10

21-4-00452-34
AF 36
Affidavit
10569790

E-FILED
THURSTON COUNTY, WA
SUPERIOR COURT
06/29/2021 8:01:30 AM
Linda Myhre Enlow
Thurston County Clerk

[X] EXPEDITE (If filed within 5 court days of hearing)
[X] Hearing is set
Date: 6-30-21
Time: 9:00am Zoom #: 242-974-5214 Rm:4
Judge/Calendar: Rebekah Zinn/Motion & argument

Superior Court of Washington for Thurston County Family & Juvenile Court

In re: Emergency Guardianship of RAVEN GAIA SHENANDOAH SMITH-WELLS, & ONAWA KACHINA SMITH-WELLS Respondent(s): Minor Child(ren)	**No. 21-4-00452-34** **Rebuttal-Affidavit to Kathryn Stoker's Reply Declaration By JOHN SMITH**

(Cover Sheet)
TITLE OF DOCUMENT

Rebuttal-Affidavit to Kathryn Stoker's Reply Declaration by JOHN SMITH

NAME: John Smith, grandfather
Mailing ADDRESS: PO Box 1711, Shelton, WA 98584
PHONE: (360)427-3599

[X] EXPEDITE (if filing within 5 court days of hearing)
[X] Hearing is set:
Date: 6-30-21
Time: 9:00pm Zoom #: 242-974-5214 Rm:4
Judge/Calendar: Rebekah Zinn/Motion & Argument

Superior Court of Washington for Thurston County Family & Juvenile Court

In re: Emergency Guardianship of RAVEN GAIA SHENANDOAH SMITH-WELLS, & ONAWA KACHINA SMITH-WELLS Respondent(s): Minor Child(ren)	**No. 21-4-00452-34** **Rebuttal-Affidavit to Kathryn Stoker's Reply Declaration by JOHN SMITH**

TO: The Clerk of the Thurston County and Juvenile Court, (360)709-3260, 2801 32nd AVE SW, Tumwater, WA 98512;
AND,
Breckan Scott-Gabriel, bar #:41585, attorney for Kathryn Stoker (maternal grandmother) and Hans Stoker (husband of Kathryn Stoker, but NOT the grandfather), PO Box 1123, Yelm, WA 98597-1123, PH. (360)960-8951, fax (360)485-1916, e-mail: breckan@breckanlaw.com;
AND,
Selena Ursa Smith, mother, e-mail: doublekachina007@protonmail.com, domiciled in Oregon mailing address: 6901 26th Ct SE, Lacey, WA 98503, Ph. (971)803-9898
AND,
Robert Ayers (father of Hazel Smith), e-mail: unknown, Ph. unknown, address: unknown

I Identity of Parties

I, Selena Smith (indigent mother of the subject minor(s) in this action) enter this counter-affidavit to Kathryn Stoker's Declaration into the record without counsel of necessity, pro se, for this court's consideration as the truth and nothing but the truth. I reserve the right and continue to object to the jurisdiction of this court as stated below under JURISDICTION. I also **object to Shelley Brandt presiding** over ANY aspect of this case due to her having received money from the Stokers, previously represented my ex-wife, Kathryn Stoker, a party herein, against myself who seeks to join this action and she nearly

precipitated a physical altercation with me during that custodial litigation years ago. She also represented my daughter, Selena Smith, a party to this action. A fair hearing without her recusal cannot be had.

Kathryn Stoker (maternal grandmother) and her husband, Hans Stoker (who is NOT the grandfather of the children, contrary to his and his wife's sworn misrepresentations in their filed pleadings to this court) brought this action before this court well BEFORE the young children at issue had been evaluated by any qualified Family and Children's social worker within the State of their domicile or oversight of a state court properly presiding over the same, i.e. Oregon, where this court's emergency ex parte order to seize the children was executed around midnight and they were spirited, under cover of darkness, out of Oregon after handing off the very young three to the Stokers at a gas station adjacent to I-5 north of Eugene that night. The seizure was executed, as described at midnight, 5-30-21 in/near Oakridge, OR, the initial ex parte emergency petition for seizing my 3 grandchildren was filed 5-27-21, the order granting the petition was entered on 5-28-21. The Stokers filed their petition prior to the children being examined and evaluated precisely to deny their mother and my grandchildren due process with this court's approval, aid, and abetment under color of state law in violation of Oregon's sovereignty, the federal ADA (Selena has only one hand), her status as a destitute DV survivor (contrary to UCCJEA requirements, and in violation of meaningful protection under the 6th and 14 Amendment as well as principles laid out in Troxel vs. Troxel and the notorious Elian Gonzalez international case.

