

07-3-01713-1  
DCLR 147  
Declaration Affidavit  
15931607



**FILED**  
JAN 11 2024  
KITSAP COUNTY CLERK  
DAVID T. LEWIS III

**Superior Court of Washington, County of Kitsap**

In re the parenting & support of:  
Adeline Marylynn Feulner, (child)

Petitioner/s (*person/s who started this case*):

Heather Lynn Wood (mother)

And Respondent/s (*other party/parties*):

Lenard Ray Feulner (father)

No. 07-3-01713-1

Declaration of Heather Wood: In RE Motion  
to Terminate Restraints Response to Nancy  
Smith's, Patra Vogt's, and Lenard Feulner's  
erroneous declarations.

9129 James Rd SW

Rochester, WA 98579

hthrwood012@gmail.com

(360) 999-8493

I, Heather Wood, am a US citizen over the age of 18, and a resident in the State of Washington; the facts that I have provided on this form are true.

I am Heather Wood, 53 years old and Mother of Adeline 16.5 years old.

In my declaration, I only listed first names. It is Nancy and Patra who revealed their own identities by filing official documents with their surnames included, otherwise, Nancy and Patra would have remained anonymous if

she had not filed a legal document with her correct spelling and last name on it (I even misspelled her first name) to defensively and aggressively call me names, and claim to not have spent time with me:

I believe Mr. Feulner is mis framing, misrepresenting, and misconstruing my point. My point is, I took exception to Mr. Feulner's and Donna's overriding concern about the dog's welfare rather than Adeline's welfare. I never alleged Lenard was responsible for the dog bite. I did have a concern with Lenard encouraging my tiny child to engage in dangerous behavior with pit bulls in the past which led to a trust in pit bull faces in general, and now recently I witnessed him encouraging Adeline to engage in more risky and illegal behavior, which the Court is aware of: failure to consider his daughter's safety and welfare of what he encourages her to do, including sending her unlicensed to run from the Courts verbal order to work together in Thurston County ARY.

This is not a criminal proceeding. We are discussing competing parenting plans. Lenard's risk assessment abilities are woefully inadequate, and he is cavalier with other people's safety. It is a pattern with Lenard. Children rarely get involved in sex trafficking other minors. The Court needs to consider Adeline as the exception. Adeline's behavior did not spring from the Temple of Zeus. Adeline's behavior is certainly derived from the father's attitudes and communications and behavior, and that promiscuity and flouting the rules and the laws was a virtue rather than a liability. These tendencies were picked up from her father not I. One well known example that reveals a lifelong pattern of this is Lenard taking people on extremely dangerous hikes, one of which I broke an ankle on, and a local punk band

wrote a song about this pattern of Lenard's. Lenard does not respect my daughter's innocence and never has. I can't think of any responsible parenting habits Lenard has provided. I had to monitor and guide him all the way. Lenard requires supervision himself.

I know Nancy and Tim Smith from a Christmas Party 2006. Our mutual friend, Wendy Morris (RIP), whom I met at my job at Teletech, was at the same Christmas party (small world)! Wendy and I worked together for a year and half and had gone swimming together. Wendy's husband was a lifelong friend of Lenard's who I met for the first time at that Christmas party. Wendy's husband and Lenard had been in Kitsap County Boy Scouts together as kids, and still enjoyed hiking together. I was invited to join them.

I also met Tim and Nancy's daughter [REDACTED], whom I gifted a raw food book and a tree-of-life tapestry to on her birthday. Nancy and Tim were cool, and their daughter a smart, sweet kid. I later attended Evergreen State College at the same time as their daughter [REDACTED].

