E-FILED
THURSTON COUNTY, WA
SUPERIOR COURT
11/23/2020 3:46:38 PM
Linda Myhre Enlow
Thurston County Clerk

Superior Court of Washington, County of Thurston

In re:
Petitioner,

No. 19-2-30942-34

MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE VACATION OF PROTECTION ORDER

(MT)

Motion for Order to Show Cause Re: Vacation of Order

To both parties:

1

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Deadline! Your papers must be filed and served by the deadline in your county's Local Court Rules, or by the State Court Rules if there is no local rule. Court Rules and forms are online at www.courts.wa.gov.

If you want the court to consider your side, you **must**:

- File your original documents with the Superior Court Clerk; AND
- Give the Judge/Commissioner a copy of your papers (if required by your county's Local Court Rules); AND
- Have a copy of your papers served on all other parties or their lawyers; AND
- Go to the hearing.

The court may not allow you to testify at the motion hearing. Read your county's Local Court Rules, if any.

Bring proposed orders to the hearing.

To the person filing this motion:

Optional Form (05/2016) FL All Family 181

Motion for Order

p. 1 of **3**

LAW OFFICE OF FORREST L. WAGNER, P.S. 1700 Cooper Point Rd. SW, Ste. C4 Olympia, Washington 98502 (360) 866-7025

You must schedule a hearing on this motion. You may use the *Notice of Hearing* (form FL All Family 185) unless your county's Local Court Rules require a different form. Contact the court for scheduling information.

To the person receiving this motion:

If you do not agree with the requests in this motion, file a statement (using form FL All Family 135, *Declaration*) explaining why the court should not approve those requests. You may file other written proof supporting your side.

1. Relief Requested

My name is James Fowler. I ask the court to approve the following orders:

Order to Show Cause Re: Vacation of the Order of Protection entered herein on May 22nd 2020;

2. Statement of Issues

I ask the court to decide the following issues:

See Declaration of James Fowler filed in conjunction with this motion;

3. Statement of Facts/Grounds

These facts support my request:

See Declaration of James Fowler filed in conjunction with this motion;

4. Evidence Relied Upon

I ask the court to consider this evidence:

See Declaration of James Fowler filed in conjunction with this motion;

5. Legal Authority

I have the right to ask for these orders according to the law:

See Declaration of James Fowler filed in conjunction with this motion;

Optional Form (05/2016) FL All Family 181

Motion for Order

p. 2 of 3

LAW OFFICE OF FORREST L. WAGNER, P.S. 1700 Cooper Point Rd. SW, Ste. C4 Olympia, Washington 98502 (360) 866-7025