JURISDICTION

The Petitioners (Stokers) are longtime residents of and domiciled in Thurston County, Washington.

I, John Smith (grandfather), am the one seeking to join this action. My daughter left Washington State without any intention of returning **more** than 6 months prior to the date my grandchildren were seized in Oregon where Selena Smith resided and was domiciled with her children. She returned briefly in March to recover some of her property, from the Stokers, but did not reside in Washington. The Stokers misused this date to deceive the court into believing less than 6 months had lapsed since Selena left Washington in late November, 2020 as a DV survivor with her 3 young children. Thus, this court does not have proper in personam or subject matter jurisdiction even if there had not been a less than 6-month absence of my grandchildren residing/domiciled in Washington. **In light of these facts, all actions/orders taken/entered by this court are void abb initio.** The basis for this court's rulings have been fraudulent misrepresentations and deception submitted to this court by the Stokers.

Selena Smith, the mother of the very young child(ren) at issue in this cause, due to DV, fled the State of Washington with my grandchildren prior to 11-24-20, which is the date James Wells (her boyfriend) filed a DV Protection Petition (20-2-30761-34 | JAMES DANIEL WELLS, Jr vs SELENA URSA SMITH) after she left Washington State to preserve her and her children's safety. Mr. Wells' purpose was to use the children (who he sought custody of in the petition) to support himself. The petition was denied by Court Commissioner Rebekah Zinn. Mr. Wells is currently sleeping near Mt. Adams, and isnon-compliant with a DV protection order issued by the court prohibiting him from contact with my grandchildren.

Selena Smith, filed a petition for DV protection, alleging Mr. Wells was violently abusive with her and the children, an alcoholic, and in need of anger management classes. Court Commissioner Rebekah Wells ruled in Selena's favor and ordered Mr. Wells to surrender his firearms. This action was filed by the mother from out of State. Selena personally appeared electronically before this court (Court

Commissioner Rebekah Zinn, presiding) from an out of state DV women's shelter, filed the declaration of an advocate associated with that shelter confirming evidence she'd seen what appeared to be stalking while Selena was staying in that out-of-state DV shelter. I, John Smith, observed the proceedings.
(20-2-30788-34 | SELENA URSA SMITH vs JAMES DANIEL WELLS, Jr)
i.e. for longer than 6-monthws, Selena Smith, and her children had left and no longer resided in Washington State prior to having her 3 children seized around midnight on 5-30-21 under the color of Washington State law via an ex parte emergency guardianship order executed beyond Washington's own borders in a foreign state (Oregon). Court Commissioner Rebekah Zinn was either well aware Selena had left Washington State with her children (or should have been) more than 6 months before the instant case had been filed. Selena was under no legal obligation to inform the Stokers of her whereabout, nor did the Stokers have standing to object since there was no court order granting them standing , custody, or visitation. Yet they conspired to track Selena cross-country in conjunction with NJ security guard Robert Kurtz for months wherever she went using her I-phone to do so.

Even case officers with the New Jersey Division of Children & Families admitted they had no authority to use a New Jersey Court Order (under the circumstances) to order law enforcement in Oregon to seize Selena's children upon New Jersey's direction, although security guard Robert Kurtz was indifferent to the invasion of my privacy when we spoke. When Kurtz's actions were challenged, a case worker supervisor retorted it was NJ Division of Children & Families 'policy' to track or find missing families/children when receiving reports/suspicions of the same. **Except**...there **WERE NO missing children**.! They were with Selena, their mother, who had no legal duty to provide the State of New Jersey or the Stokers with such information. Nor was there a nation-wide manhunt for her, only the illegal surveillance conducted by NJ security guard Robert Kurtz and the Stokers, the means by which he chose **not** to reveal in his declaration submitted to this court to avoid incriminating himself.