Nancy and Tim picked Lenard and I up from his mother's house for a hike the same day Lenard used a skill saw to cut the 4x4 while holding my infant in his arm. He bragged to me about it, and I immediately told Nancy with great concern sitting in the truck together before we left the house what Lenard had done high on Kahlua. Nancy chided Lenard and said: "that'll never happen again, will it Lenny?" She made light of the situation, and we went on. I have a photo of me and Adeline on that day Lenard held Adeline in one arm, while using the skill saw to cut a 4x4 for his mother's mailbox. I think Tim was driving a brownish truck with a gray interior, can't really

remember exactly, but there was a giant box of Cheez Its involved. I have reported this throughout the cause, but Nancy completely left out that she was the one I reported it to immediately. Tim was present too when Nancy repeated it in the truck. Nancy told the story more than once about how Tim paid Lenard for work, and Lenard would then invest his money in the strangest things like giant bags of dog food instead of more important things his family needed.

Nancy was still mine and Adeline's friend after Lenard and my relationship started falling apart. As soon as I filed the Proposed Parenting Plan, Nancy was so mad at me because I had gotten the government involved. They were antigovernment, and I had just implored the Court/government in helping me with a Parenting Plan. Keeping the truth from coming further injures my daughter. Community is the highest level of privilege a person can have. Nancy dropped me and the baby from the community for trying to best care for my baby, instead of holding Lenard accountable for his actions. Nancy's interest in protecting Lenard is curious to me because Lenard used to brag at his visits with Adeline about his time working **under the table** for Tim and Nancy Smith at their air conditioning business in Kingston ~2015. In other words, they fraudulently hired my child's father under the table, and consequently helped him avoid paying the correct amount of child support. Tim and Nancy are accomplices to Lenard keeping food out of my child's mouth. It hurt my feelings that she dumped us after I filed the Proposed Parenting Plan.

Patra liked me and trusted me well enough that she hired me to work successfully at her house cleaning business up until I had the baby. I

cleaned house for a well-to-do man out near Poulsbo, as I recall. He said the worst sound to him was the sound of a crying baby, so I did not clean for him after I had given birth to Adeline. I have been hiking with Patra and her husband and their dog Greta, and was introduced to Petra's mini donkey, Suki, I think her name is. ~16 years ago.

Patra talked to me about Lenard several times: One time she was upset with Lenard for crawling in her living room window to wait for her uninvited, perched on her couch when she came home. Patra told me It freaked her out - she found it highly inappropriate. Patra said she had a chat with him for breaking into her home while she was absent, and surprising her as she walked into her own home. Patra also was concerned about Lenard's mental state concerning his hoarding items, and his difficulty parting with anything he had chosen to purchase. The whole gang was trying to help him clean out his abandoned trailer and do a yard sale, but he is a hoarder. Patra, in our short time knowing each other, managed to tell the story of Lenard gifting her a sexy wedding gift for her to wear on her nuptial flight night to Lenard's pal she was about to marry. Patra was telling me again with what I felt was concern for his sexual inappropriateness, that he gifted her while the two sat alone in a vehicle. I would not be clarifying here if it weren't for Patra and Nancy's denials and name calling, and in Patra's case, claiming not to have known me well at all. We did not know each other that well over a long time, but long enough for her to have discussed Lenard with me, and to trust me enough to hire me to enter others' upper echelon homes to clean. The only things Lenard reported to me about Patra is that she was going into that van with those people after the gigs

where they do bad things, and later, Patra kept dating the abusive guy who threatened suicide all the time. Lenard worried about her hanging out with other possibly dangerous guys after she and his friend divorced for alcoholism, drug abuse, and associated problems. I do worry about the friend group that Lenard exposes my daughter to - let alone Lenard's behaviors possibly due to his well-documented brain damage or developmental disability. Let's get the medical records.

In 2006 I expressed to Wendy I was romantically interested in Lenard, and she had Lenard verify he was single. Wendy pursued a line of questioning in her living room where Lenard discussed he was single and available for dating. I happily believed him. He accused Terese of being an out-of-control alcoholic who screamed at their daughter all the time. Though he said he thought kids were best off with their moms.