·	ovided on this form			Date	Nov	19, 2020
Signed at: Government of the control of the	, WA				e: <u></u>	
	this motion signs		mes Fowler rint name he			
_	_					
I agree to acce	pt legal papers for	this case at r	my lawyer's	address,	listed b	elow.
Lawyer fills or	ut below					
	<u> </u>		lagner			11/23/20
Lawyer signs/h	e re	Print nan	ne and WSB/	4 <i>No.</i>		Date
1700 COOPER I	PONT ROAD, S.W.	, Ste C4	OLYMPIA		WA	98502
			city		state	zip
	<i>SS</i>		City			- /
Lawyer's addre			<i>Oity</i>			- 7
Lawyer's addre	er@comcast.net		<i>Orey</i>			,
<i>Lawyer's addre</i> Email: <u>FLWagn</u> e	er@comcast.net	th the court ar	,	or anyon		•
Email: <u>FLWagne</u> Warning! D are sealed. F	er@comcast.net Pocuments filed wit	and confident	re available f	as descrit	e to see	unless
Email: FLWagne Warning! D are sealed. F 22, must be	er@comcast.net Oocuments filed with Financial, medical, sealed so they car	and confident n only be see	re available ficial reports, and by the cou	as describ art, the o	e to see bed in (ther pa	e unless i General I
Email: FLWagne Warning! D are sealed. F 22, must be s lawyers in you	er@comcast.net Oocuments filed with Financial, medical, sealed so they can our case. Seal thos	and confident n only be see se documents	re available ficial reports, and by the couby filing the	as descriturt, the of means of the separate	e to see bed in (ther pa tely, us	e unless to General I rty, and ing a <i>Se</i>
Email: FLWagne Warning! D are sealed. F 22, must be s lawyers in you	er@comcast.net Oocuments filed with Financial, medical, sealed so they can or case. Seal thos form FL All Family	and confident n only be see se documents	re available ficial reports, and by the couby filing the	as descriturt, the of means of the separate	e to see bed in (ther pa tely, us	e unless to General I rty, and ing a <i>Se</i>
Email: FLWagne Warning! are sealed. F 22, must be sealed be sealed. F cover sheet (f	er@comcast.net Oocuments filed with Financial, medical, sealed so they can or case. Seal thos form FL All Family	and confident n only be see se documents	re available ficial reports, and by the couby filing the	as descriturt, the of means of the separate	e to see bed in (ther pa tely, us	e unless to General I rty, and ing a <i>Se</i>
Email: FLWagne Warning! are sealed. F 22, must be sealed be sealed. F cover sheet (f	er@comcast.net Oocuments filed with Financial, medical, sealed so they can or case. Seal thos form FL All Family	and confident n only be see se documents	re available ficial reports, and by the couby filing the	as descriturt, the of means of the separate	e to see bed in (ther pa tely, us	e unless to General I rty, and ing a <i>Se</i>
Email: FLWagne Warning! are sealed. F 22, must be sealed be sealed. F cover sheet (f	er@comcast.net Oocuments filed with Financial, medical, sealed so they can or case. Seal thos form FL All Family	and confident n only be see se documents	re available ficial reports, and by the couby filing the	as descriturt, the of means of the separate	e to see bed in (ther pa tely, us	e unless to General I rty, and ing a <i>Se</i>
Email: FLWagne Warning! are sealed. F 22, must be sealed to be sealed. F cover sheet (f	er@comcast.net Oocuments filed with Financial, medical, sealed so they can or case. Seal thos form FL All Family	and confident n only be see se documents	re available ficial reports, and by the couby filing the	as descriturt, the of means of the separate	e to see bed in (ther pa tely, us	e unless to General I rty, and ing a <i>Se</i>

Optional Form (05/2016) FL All Family 181

Motion for Order

p. 3 of 3

LAW OFFICE OF FORREST L. WAGNER, P.S. 1700 Cooper Point Rd. SW, Ste. C4 Olympia, Washington 98502 (360) 866-7025

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22 23

24 25

26 27

28

STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON FAMILY AND JUVENILE COURT

In re

JENNIFER COMO

Petitioner

And

JAMES FOWLER

Respondent.

NO: 19-2-30942-34

DECLARATION OF JAMES FOWLER IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE VACATION OF ORDER

James Fowler hereby states and certifies as follows:

I make this declaration in support of my motion to vacate and set aside the final order of protection entered on May 22, 2020. I am asking that the court set aside the order for protection because I did not engage in the alleged sexual abuse, and that evidence probative of that issue was not presented to the court as explained herein. The proceeding which resulted in the final order was an insufficient process for a final order which constituted a de facto termination of my constitutional right to the care, custody and companionship of my minor child. Although the protection order protecting my daughter will theoretically expire in a year, I am told that the finding of sexual abuse on which that order is based will form a perpetual bar to me having any contact. I am asking that a new evidentiary hearing where all of the relevant evidence can be reviewed and considered by the court.

Brief History

I am the father of Jordan Rain Fowler Como, my daughter, who was born on June 5th, 2012, making her 8 years old. Her mother and I have a final parenting plan governing our rights and responsibilities relative to Jordan. That parenting plan was entered in Thurston County on January 9th, 2018. Under the plan, Jordan lives primarily with her mother and has visitation with me which I have faithfully exercised from the beginning.