Robert Kurtz was acting only on his own without authority from his agency or direction to invade Selena's privacy by conspiring with the Stokers who were using software on her I-phone to track, unbeknownst to Selena, her movements, purchases, bills and confidential health/billing records obtained by opening her mail without permission (as well as rifling through her personal papers left where she once resided on the Stoker property). Adding outrage to injury, the Stokers kept the notices intended for Selena of fines received in the mail they opened rather than forwarding it to her new mailing address of which they were aware—putting her Driver's License at risk of suspension for want of notice. They used the unlawfully acquired document to try and prejudice the court against her. They may have succeeded, denying Selena fairness in these proceedings, or even the appearance of fairness.

This rogue action by a Washington Family Court fails what even grade school children would recognize as the **SMELL TEST**. The statutory construction of a normal petition for guardianship of minors in Washington State replete with a full complement of meaningful due process is very different from an ex parte emergency petition for guardianship of minors with effectively **no meaningful due process**. Accordingly, the ex parte judicial excess of Washington's judiciary ought to be a pleasure enjoyed by its own citizens which it is accountable to rather than being visited upon the citizens of foreign states.

In Troxel vs. Troxel, the U.S, Supreme Court pronounced Washington's Courts interpretation of the 'best interests' of the child(ren)_ "breathtaking in scope"! Additionally, it concluded a parent's bond with their minor children was so fundamental a right that a state which substituted its judgment for a parents exceeded its authority no matter how seductive the state's reasoning might be without a genuine true imminent harm that would come to the child(ren). Not only is that not evident in the instant case, but the child(ren) were

minus Oregon due process and judicial oversight which would surely have denied the execution of an foreign state's emergency order under the circumstances where Washington had no prior orders establishing any rights for the Stokers. Nor were the children evaluated by a qualified expert prior to the court issuing its ex parte emergency seizure order executed out-of-state under cover of darkness.

Kathryn Stoker lied to me when she described the circumstances and genesis of that seizure as well as the date of the court hearing (Nathan Kortokrax presiding who recused himself) as being on the 18th of this month when it, in truth, was the 16th. The Stokers also lied about my mental condition and their egregiously galling false claims there was a "nation-wide manhunt" for Selena Smith.

This court did not provide Selena with 60-days notice to respond to service from out-of-state, nor was a Return of Service filed in either of the 2 case #'s involving the child(ren) within 48 hours to either father or permission for alternative service sought.

In short, this case and all orders pursuant to it are void ab initio for failure to establish proper subject matter and in personam jurisdiction over all the parties. The issuance of the ex parte emergency order to seize the children executed out-of-state was based on fraudulent misrepresentations and deceptions that are a matter of record presented to the court. Nor did the court take any care to insure the child(ren) were genuinely at risk before giving them the impression that their mother was a 'bad' person as they were seized as though she was Dillinger. I have ordered and will be paying for the video, audio, photos, and police reports from the Oakridge PD. They will reveal my grandchildren were not imperiled and their needs were being met; they were not living in squalid conditions. I will present this evidence to this court for its consideration given the chance. Selena has spoken to the Oakridge authorities about this case. Their assessment does not support the tale the Stokers had to tell this court. The midnight raid on Selena and her child(ren) is what one would expect in a totalitarian regime or from Hollywood. She has spent many hours discussing these events with my me. I am part of my daughter and her children's life and have always tried to maintain a relationship with them. I has never interfered with Selena's relationship with her children. I am a necessary and indispensable party to this action as the Stokers have never respected my role in their lives which can be seen in their declarations where Hans and his wife deceived the court into believing he is the grandfather of my daughter's children. Her story is persuasive if the court would but take the time to hear it out rather than 5-minute justice. I, John Smith, have taken the time to do so since I learned of my daughter's predicament despite Kathryn Stoker's lies about it—dozens of hours listening to my daughter, Selena. It is abundantly clear mu daughter is **not** delusional or mentally ill. She cries and gets upset about her babies. I am not an attorney, but I has an important ongoing role in the lives of my daughter and grandchildren that will be ignored by the Stokers if I am not allowed to join this action and represent myself on behalf of my relationship with Selena, my daughter, and her children.