Lenard made his daughter grovel for any extra money for teenage outings or wants. Terese begged for more child support. Lenard brags and threatens to do the same to me he did to Terese: claim he cannot work because of a hand injury, and get his payments down to \$50. This is Lenard's pattern that I want the Court to consider.

Lenard was living with Terese, and their teenage daughter. Lenard still resides with Terese today. Lenard WAS involved with Terese and kept me a secret from her and explained away our involvement to her and her daughter. Lenard would see me at his mother's home when his mom was absent. Lenard pit Terese against me. I was oblivious until after I had baby Adeline. I wondered why Terese would be so cruel to me and call Adeline

an “ugly baby,” and me a “white bitch.” Lenard had alienated me far from his other daughter and Terese so that she and I would not find out about each other. I could not understand. I trusted Mr. Feulner.

Wendy, RIP, was the one who so diligently warned me about Lenard “not being a family guy.” She was intent on getting my attention and met me at a business to sit at the bar and have a talk with me. She was serious about her idea for me to abort the baby, and Wendy offered me an at home abortion by taking an herb, Goldenseal, that might cause abortion. Wendy was adamantly afraid of how Lenard would care (or not) for the child. I was barely pregnant, and abortion was not on my list. I was intent on having this baby. I wanted and dreamed of being the best mom to a homeschool girl named Adeline. I told Wendy, “I am going to have this baby.”

Most of the things I have written and/or reported are consistent and true.

I am not a perfect mom. I did an excellent job despite the challenges. Lenard fraudulently kept us from a correct amount of child support, only paying quarterly at best, with the support of his friends and family who overcompensated for his well-documented neurological developmental disability. All his immediate family members talked extensively to me about his developmental disability as a matter of fact. I have been protecting and advocating for my daughter for 16 years. I have built a well-rounded social, healthful, and academic life for her. I have been keeping the peace for 16 years with Mr. Feulner. I kept Adeline housed in section 8 for 10 years before renting with cash from people we loved and trusted, and before that we dwelled in low-income housing.

Lenard threatens the testimony of Donna in court. Donna used to gripe about Lenard's inappropriate behavior with her dog Indy. How he would run around the fence making a commotion and riling the pit bull dog up. Everyone discussed Lenard's idiosyncratic behaviors behind his back and teased him for being a Christian. Lenard was dealing pills and other drugs, and blamed our child's rumored behavior for being bitten by a pit bull. I have been celibate for ~8 years, and do not drink or do drugs. I am a great mom.

My AT Risk Youth needs supervision. I am a mom who holds my daughter's safety, welfare and social needs at an all-time high. My daughter needs protection and supervision. That is all - safety. That is why I brought her to the hospital like the therapist said to do. Adeline could have been doing well with both parents right now if her father had supported the Court's decision to place her in ARY down in Thurston County.

The day Clucas looked both me and Lenard in the eye and instructed Lenard to work together on this, Lenard told his daughter to run away from Clucas' order. It was a self-serving Lenard acting ridiculously to counter the Commissioner's direction to instead instruct his unlicensed daughter to run away illegally in a car.

Mr. Feulner admits that my civil rights were stolen outside Clucas' jurisdiction, an observation I share. I object to Clucas' denying all our constitutional rights. I believe we would all be much more effective parents for our children to keep the peace on our own. If the Courts have us fighting against each other, they have a hostage in Adeline. I believe the Courts are



making money in matching Federal Funds therefore a conflict of interest is inherent in family court. The procedural problems within this case have caused so much damage to our family, and our daughter, that it is worth considering repair/reconciliation on our own, and demand our civil rights be restored. Our daughter would see an excellent example of parenting and feel worthy that her parents thought highly enough of her to set aside their personal egos, and feelings of resentment. I am not convinced the Courts have Adeline's best interest at heart. I believe the Courts do not know what is in Adeline's best interest.