In the late fall of 2019, I had discovered a great deal of information that Jordan was receiving sub-par care in the home of her mother. I obtained school records which are nothing short of alarming. Jordan clearly had significant behavioral-emotional problems which were evident in her school performance and in her behavior at school. **Exhibits 2 and 3** which I had filed in the protection order proceeding create an alarming record of nearly non-stop behavioral issues by Jordan. Those records undeniably show an unacceptable situation for my daughter at her mother's residence and I felt that serious intervention was required. I was preparing to file and serve a modification of custody. And I also attended school in person on many occasions only because I was concerned about my daughter and wanted to be able to help her.

Around the time I became aware of Jordan's dismal school performance, I learned that the mother was continuing to abuse drugs. I fully acknowledge that I also have a significant history with drugs and alcohol, and I acknowledge that I served time in prison. However, my history with drugs and alcohol is exactly that — history. I completely reformed my life. I have filed an abundance of material in this case and in the family law case which shows that I am well-established in my recovery. A guardian ad litem, David Rothschild, conducted an investigation in the family law matter and he found that I had completely addressed all of my

Issues. I had battled drugs and alcohol for many years. I tried to get clean more than once and I failed. But I finally established a foothold in recovery after I became a father and Jordan came into our life. At that point, I got serious about my sobriety. I completed an outpatient program at Northwest Resources on March 9th, 2015. I have filed my certificate of completion. Not only did I complete treatment but I excelled in treatment, and it was transformational. I came out of treatment a different person than I went in. I became friends with Dennis Neal, who is the director of NW Resources and through that friendship the realization of a life-long commitment to staying sober was further impressed upon me. I want the court to know that I have just over six years clean and sober in recovery. My clean date is June 30th, 2014. I also completed the Dynamic Dads Parenting Program, and I also did a mental health evaluation by Jason Cain. As a result of that evaluation, I then began and successfully completed a program of individual psychotherapy. The exit letter composed by Jason Cain attests that I attended 9 additional sessions after I completed the program. In the exit letter, Jason Cain states:

Mr. Fowler has demonstrated himself to be an honest, helpful, and supportive participant in treatment. Mr. Fowler has further demonstrated a willingness to be accountable for his actions without minimizing or blaming others. Mr. Fowler has expressed on numerous occasions his concerns related to the safety and wellbeing of his child. Mr. Fowler has given this provider no reason to doubt the legitimacy and/or sincerity of his claims.

I have worked very hard to reform my life. I am now a union carpenter, very skilled in a variety of trades, and I am and have been gainfully employed. I am not affluent by any means, but I am stable and financially secure.

I was living in Florida when I met Jennifer. We both have family there. Our relationship was forged during a time we were both actively using drugs and alcohol. After she found out

she was pregnant, our relationship became so unbearable I needed to remove myself from the environment. Jennifer suddenly disappeared. She simply left overnight for the state of Washington. Wanting to be an engaged father, I gave up my life in Florida and came to Washington. We both continued to use. I finally gave up drugs and alcohol for good on June 30th, 2014. Jennifer continued to use. I do not think that Jennifer's drug problem was ever addressed in any meaningful way.

I also learned that Jennifer had lost her job at the state of Washington for testing positive for Methamphetamine in a UA test they conducted on her. She was obviously performing poorly at work and having issues and when they tested her she tested positive for meth, which is a very addictive substance. I know of no treatment or services that Jennifer has accessed. I also discovered that Jennifer was living with an individual named Steven Williams who had served a year in county jail for a crime involving domestic violence. Due to our daughter's horrible school performance and the concerns about the mother's home, I notified the mother of my concerns. I initiated the dispute resolution procedure of our parenting plan. I thought we would attempt to co-parent and work together to address our daughter's situation. The Dispute Resolution Center called her to explaining that I wanted to discuss issues in mediation. The very next day Jennifer went to this court and filed a petition for a protection order. The entire basis for the petition was that my daughter allegedly said that I had touched her vagina "inside her underwear." That is the entire basis for the petition for protection.

Protection Order Proceeding

The mother initiated this protection order proceeding within a day or two of being notified that I was preparing for a modification based on the abysmal circumstances of my daughter's

situation in her mother's home. While I attempted to comply with the parenting plan and scheduled a mediation, Jennifer went to court and obtained a Temporary Protection Order based on an allegation that she attributes to our daughter. I was served with the Petition for a Protection Order and the Temporary Order. I was made aware that I could have no contact with Jennifer or my daughter. The petition was filed on December 18th, 2019 and the Temporary Protection Order was signed on the same day.