II REBUTTAL DECLARATION

I, John Smith, am the maternal grandfather of the children at issue before the court in this case. I am unrepresented and necessarily come, without counsel, pro se before this court to voluntarily and honestly make the following Rebuttal Declaration to Kathryn Stoker's Reply Declaration filed 6028-21 in this cause:

1. Kathryn Stoker has lied about her knowledge of the location of respondents to law suits she's filed in the past, then sought to hide her perfidy by seeking to have the records sealed to avoid detection. The court refused to do so. See this declarant's exhibit reflecting the record of her having done so

in the Thurston County Family and Juvenile Court. That case also involved a 3rd party custody petition filed by her.

2. There is no need for a UCCJEA conference because the current action is void ab initio and the court without proper jurisdiction for issuing an ex parte emergency order in the absence of clear and cogent evidence existed the children at issue were in danger of immediate/imminent harm even had they been residents of and domiciled in the State of Washington. But, here the order was executed in a foreign state under cover of darkness (midnight) on 5-30-21 within the sovereignty of the State of Oregon without any judicial oversight there, effectively instantly stripping the children and their mother of all meaningful rights to due process. There was no emergency. Selena Smith was and is not not mentally ill—far from it. She is doing remarkably well for a single handicapped mother working in the Portland heat for below minimum wages.

   The children had not been residents or domiciled in Washington State for over 6-months. The court has violated the principles laid down in Troxel vs. Troxel, the doctrine illustrated in the Eliam Gonzales matter (Florida) and contrary to the UC JEA statute itself intended to prevent parents involved in custody battles from forum shopping, not grandparents without standing or their non-biological husbands, as here. Moreover, the UCCJEA demands poverty and inconvenient forums/jurisdictions be weighed as they surely are to the poor such as the mother trying to hav e her children restored as here. The ADA strongly prohibits discrimination against the handicapped and disabled. The mother has but one good hand and even the mentally ill have the right to parent their children without the kind of discrimination the Stokers are trying to use to cast a wide nt to ensnare Selena Smith, the mother. This court should not and may not continue to act as it has in this case for want of proper jurisdiction, rendering its authority to issue the ex parte emergency guardianship order to seize 3 small children out of state under cover of darkness with no real due process void ab initio under the circumstances.

3. Some of Maya Stoker's declaration was redacted due to editorial considerations in publishing what were public records at the time they were obtained, having nothing to do with what was furnished by the Stoker's attorney, Breckan Scott, esq., a considerate attorney practicing in Yelm, WA. It was an abuse of process to violate the 6th Amendment prescription for transparency in every step of courthouse proceedings and lawuits to falsely label the declarations as 'Health/Medical records' when they were little more than scurrilous attacks and lies on the character of and my daughter's reputation hoping to discredit her in the eyes of this court without being exposed to the public for submitting the deceit to this court. It was an abuse because it was also tantamount to prior restraint.

   Moreover, were there genuine medical records rather than wholesale character assassination and a very few medical billing statements procured by the opening of Selena's private mail without her permission and rifling through her private papers without her permission, any HIPPA controlled privacy or more general right to privacy belongs to her, not the Stokers or even this court. She has expressed outrage over the manner the Stokers tried to hide their dirty work from the public and will not llow them to speak for her regarding a right strictly controlled by her00if there is such a right in the instant case. A man may be overheard discussing his personal affairs in a public park, but still has no expectation of privacy for the words overheard in a public space/venue. This court is one of record...PUBLIC record. The declarations were properly placed where the public could, and did, access them and publish them. Gag orders are extremely rare in America and the state must have extremely compelling justifications to issue one—compelling of the order of national

security or national secrets. There were no national secrets in the Stokers' declarations. There was only character assassination and lies attacking a single desperately poor mother with 3 young children and one hand trying to make it on her own without the Stokers controlling her life. It doesn't get much worse than this. The public and that single handicapped mother have n abiding need to know and hold those responsible such as courts that abuse their authority and those who perjure themselves routinely in fraudulent declarations in order to gull the courts, as in the instant case. It's been said, before you kill a dog, first you call it 'mad'! That's exactly what's at work here with the aid and assistance of a court drunk with power and self congratulations unlawfully extending its jurisdiction to seize children in the middle of the night from foreign states.