From memory, I did not claim to see Lenard with a firearm. I think I wrote he invited me and Adeline into the gravel shooting range while most everyone going there to shoot firearms had been drinking alcohol on the holiday. Also, the video of the creepy Neanderthal man really did happen. Two separate videos of different bands, both creepy and deprived. All the things I have said are true. All of these facts that are part of a pattern I claim.

Lenard is confusing criminal proceedings and evidentiary standards with civil proceedings. The fact that he wasn't charged or convicted of something is not an adequate defense in a civil proceeding such as this. The standard of proof is the preponderance of evidence in a civil proceeding not beyond reasonable doubt.

I believe my 6-year-old daughter's urgent reporting to me and so did the therapist who also reported it to the police. I still believe in her 6-year-old self. Teens are far more likely to fabricate than 6-year-olds, but there is no question my child reported out of authentic trauma.

It is the duty of every parent to honor a 6-year-old's reporting and not invalidate their need to own their own bodies and space when it comes to sexual violations. Mr. Feulner repeats he does not like my incessant protective nature over 16 years of preventing mishaps and further damages. That is a mother's job. Not all moms will be brave enough to stand up to family to protect their child. I wish Mr. Feulner appreciated that instead of resenting my protective nature.

I am still advocating for my daughter today - not for her to get what she wants, but for her to be safe and protected. I am Adeline's mom.

I can see Lenard's thumbs, and it looks like he does indeed have an injury there, and medical records would be more telling. Invite Lenard to put his hands on the bench for close examination. The comments my witness reported in his declaration are consistent with Lenard's modus operandi. Lenard's MO is to game the system. Lenard claims under penalty of perjury in the court record that he is practically homeless. How could he have a home for my daughter?

Lenard states: "all this is not relevant" when it IS relevant. It is a reasonable presumption based on the preponderance of evidence Adeline's behavior was prompted by what her father told her immediately prior to her acting out. Adeline's father was coaching her to engage in behavior dangerous to herself and the public. Commissioner Clucas made the same observation on the record that Adeline's behavior was dangerous to herself and the public. Confirming my belief that Lenard's risk assessment abilities are

woefully inadequate and he is cavalier with other people's safety demonstrating a pattern antithetical to Adeline's best interest.

Lenard is deviating from the narrative that I have written down before and that is part of police record that Adeline refused to come home with me, the 911 call where CPS refused the case with "No Neglect, No Abuse," and the police officer told Adeline "mom is still in charge." Adeline disobeyed. Lenard's conjecture calling for speculation is blatantly inconsistent with the police record and the record of the court. Adeline refused to go home with her mother after repeated pleas from her mother. All documented pleas to come home with mom. There was no emergency, no violence, but a positive test for drugs and an angry teenager. I have been caring for my child for 16 years, and consistently avowed to wanting to care for my child. The landlord has issue with Adeline, and we were under threat of having to move based on Adeline's misbehaving.

The court did not meet the barest minimal requirements of due process thus had neither in personum or subject matter jurisdiction, and the venue was improper, and violated the Principles of Laches. The case was stale. Commissioner Clucas observed the case should have been closed years ago. That demands an examination of the principle of laches and the requirement in the interest of justice that the case be dismissed.

Why was the guardian ad litem not ordered to investigate the allegations of sexual abuse?

Where, and with whom, and for how much money has Lenard been renting all these years?

In conclusion, I have given solid and detailed examples of interactions that I had with Patra, Nancy, and Lenard and Wendy, and I swear these statements are correct. I love my daughter unconditionally and want her safe and successful. I have been keeping the peace with Mr. Feulner for 16 years, and I believe that to be a much better idea than to come to court under an alienating pretense.

I would love to take a lie detector test to verify my level of truth telling on each claim I have ever made regarding Adeline, Lenard and his friends.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington and pursuant to General Court Rule 13 and RCW 9A.72.085 that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this th day of December, 2023 in the county of Thurston, WA



---

*Person making this motion signs here Print name here: **Heather Wood***