DISTRICT COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR THURSTON COUNTY

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, Plaintiff,

VS.

JENNIFER L COMO,

Defendant.

NO. 17059 TCP

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE, DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY AND EVIDENCE PRESERVATION

THURSTON COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENSE hereby appears in the above-captioned case as appointed by Thurston County District Court. All further papers and pleadings herein, except original process, should be served upon Zelena Jones WSBA # 46857 at the address stated below unless notice is provided otherwise.

926 24th Way SW Olympia, WA 98502 (360) 754-4897

DEMAND FOR DISCOVERY

1. Pursuant to CrRLJ 4.7, the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution; and Article 1, Sections 3, 7, 29, and 30, and the Tenth Amendment to the Washington State Constitution, the Defense respectfully requests that the Prosecuting Attorney provide the following discovery within 21 days of arraignment or 21 days of this demand (whichever is longer), so that a timely decision may be made regarding selection of a trial date or change of plea:

a. The names and addresses of persons whom the Prosecuting Attorney intends to call as witnesses at any hearing or trial, together with any written or recorded statements and the substance of any and

all oral statements of such witnesses.

- The Defense also demands that the substance of any and all oral statements made by any witness must be preserved, in writing, so that they may be documented and disclosed.
- b. Any written or recorded statements and the substance of any oral statements made by the accused.
- c. Any reports or statements of experts made in connection with this case, including results of physical or mental examination and scientific tests, experiments, or comparisons.
- d. Any books, papers, documents, photographs, or tangible objects which the Prosecuting Attorney intends to use in the hearing or trial, or which were obtained from or belonged to the accused.
 - i. More specifically, the Defense demands:
 - 1. All video;
 - 2. Any and all 911 calls; and
 - 3. Any other information upon which law enforcement relied in determining there was probable cause that a crime had been committed.
- e. Any record of prior criminal convictions of the accused and of persons whom the Prosecuting Attorney intends to call as witnesses at any hearing or trial.
- f. Any and all material, information and/or documentation available to the State regarding the interception and/or recording of any jail phone calls made or received by the accused from any other person including but not limited to his lawyers, relatives, friends, or any other individuals. This includes providing: the date and time of phone call intercepted, accessed, or listened to by a state agent; the phone number called; the call length; which state agent accessed, intercepted, or listened to the jail phone call; the amount of call listened to; and the date and time the call was intercepted, accessed or listened to.

g. Any and all exculpatory information pursuant to Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). This

requires the State to reveal any information it actually or constructively possesses and which

information is favorable to the accused and material to the issue of guilt or punishment, or in

any way discredits the State's case. Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 87 (1963); CrR 4.7.

Although the Brady rule often is phrased in terms of information "known to the prosecution," the

prosecution's "knowledge" for this purpose clearly extends beyond the personal knowledge of the

Prosecuting Attorney representing the State at trial. Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150, 154

(1972). "The individual prosecutor has a duty to learn of any favorable evidence known to others

acting on the government's behalf in the case, including the police," Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S.

419, 437 (1995). A prosecutor must resolve doubts regarding disclosure in favor of sharing the

evidence with the defense. Id.

DEMAND TO PRESERVE EVIDENCE

1. Washington recognizes a constitutional duty of the State to preserve material evidence. State v. Wright,

87 Wn.2d 783 (1976); State v. Spurgeon, 63 Wn.App. 503 (1991). Any failure to preserve evidence violates the

accused's constitutional right to due process and a fair trial. State v. Blackwell, 120 Wn.2d 822 (1993). The duty

to preserve evidence applies not only to prosecution, but also to its agents acting under prosecutorial authority.

State v. Vaster, 99 Wn.2d 44 (1983).

2. The accused requests that the Prosecuting Attorney, its agents and employees, as well as any city,

county, or state employees or contractors involved in this case preserve all material evidence relating to this

case. This request to preserve evidence includes:

a. All physical evidence;

b. Any identifying information for any witness or potential witness to the events that give rise to the

case;

c. Any and all witness statements, regardless of the form or method in which they are received;

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE Page 3 of 4

THURSTON COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENSE 926 24[™] Way SW Olympia, WA 98502

(360) 754-4897

Rough notes of any police officers, investigators, or any other persons making notes or presorts of

any kind in connection with this case;

Preservation of any audio or video recordings; and e.

f. Any radio or computer transmissions—to include emails--made or received in relation to this case.

OBJECTION TO RESTRAINTS

Pursuant to State v. Lundstrom, 429 P.3d 1116 (2018), the Defense hereby objects to the use of personal

restraint of the Defendant herein when brought into the presence of the court absent an individualized

determination of the necessity of restraints.

OBJECTION TO BLANKET EXCLUDED PERIOD

The Defense Objects to the Court's blanket excluded period described on Page 3 of Administrative

Order 2021-001. Further, the Defense asserts, for purposes of calculating speedy trial, the arraignment date is

the commencement date.

DATED: March 8, 2022

PATRICK O'CONNOR #38054