If ever there were a case that needed the full support of the 6th and 1st Amendments, it is this one. The hubris at work in this case is mind boggling and deeply offensive to the principles this nation was founded on. It is not a crime to be poor and have children without fear of them being snatched at midnight by the Gestapo....a Gestapo 'authorized' by a foreign state, no less.

Some of Maya Stoker's declaration was redacted out of concern for what some might consider prurient rather than tragic, but not all. Editorial policy is tightly controlled and guarded by the corporation that published the community blog Kathryn Stoker mentions, Soul Snatchers Productions, a Washington State licensed company engaged in investigatory street photojournalism whose masthead motto is "adversarial journalism".

The court may or may not decide to seal some portion of the record, but it cannot unring the bell of what has already been lawfully discovered, procured, and published. To do so would reward a woman, Kthryn Stoker, who has repeatedly lied to this court and other Thurston courts bfore it, then attempted to hide the record from the public and detection. What Kathryn Stoker is urging the court to do is beyond its authority under the circumstances. She has no remedy because she has failed to state a claim. Instead, she sheds crocodile tears for eating her own children and grandchildren. Selena Smith, and I, for one, am not fooled. Neither should the court be in response to concern feigned by Kthryn Stoker. She is concerned because her behavior, her lies and deceptions are bad for her image she present traveling in the circles of the mutually wealthy and elite.

Any attempt to impose a gag order will be vigorously litigated and the material has already been widely disseminated/distributed. At this point, the court's authority over a citizen that has yet to be joined in this action is necessarily extremely limited, despite Kathryn Stoker's self serving angst.

4. Kathryn Stoker's perplexity over my attachment to my daughter and her children stems from her decades of demonizing me in an ongoing and unceasing campaign of parental alienation that has now reippled to a new generation in which she even devours her own daughter. It must stop—now. Kathryn Stoker has never kept any bargain/agreement with me in her life. She pays lip service to my requests to visit my grandchildren, but always finds an excuse to evade it actually happening. Thus I developed a rapport with and courted my daughter, as Selena states in her affidavit. Kathryn is intolerably ride and dishonest to the point I never try to arrange visits with my grandchildren through her. Her husband, Hans, is equally condescending. I have spent many hundreds of hours in my daughter's and children's company. I made certain o take etra care on special occasions such as the birth of my grandchildren. This past year has been tough because o the covid. My daughter tells me she was trying to protect me due to my age and complex health (e.g. diabetes) profile.

Should I seek to slap a "Sealed Health Records" coversheet on this declaration because I mentioned diabetes? That dog won't hunt.

I have many (hundreds) of photos and video clips of my daughter, I, and her children enjoying each other's company on a variety of occasions. How many would you like for me to submit under an exhibits indes. They're good looking kids, really. None of the Stokers would have any reason or opportunity to know that/. Nor do I feel they need to. It's simply one more instance of Kathryn Stoker's arrogance, believing she is the only 'real' parent and grandparent. It has ever been thus. She is out of touch and cannot stand being thwarted by her daughter who resists the Stokers' intrusiveness and her mother's obsessive possessiveness and control fetish.

Once again, Kathy attempt to lie to this court, claiming she had a protection order against me. There is absolutely NO truth to this claim. It's a moot point whether Kathy is deliberately deceptive or simply getting senile and remembering what she wants to imagine. They are equally pernicious. Kathy should be impeached and all her declarations discounted. If anyone should have gotten a protection order during our marriage, it was me. On one occasion prior to our divorce, we had an argument. I grew tired of arguing with her and started for the door of our farmstead on the Duckabush. "Don't you walk out that door," she hissed "or you'll be sorry!" Nobody was slapping anybody around or getting physical. So I ignored her and let through the door to cool off. The next thing I know, she's pursuing me down the road to our river crossing our property with her father's loaded 16 gauge shot gun. I ran. I never reported it. I wish I had but didn't want to air our dirty laundry in the tiny community of Brinnon, WA. I wish I had because, like she does with my daughter, she portrayed herself as the much more stable and superior parent. I superior parent doesn't threaten the other with a shotgun o use hard drugs. Kathy did both of those things.

