

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DES

RECEIVED & FILED
(5) DSAUG 28 2013
GINGER BROOKS, Clerk of the
Superior Court of Mason Co. Wash.

**SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF MASON**

In re the Parentage of:

MILDRED VEDDER,

Child,

SCOTT PAUL TURCHIN,

Petitioner,

and

SHAWNIE ANN VEDDER,

Respondent.

No. 13-3-00176-1

**Order Re Modification/Adjustment
Of Custody Decree/Parenting
Plan/Residential Schedule
(ORMDD/ORDYMT)**

10

I. Basis

This order is based on a petition for an order modifying the prior parenting plan and an agreement of the parties.

II. Findings

The Court Finds:

2.1 Jurisdiction

This court has jurisdiction over this proceeding for the reasons below.

This court has exclusive continuing jurisdiction. The court has previously made a child custody, parenting plan, residential schedule or visitation determination in this matter and retains jurisdiction under RCW 26.27.211.

ORIGINAL

1 This state is the home state of the child because the child lived in Washington with a
2 parent or a person acting as a parent for at least six consecutive months immediately
3 preceding the commencement of this proceeding and any absences from Washington
4 have been only temporary.

5 **2.2 Modification Under RCW 26.09.260(1),(2)**

6 The parenting plan should be modified because a substantial change of circumstances has
7 occurred in the circumstances of the child or the nonmoving party and the modification is
8 in the best interest of the child and is necessary to serve the best interest of the child.
9 This finding is based on the factors below:

10 The parties agree to the modification.

11 The following facts, supporting the requested modification, have arisen since the decree
12 or plan or were unknown to the court at the time of the decree or plan:

13 The parties agree to the modification.

14 **2.3 Modification or Adjustment Under RCW 26.09.260(4) or (8)**

15 Does not apply.

16 **2.4 Adjustments to Residential Provisions Under RCW 26.09.260(5)(a) and (b)**

17 Does not apply.

18 **2.5 Adjustments to Residential Provisions Under RCW 26.09.260(5)(c), (7), (9)**

19 Does not apply.

20 **2.6 Adjustments to Nonresidential Provisions Under RCW 26.09.260(10)**

21 Does not apply.

22 **2.7 Substantial Change in Circumstances**

23 The following substantial change has occurred in the circumstances of either party or of
24 the child:

25 The parties agree to the modification.

2.8 Protection Order

Does not apply.

1
2 **III. ORDER**

3 *It is Ordered:*

4 The petition to modify parenting plan/residential schedule is granted. The parenting plan/
5 signed by the court on this date is approved and incorporated as part of this order. This
6 decree or parenting plan supersedes all previous decrees or parenting plans.

7 Child support shall be modified in accordance with the custody decree or parenting plan
8 approved by the court. The order of child support signed by the court on this date is
9 approved and incorporated as part of this order. This order of child support supersedes
10 all previous child support orders.

11 Dated: August 28, 2013

12 
13 **Judge/Commissioner**

RICHARD C. ADAMSON

Approved by:

Presented by:

14 _____
15 Daniel L. Goodell, WSBA No. 14790 Date
16 Attorney for Petitioner

17 See attached
18 _____
19 Mark E. Bardwil, WSBA No. 24776 Date
20 Attorney for Respondent

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

III. ORDER

It is Ordered:

The petition to modify parenting plan/residential schedule is granted. The parenting plan/signed by the court on this date is approved and incorporated as part of this order. This decree or parenting plan supersedes all previous decrees or parenting plans.


Child support shall be modified in accordance with the custody decree or parenting plan approved by the court. The order of child support signed by the court on this date is approved and incorporated as part of this order. This order of child support supersedes all previous child support orders.

Dated: _____


Judge/Commissioner

Presented by:

Approved by:



Daniel L. Goodell, WSBA No. 14790 Date
Attorney for Petitioner



Mark E. Bardwil, WSBA No. 24776 Date
Attorney for Respondent

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

**SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF MASON**

In re the Parentage of:

No. 13-3-00176-1

MILDRED VEDDER,

**DECLARATION REGARDING
ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE**

Child,

SCOTT PAUL TURCHIN,

Petitioner,

and

SHAWNIE ANN VEDDER,

Respondent.

I, Kay Luoma, of Goodell Law, Inc., P.S. do hereby state and declare as follows:


1.) I am an assistant to the attorney for the Petitioner, Scott Paul Turchin.

2.) I have examined the Order Re: Modification to which this page is attached, and it consists of 5 pages, including the signature page and this page.

2.) The foregoing document is complete and legible.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

SIGNED this 27 day of August, 2013, at Shelton, Washington.



Kay Luoma