Concurrently the mother also notified Child Protective Service (CPS) which began an investigation at that time in conjunction with the law enforcement agency with the appropriate jurisdiction, which is in this case was the Lacey Police Department. Both CPS and the Lacey Police conducted concurrent investigations into the allegations. *The allegations are false*. I would never harm my daughter in any way, and I have serious problems with the mother's motivation and bad faith. I have never ever touched my daughter in any inappropriate way—never. I am doubtful whether my daughter made such allegation, and if she did, it would have been under manipulation or coercion by her mother. I want to make it clear that I categorically deny that I have ever engaged in any abuse of my daughter, be it physical, emotional, or sexual. I would never do anything like that. I am a good father.

The Temporary Protection Order set a final hearing date but that hearing date had to be rescheduled several times because we were waiting for the results of the CPS investigation and that took a lot of time. Also, the COVID-19 pandemic intervened and delayed the final hearing until May 22nd roughly five months from the date the petition was filed. I did not have counsel in this proceeding and had to represent myself.

In responding to the petition, I provided *over a dozen supporting declarations* from disinterested third parties which affirmed my parenting skills, my relationship with Jordan, and my general good character. I also had the support of Jennifer's own mother and stepfather who are very concerned about Jennifer's care of Jordan and my exclusion from her life. They do not believe that I have engaged in any abuse of my daughter. I feel like I provided the court with a significant amount of information which shed doubt on the validity of this allegation. The hearing eventually took place on May 22nd. The court determined at that hearing that there was sufficient evidence to grant the protection order.

Basis to Vacate: New Evidence—CPS Report

I am asking that the court consider the unusual circumstances of this case and grant a new evidentiary hearing on the merits of this petition. At the time of the hearing, CPS had completed its investigation and it concluded that that the allegations against me were Unfounded which means that by a preponderance of evidence it was more likely than not that I did not commit the abuse or that there was insufficient evidence to find that I had committed the act. The only evidence submitted in this case was an assertion by the mother that my daughter said I touched here vagina. The mother was referred to take Jordan to the Sexual Assault Clinic (SAC) for a physical examination and the mother refused. The court should consider that the mother is an interested party, and she is relating double hearsay which becomes the basis for this entire order.

There was not any evidence solicited through any forensic interview or law enforcement investigation. The only evidence was an inadmissible hearsay statement of the child which was coming through the mother who the evidence overwhelmingly shows is not a reliable reporter.

Nonetheless, it was on that evidence that the order for protection was issued, essentially terminating of my parental rights.

I assumed that the court would have received the CPS Report which was published on April 23rd, 2020. I think that report is critical because it is my understanding that CPS was undertaking a forensic interview of the child. If trained child abuse investigators are conducting a forensic interview of an alleged child victim, I would think that their conclusions about the validity of the allegations would carry much more weight than the testimony of the mother who is clearly an interested party in this case. The probative weight of the CPS report is huge and it is paramount that any court making decisions based on these allegations should have the benefit of that report. *The court did not have that information at the time of the hearing.* And I submit that this evidence was important and should have been considered.

I am asking that the court consider the CPS report as significant evidence which in the interests of justice should be considered in the disposition of this request for a protection order. I am asking that the court set aside the order and set a new hearing date where the merits of this action can be fully litigated. There is no sound reason why the court should not receive and review the report and hear from the CPS investigator in live testimony. The conclusions of trained investigators who actually conducted a forensic interview of the alleged victim is evidence against which the mother's uncorroborated self-serving statement cannot stand up. The conclusions of CPS after a full investigation is vital evidence which in the interests of justice ought to be considered in this case.

