

[] EXPEDITE (if filed within 5 days of Hearing)

[X] Hearing is Set Date: 11-3-23 Rm. ???

Time: 10:30am ZOOM #:??? passcode ??? Judge/Calendar: Deptmntl / In (Deptmntl.) Recission

NOV 0 6 2023

KITSAP COUNTY CLERK DAVID T. LEWIS III

In Washington State Superior Court, Kitsap Co.

In re the parenting & support of Adeline Marylynn Feulner, (child)

Petitioner/s (person/s who started this case):

Heather Lynn Wood (mother),

AND

Respondent/s (Party/Parties):

Lenard Ray Feulner

No. 07-3-01713-1

Amended NOTICE & <u>DECLARATION</u> of Recension & Disavowal of Coerced/Extorted Signature of Heather Wood (mother)

(Clerk's Action Required)

TO: The Kitsap County Superior Court Clerk, 614 Division St #202, Port Orchard, WA 98366, (360) 337-716, SuperiorCourt@co.kitsap.wa.us, exparte@kitsap.gov; AND

Lenard Feulner, Respondent, 4101 Anderson Hill Rd. SW, Port Orchard, WA, 98367, lilmissarries@yahoo.com (Lenard's live in girlfriend where he sleeps);

I Identity of the Parties

COMES NOW, Heather Wood, pro se of necessity, w/o Counsel, indigent, in Forma Pauperis to make the Objections & Declaration noted below herein and serve NOTICE of her disavowal and rescission of the described coerced/extorted & forced signature at the hands of judge Forbes on **10-11-23** bearing Heather Wood's signature made under extreme duress:

JURISDICTION & VENUE

While the instant case would be properly within Kitsap County's Family Court subject matter and in personum jurisdiction due to the minor child's birth and both litigant's residency in Washington State, the Kangaroo unlawfully held impromptu Post-Hearing on 8-15-23 before Commissioner Clucas, without a scintilla of due process after the regularly scheduled MTSC hearing was disposed of earlier on that same day, and Lenard Feulner's motion dismissed, Clucas had no jurisdiction (neither in personam nor subject matter) nor authority when he subsequently w/o notice or consent, lured the parents back into the Commissioner Clucas' Courtroom in non-participating attorneys commotion/altercation between Heather Wood, mother, and Adeline, her child, OUTSIDE the Clucas Courtroom in the hallway/lobby where it ensued. Nor were the parents allowed to participate, say a word, or cross examine the unsworn 'witnesses'. Thus, Heather Wood takes exception to jurisdiction, and reserves the same throughout these VOID AB INITIO fruit of the poisoned tree proceedings in protest despite her appearance. She does NOT consent to this improper jurisdiction

Amended NOTICE & Declaration of Recission of Signature under Duress

& Disavowal

Heather Wood, (360)999-8493 pinbalwyz@yahoo.com

c/o 5291 Skokomish Valley Rd, Shelton, WA 98584.

NT Notice 15550074



and maintains her ongoing objection to the same. By her presence, she does not consent to jurisdiction and disavows any/all signature(s) forced from her under duress while these unlawful proceedings continue w/her minor child (Adeline) held hostage and whose fate this Court uses to extort cooperation/signatures or any 'agreement' while the mother is under duress and her child hostage.

DECLARATION OF MATERIAL & RELEVANT FACTS

In Re Secret Settlement Hearing in Kitsap Family Court, Judge Forbes Presiding, on 10-11-23 @ 1:30pm

I, Heather L. Wood, do make the following statement as my best recollection of a secret settlement hearing where I was denied transparency and any record at the event. This is my best recollection to the best of my ability:

Who am I?: I, Heather Wood, am an over 18yo adult single mother, a U.S. citizen domiciled in Thurston County, WA. I have an only child named Adeline who is 16yo, I have raised and nurtured, her entire life.

What is the 1st thing you recall when you arrived at the Kitsap County Family Courthouse clerk's office at ~1:15pm on 10-11-23 (Wednesday)?

Vanessa, Superior Court Clerk, warmly greeted me at the counter. I handed another clerk Vanessa was standing behind my Motion In Limine (case #07-3-01713-1) to be heard before the Settlement Hearing scheduled for 1:30pm that afternoon. Adeline's (my 16yo daughter) emancipation cause #23-2-01534-18 was ALSO scheduled for the SAME conference and appeared in Lenard Feulner's company at said hearing on time.

