Proceedings.)

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF THURSTON FAMILY AND JUVENILE COURT

ΙN	THE	GUARDIANSHIP	
0F:			

HAZEL BELLE URSA SMITH

No. 21-4-00578-34 Consolidated with No. 21-4-00443-34

Minors/Children

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF WASHINGTON)

State of Washington) ss

COUNTY OF THURSTON)

I, Kathryn A. Beehler, Official Reporter of the Superior Court of the State of Washington, in and for the County of Thurston, do hereby certify:

I received the November 21, 2022, electronic recording directly from Thurston County Superior Court; This transcript is a true and correct transcription of the proceedings to the best of my ability; I am in no way related to or employed by any party in this matter, nor any counsel in the matter; and I have no financial interest in the litigation.

Kathy A Beeller Kathryn K. Beehler, Transcriber