## THE SUPREME COURT OF WASHINGTON

HEATHER TOSIC,

Respondent,

v.

PREDRAG TOSIC,

Petitioner.

No. 101501-1

O R D E R

Court of Appeals No. 83518-1-I

Department I of the Court, composed of Chief Justice González and Justices Johnson, Owens, Gordon McCloud and Montoya-Lewis, considered this matter at its July 11, 2023, Motion Calendar and unanimously agreed that the following order be entered.

IT IS ORDERED:

That the Petitioner's motion to modify the Deputy Commissioner's ruling is denied. The Petitioner's motions to modify the clerk's and deputy clerk's rulings are denied. The Petitioner's motion to disqualify the Commissioner is denied. The Petitioner's motion for declaratory relief is denied. The Petitioner's motion for extension of time to file a reply is denied. The Petitioner's motion for oral argument is denied. All of the Petitioner's motions to amend prior pleadings are denied. All of the Petitioner's motions for permission to file additional pleadings are denied. The Petitioner's request to take judicial notice is denied. All other requests and motions from the Petitioner are denied.

In addition, the Court finds that the unprecedented volume of filings from Mr. Tosic in this case have interfered with the Court's operations and delayed resolution of the case. In this case,

Page 2 Order July 18, 2023

which would typically involve four filings from a petitioner, he has filed or attempted to file over 70 documents, many of which are inappropriate and not provided for by the Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Therefore, pursuant to the Court's inherent authority to limit the conduct of litigants who impede the orderly conduct of proceedings, it is necessary to place the following limits on the Petitioner's future filings in this court in any case:

- (1) The Petitioner is not permitted to amend or supplement his filings after they have been submitted. The Petitioner is not permitted to file motions seeking permission to amend or supplement his filings after they have been submitted.
- (2) The Petitioner is not permitted to circumvent word limits by including argument or explanation in an appendix or attachment to a filing.
- (3) The Petitioner is not permitted to file anything that is not provided for by the Rules of Appellate Procedure (RAP). The Petitioner is not permitted to file motions seeking permission to file anything that is not provided for by the RAP.
- (4) The clerk's decisions regarding the compliance of the Petitioner's filings with the RAP and the above restrictions shall not be subject to a motion to modify.DATED at Olympia, Washington, this 18th day of July, 2023.

For the Court

Conzález C.J.