I arrived in Room 272 a few minutes before Judge Forbes. I took the time to arrange my documents I wished to present.

Who was present, who spoke first, what did they say?

Judge Forbes walked into the room and asked if I was Heather Wood.

And then?

Yes, are you Judge...?

Yes, I am Judge Forbes.

And then? Was anyone else there?

No.

Judge Forbes was wearing street clothes, pulled up a chair and sat at the table next to mine.

Then, what happeneded?

Forbes stated she had not received any filings for settlement from me. She said she didn't know my position.

Judge Forbes told me she hadn't talked to them (Adeline and Lenard Feulner) yet, but had "read the Custody Investigator's report and my text messages."

Judge forbes responded to my laptop being open and poised to show Adeline's Drug Snorting Video: "Is your computer on???"

I told her "Oh, no, well its on if you touch the mouse, but its blacked out now."

Forbes asked me to close my computer. She explained that this is a "private" hearing and we are going to keep it that way."

"I object to private hearings - I want this public," I said.

She said: "This is the way it's always been and how it always will be."

I said, after a pause, "I object to jurisdiction."

I replied I had filed my position and a parenting plan recently including an RTS.

Judge Forbes asked, "I don't know what an RTS is?"

I said, "It's a return of service."

She turned to face me and said "I don't have a bench copy."

I said I did indeed have a bench copy for her and she prompted me to go ahead and give it to her if I had it.

I dug around in my basket looking and produced my parenting plan.

She lookws at it on her table with her pen and asked with a scrunched nose "why are you so restrictive, I mean once per...week?"

I explained "my daughter accused him of raping her when she was 6 y/o, and I have proof."

She faced her face toward mine and looked behind my right shoulder and asked: "Proof?"

"Yes, I have subpoenaed the police report from the sheriff's office and the WASPC, and am waiting for them to decide how to get it to me (hoping to ask for relief from her by ordering sheriff's office to request the release from WASPC)"

she asked: "WASPC?"

l said, "yeah, Washington Association of ..."

"I know what WASPC is," she said..." "A subpoena? Signed by a judge?"

After pausing and looking at her, I said: "A clerk."

She said, "Oh. A clerk."

Looking at the parenting plan, she asked about visitation: "You were present for all the visitations?"

I felt self-conscious for letting Adeline go alone with Lenard in recent years. I began explaining that I had asked Lenard for negative drug testing and cell coverage at all times as per the family therapist's suggestions. He ignored my requests on all counts, and took Adeline on visits from age 14 y/o. She begged to go roller skating Friday nights. I had a broken ankle. The father wanted to take her. I let her go. They got into a car accident. My daughter was seriously injured.

What was the first procedural issue you raised with the judge and what was her response?

I protested the privacy restrictions and stated I wanted the proceeding to be transparent.

What other procedural matters did you and Judge Forbes discuss?

Moments later, I told Judge Forbes I objected to the venue. I said, "Based on my daughter's domicile, it has been in Thurston County for the last 11 years."

Judge Forbes leaned back in her chair, turned toward me, smiled, and said. "Well she's here now."

I continued, "Adeline's residency may be in Kitsap county, but her domicile is, and has been for 11 years, in Thurston County."

Judge Forbes said, No," and continued to examine my proposed parenting plan.

I waited a moment, and said, "I object to jurisdiction."

Judge Forbes responded, "You consented to jurisdiction in 2008 when you started this and asked the Court for help."

"No," I inteupted, "I've been objecting on every form I've filed to jurisdiction since the day I was pulled off the street by strangers w/o my consent, was testified against w/o proper testimony, w/o opportunity to participate..."

Judge Forbes interrupted me, saying, "No, you already consented," repeating herself.

Judge Forbes asked why I was restricting my visitation proposal to 3 hours per week. I did not correct her misunderstanding of my use of the word "fortnight" nor explain to the judge it meant once every TWO weeks.

I had objected in my pleading/objection to jurisdiction, the failure of Judge Houser in the 8-4-23 'Emergency' Ex Parte Hearing to have sworn in my 16yo child to denounce me, her mother, w/o having conducted a <u>colloquy</u> to probe my child's understanding of the legal ramifications of perjury and her liability to the same in law. Judge Forbes seemed unfamiliar w/the term 'colloquy', and told me she didn't know what it meant. I defined it for her.