I acknowledge that the CPS report was available and could have been introduced into evidence. However, as the party being investigated and going through a court proceeding and

a CPS investigation at the same time, it was inconceivable to me that the report of CPS would not be known to the court. I assumed it was all one unitary proceeding and when one branch of the proceeding concluded with a report, I simply assumed that as a matter of course it would be a part of the court record as well. Again, I was pro se, and while I understand that pro se litigants are bound by the rules just like lawyers, I am also understand that there is some authority for courts to employ liberality setting aside orders when justice so requires, particularly for mistakes common to family law matters [*In Re Marriage of Penneman, 135 Wn. App. 790 (2006)* endorsing liberality in setting aside orders, "particularly in family law matters where many parties are pro se, procedural orders are common, and the welfare of children is at stake. . . In matters involving children, courts need to be able to reach the merits whenever possible."]

Basis to Vacate: New Evidence—Lacey Police Report

Another significant new piece of evidence is that subsequent to the hearing on May 22, 2020 the Lacey Police Department concluded its investigation. The detective in charge of the investigation is Detective Chris Ivanovich. Throughout the investigation when I spoke to the detective he would make comments to me which I interpreted as meaning he did not think the charges against me were substantiated. He at one point suggested to me that I obtain the counseling records from Monarch Counseling because they would be exculpatory. It is significant to note that even though my daughter had been months in counseling with Monarch there was not a single report or statement from them. Certainly, if my daughter had made credible disclosures to a therapist that would have been offered into evidence. The absence of such evidence is itself exculpatory. After being told by the detective that obtaining the

counseling records would be in my interests, I made every effort to do so. I asked Monarch directly for the records but I was told they could not supply them to me. Upon seeking counsel for the first time I was told that under RCW 26.09.225 both parents have a right to the medical and educational records of their children. We sent a letter soliciting all of their records and files concerning Jordan, and Monarch just stonewalled my request, essentially violating RCW 26.09.225.

We have, however, obtained the final report of the Lacey Police Department which provides the entire bill of particulars against me in this matter. I defy any informed person to read that report and find there is substantial evidence that I committed any sexual abuse. The report represents a full examination of the available evidence by a detective trained in matters of child sexual abuse. I believe that the information contained in the Lacey Police report is inconsistent with the conclusion that I engaged in abuse by a preponderance of evidence.

Jordan talks about me "being nice, not like her mother." She also makes statements which clearly show she has been exposed to her mother's anger and it appears that the focal point of the mother's anger was my potential custody modification which the mother cruelly exposed out daughter to as my "attempt to take Jordan away from her mother." Reading the forensic interview of Jordan shows insubstantial evidence of sex abuse. The substance of what Jordan says, the context in which she says it, and the other circumstances would lead a reasonable person to not believe allegations of sexual abuse. If the court had the benefit of both the CPS report and the LPD report it would have come to a different conclusion regarding this matter.

Basis to Vacate: New Evidence—Prosecutor Finds Case Lacks Probable Cause

The LPD sent their report on this matter to the Thurston County Prosecutor's Office for consideration of criminal charges. It is my understanding that action is standard. The police conduct the investigation and produce the information related to the criminal charges and refer it to the prosecutor for a review of whether charges can be established and whether charges should be brought.

When I retained counsel to bring this matter back to court, he found out that the police report had been referred to the prosecutor's office and the office was way behind in making its determinations to charge or not charge. He began a correspondence with the Sexual Abuse Division of the Prosecutor's Office regarding my case and the prosecutors decision. They explained that they were very behind due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the backlog it created. The matter was referred to the prosecutor's office in June 2022. We asked to be notified by the prosecutor when she decided what to do with the referral involving me and my daughter. Finally, in October 2020 we received an email from the prosecutor which stated that after reviewing the report they were declining to bring criminal charges. Again, it is unsurprising that the prosecutor would reach such a conclusion when one considers the evidence and superimposes it over the legal elements necessary to establish the commission of a crime. But it is important that the prosecutor made such a decision. I am informed that such a decision means that the prosecutor concluded that probable cause was not established by the evidence. If it cannot be said that there is probable cause that I committed a sex abuse crime, how can it be said that there is a preponderance of evidence that I committed such an act. It is my understanding that the protection order that was granted was by necessity a finding by a preponderance of evidence that I engaged in sexual abuse. I think there is an inherent conflict

between the prosecutor's decision to decline charges and the court's finding that I committed sexual assault.

