STHESTPERIOR COURT OF VIASH NOTES

E-FILED THURSTON COUNTY, WA SUPERIOR COURT

06/28/2019 3:35:22 PM Linda Myhre Enlow Thurston County Clerk

		Case Nos. <u>15-2-30089-7</u>
1	ANNETTE ROTH,	and 14-3-00778-8
2	Petitioner,	
3		RE: MOTION for
4	vs.	RECONSIDERAION
5		under CR59 (a)(1)(5)(7)(9) and
	STEPHEN HICKS, pro se	PETITION to review Parenting Plan
6	Respondent,	due to change of circumstances
7		

COMES NOW Stephen Hicks, pro se as respondent/plaintiff on collateral attack, proceeding by special appearance, not general, without assistance of counsel unschooled in law and requesting the court to accept direction from Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519 (1972), Boag v. MacDougall, 545 US 360 (1982), Puckett v. Cox 456 F2d 233 (1972 Sixth Circuit USCA), wherein the court has directed those who are unschooled in law making pleadings shall have the court look to the substance of the pleadings rather than the form. Pro se pleadings are to be considered without regard to technicality; pro se litigants' pleadings are not to be held to the same high standards of perfection as lawyers. Maty v. Grasselli Chemical Co., 303 U.S. 197 (1938), B. Platsky v. CIA, 953 F.2d 25, 26 28 (2nd Cir. 1991), "Court errs if court dismisses pro se litigant without instruction of how pleadings are deficient and how to repair pleadings."

Respondent is one of the sovereign people constituent of "The People of the State of Washington, (Yick Wo v. Hopkins: Sovereignty itself is, of course, not subject to law, for it is the author and source of law; but in our system, while sovereign powers are delegated to the agencies of government, sovereignty itself remains with the people, by whom and for whom all government exists and acts.)"

24	Respondent asserts Judicial NOTICE to this court under RCW 5.24.010; "Every court of		
25	this state shall take judicial notice of the Constitution, common law, civil law, and		
26	statutes of every state, territory and other jurisdiction of the United States";		
27			
28	Respondent, Mr. Hicks, moves this Honorable Court to reconsider the case held		
29	on Wednesday the 19th, 2019, and combine this motion with a new hearing to		
30	review Mr. Hicks' Petition for revised Parenting Plan due to change of		
31	circumstances for the following reasons:		
32	CR 59(a)(1)(5)(7)(9)		
33	 Unreasonably lengthy DVPO determination directly interferes with Mr. 		
34	Hicks Parenting time by putting his child in the middle of unnecessary		
35	conflict.		
36	 Proper review of changes in Mr Hicks' circumstances has not been 		
37	heard.		
38	 New evidence, including additional psychiatric evaluations and drug 		
39	evaluations demonstrates that Mr. Hicks has never been, and is		
40	absolutely no threat to Ms Roth.		
41	 Collateral Contact with evaluators is open to Ms. Roth, but it is up to her 		
42	to accept the calls by Mr. Hicks' evaluators.		
43	 Mr. Hicks requests this reconsideration be held in the context of a new 		
44	parenting plan review with a Judge, to reduce the burden on the court's		
45	schedule as well as both Parties' time.		
46			
47	Sincerely,		
48	Without prejudice,		
49			
50			

	Stephen Hicks
	Expressing all Rights Reserved
	UCC 1-308
Ι,	, being first duly sworn, depose, say, and
declare by my signature that the	ne following facts are true, and not meant to mislead to
the best of knowledge and bel	ief.
ACKI	NOWLEDGEMENT OF NOTARY
County of Thurston))ss: Washington State)	
On this day of April,	year Two Thousand, Fifth-Teen, 2015, before me,
	, a Notary
Name, Title of Officer of N	Notary Public
proved to me on the basis of swhose name is subscribed up	satisfactory evidence of identification) to be the living mar con these instruments) and acknowledged to me that he horized capacity; and by his signature of this instrumen has acted on behalf of the person who executed this
instrument.	
Witnessed by my hand and off	icial seal,
	, Date:
Notary Republ	ic
My commission	expires