I asked Judge Forbes if she would sign the order which was presented along with my Motion to Apply Strict Scrutiny in my custody dispute case in which I'd been summarily stripped of my parental rights by Commissioner Clucas. She responded by telling me she "didn't even know what you mean by that?" She claimed I was 'conflating two separate issues. She went on to argue 'strict scrutiny' applied to criminal proceedings, and was useful for interpreting specific laws. Judge Forbes told me, "It has no application in this case." She then directed me a boiler plate document on her table describing how the Court used the "best interest of the child" standard in Washington State, which I found subjective, vague, and precisely what I was objecting to in my motion for the application of 'strict scrutiny'. Under the state's interpretation of 'the best interest of he child', when a parent has a child, the Family Court has a hostage forcing the parent to do whatever the Family Court orders, no matter how odious, out of the parent's love for the child and the coercion exacted by the Court, as in this case. I have repeatedly advised this Court, in writing and in person, I do NOT consent to the iurisdiction of Commissioner Clucas' 8-15-23 impromptu kangaroo Court Hearing w/o any Due Process whatsoever. It was void ab initio and all the fruits thereof from this poisoned tree—a plea ignored by judge Forbes. Thus, I rescind my coerced signature obtained unwittingly from me under duress (inter alia, my daughter unlawfully held hostage from me by this Court) w/o counsel or consultation as effectively null and void. I do NOT consent to any authority of this Court based on or resulting from the kangaroo Hearing Commissioner Clucas held on 8-15-23 w/o my consent, participation, notice, or sworn testimony, but resulting from the extrajudicial collusion of Clucas, Yelish, and Williamson—3 attorneys well known to and associating w/one another.

Attachment 'A' (Signed Under Extreme Duiress)



Superior Court of Washington County of Kitsap

Feulner	No. 01/3 / 01/13/1
Petitioner,	TRIAL ASSIGNMENT
and	DOMESTIC RELATIONS
Wood	TRACK III (ASTD)
Respondent.	(2015)
The above-entitled case has come before the undersigned just	dge in settlement conference.
The attorney for the Petitioner is Fro _S c	·
The attorney for the Petitioner is	-
The Petitioner was present at the settlement co. The Respondent was present at the settlement	
FINDINGS OF THE COURT:	Total Total
A further settlement conference has been set on	at a.m p.m.
for Department No	
SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES MUST BE CONFIRMED N THE HEARING DATE. Call 360-337-7140 [option #2] or E-	
2. The issues that remain to be heard at trial are:	
Child Support Parenting Issues	Property Division Maintenance
Other	
3. This case is assigned a trial date which shall begin at 9:0	00 a m on March 12, 2024
4. The parties state the length of trial to be	deis
5. Other: Court well xypan	days some orderaps. GAL
1-1.11-0	
DATED: 01123	WDOS LOOUNT COURSUIT CO
cc:	JUDGE / COURT SCHEDULER
	Formand Dayles on
Attomey for Petitioner	Petitioner
	Hilly love
Attorney for Respondent	Respondent
Guardian ad t item	
TRIAL ASSIGNMENT (Rev. 11/2022)	

I am terrified for my daughter's safety and the predicament this Court has placed both myself and Adeline in w/o legal authority and in an apparent effort to punish me for attempting to protect my rebellious teenage daughter. When I have a child, Kitsap Family Court has demonstrated it has a hostage. The extreme duress it has placed me under is intended to force concessions and signatures from me for agreements I do not understand nor consent to.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington and pursuant to GENERAL Court RULE 13 and RCW 9A.72.085 that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 23rd day of October, 2023, in the County of Thurston, WA.

Heather Wood Heather Wood

Respectfully submitted by,

Heather Wood

DATED this 23rd day of October, 2023, in the County of Thurston, WA.

NOTICE & Proof of Service of Secondary Process

My name is John Smith, dba: Amicus Curia. I am over 18, a citizen of the U.S. and a Resident domiciled in Washington State. On this date, I provided NOTICE/electronic service of secondary Process to all the parties and the County Clerk of this document at the <u>e-mail addresses</u> designated above on the 1st page of this document.

John Smith

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington and pursuant to GENERAL Court RULE 13 and RCW 9A.72.085 that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 24th day of October, 2023, in the County of Mason, WA