Basis to Vacate: New Evidence—Reaction of Child to No Contact

As I indicated above, I still have a positive relationship with Jennifer's family. Her family is very concerned abut the quality of care Jennifer is providing to our daughter. Jennifer's mother and stepfather still rent out a property to Jennifer, and they have had the opportunity to observe her and to be in contact with her. They also have direct and regular contact with Jordan and the opportunity to observe how she has been functioning. The last time I saw my daughter was in December 2019, over six months ago. I believe that my daughter is traumatized my sudden removal from her life. She is having an extremely difficult time and is constantly asking her grandparents why she is unable to spend time with me. I have solicited additional statements from her family members which reveal the magnitude of my daughter's trauma. I believe that a child's exasperation in not seeing a parent is incompatible with a finding that such a parent has abused or harmed that child. Therefore, I think this newly available evidence also supports my motion for a new hearing.

CONCLUSION

This case really began with my well-documented concerns about the quality of care my daughter was receiving while in the custody of her mother. I showed those concerns were well-founded. I provided statements from the mother's own family members and school records which corroborated that there indeed were issues. In response to all of that evidence, Jennifer attempted to alienate Jordan from me by creating a narrative that I was trying to steal her from her mother. And after learning about the evidence I had against her, she immediately

went to the court and obtained a temporary protection order. We had a final hearing on May 27th, and the court granted the order, shutting off all my contact with Jordan. The court indicated there could be a parenting plan modification, but I have been advised that with the court's finding of sexual abuse and the granting of a protection order, no commissioner or family court judge will ever grant me any contact because sexual abuse is a mandatory limiting factor. I am stuck on the outside. I have no path forward which maintains any aspect of my relationship with my daughter.

I did not touch my daughter in any inappropriate way at any time. These allegations are false. The disclosures are brought without any indicia of reliability. The allegations were not made as part of any sort of medical diagnosis, treatment, or counseling. They were not made as part of any law enforcement investigation. They were not even statements that any other witness can corroborate. The allegations come solely through the mother who, frankly, is not reliable for all of the reasons indicated herein. Finally, I was literally on the threshold of filing a petition for modification and seeking temporary primary residential placement of my daughter based on the evidence of neglect, unraveling, and substance abuse in the mother's household. I am convinced that the mother brought the allegations of sexual abuse strategically and preemptively because she knew that my concerns for our daughter were well-placed and wellsupported by the evidence that I had. She brought fallacious allegations against me to protect herself. I am asking that the court recognize the critical importance of this proceedings and recognize that for all of the reasons suggested herein, the interests of justice demand that the final order entered on May 22nd, 2020 be set aside and the matter set for a new evidentiary hearing when all of the significant evidence omitted or unavailable at the previous hearing can

be duly offered and considered by the court and where parties can testify under oath and be subject to cross examination. It is submitted that such a proceeding is better calculated to produce a just and reliable outcome, which is important to me and important to my daughter.

I thank the court for considering this request.

I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON THAT THE FOREGOING STATEMENT IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE. Dated this $\frac{11}{19}$ day of November 2020 in Olympia Washington.



Motion Vacate Order

Final Audit Report 2020-11-19

Created: 2020-11-18

By: Dawn Farrow (dawnfarrow@comcast.net)

Status: Signed

Transaction ID: CBJCHBCAABAAiO-rG-qnHO0qrG5WR_b_9o1LfnM6Qy6U

"Motion Vacate Order" History

Document created by Dawn Farrow (dawnfarrow@comcast.net) 2020-11-18 - 10:43:23 PM GMT- IP address: 96,69,204,194

Document emailed to James fowler (jameswfowler@yahoo.com) for signature 2020-11-18 - 10:46:25 PM GMT

Email viewed by James fowler (jameswfowler@yahoo.com) 2020-11-19 - 11:35:57 AM GMT- IP address: 99.121.228.106

Document e-signed by James fowler (jameswfowler@yahoo.com)

Signature Date: 2020-11-19 - 11:37:50 AM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 99.121.228.106

Agreement completed.
 2020-11-19 - 11:37:50 AM GMT