I challenge Kathy to GO INTO DETAILS of that non-existent order. It doesn't exist. Check the records of the State courts case index. What does exist (and I have produced it before this court) is a record of Kathy routinely lying to the courts and trying to cover it up. What does edxist is Kthy hired a private detective to stalk me long after our divorce and have Mason County court clerks feed her information about me while she was employed as a programmer for the Administrator to the Courts well after she'd remarried to Hans Stoker. It was her luck to run into a NJ security guard that was a retired U.S. Marshal she coaxed into forming common cause with to do her bidding and track Selena across the country for months. The guy even knew where Selena stopped in route and exactly what she spent her money on. AND THIS WOMAN HAS THE GALL TO COMPLAIN ABOUT THE 'PRIVACY' SHE EXPECTED FOR HER DECLARATIONS HIDDEN WHOLESALE BENEATH FAKE "HEALTH CARE RECORDS" OVERSHEETS? Just WoW! The chutzpah is breathtaking in the wake of her opening Selena's privste mail, rifling through her papers for something to present to this court, and spying on Selena through her I-Phone...feeding the information to her partner in crime in New Jersey, Robert Kurtz. As a retired LEO, Kurtz was clever enough not to implicate himself by revealing the illegal methods he used to invade Selena's privacy in his declaration submitted to this court, largely coached by Kathryn Stoker.

5. Mrs. Stoker's suggested interim remedy of appointing a GAL to ramrod my visitation with my grandchildren is classic Kathryn Stoker, no less, and what my daughter bridles at now. An $11.5 million lawsuit for parental alienation of affections lawsuit has been discussed, a still valid lawsuit in Washington State when the victim of the alienation is a child and the parent affected by it.

I did bring to Kathy's attention during one call the fact she had lied to me, both about the upcoming court date, which was 6-16-21 and not the 18th as she stated. She had also lied about the circumstances of Selena's

children being seized and the nature of the 'nationwide manhunt' for my daughter, which proved to be untrue. Nor, as she claimed, did she and Hans receive an unexpected call out of the blue with a voice asking how their night driving skills were. Court records and the time stamps reveal Hans and Kathryn Stoker carefully laid a Trap for Selena, having successfully tracket her by all the method mentioned above, to Oakridge, Oregon. It was no surprise, but planned. As usual, Kathy lied about that too. This case is based on a blizzard and litany of lies promoted by the Stokers at every turn. It has tainted these proceedings from the very beginning to a commissioner recusing himself for involvement with the Stokers AFTER he entered and reentered critical discretionary rulings. Lying to. The courts and perjury is actionable for abuse of process, a legitimate collateral action in this case. What Kathy calls berating was nothing more than a frank discussion rremonstrating with her over the deceptions visited upon me and rthe courts. That's not berating. That's asking the liar to confront the truth. It's difficult to find any truths in Kathryn's declarations. There are occasionally some half truths, but never the whole truth. I know this woman, thus I know the truth because I was there. I look forward to impeaching her on the witness stand where a full hearing with sworn testimony and cross examination can be had.

Kathryn Stoker has not been 'abused' by either her daughter or myself during the time this case has been underway. Kathryn Stoker has lied egregiously many times over during these proceedings and can not hand lee being exposed and the truth about her chicanery coming out. No good or rapprochement can come of it or any accord so long as Kathy refuses to be honest with herself. She can run, but she can't hide. No matter where you go, there you are. Kathy is not only an unreliable witness, but a calculating one.

6. Oh, the 'squalid room' trope again—a room discovered on January 16th, 2020 so dangerous and harmful to the welfare of my children that in the midst of a 'nationwide manhunt' for my daughter, the full investigatory powers of New Jersey waited until March, two months later, to check on Selena's children at the Stoker residence? Where are the pictures of the 'squalid room? Do the children still have nightmares about it? Where is the patrolman's report, or the NJ CPS? It's all unverified hearsay from Mr. Robert Kurtz, a security guard with the NJ Children's Services... 5 MONTHS earlier! Yeah, my daughter's a loser, so why don't y'all just kill her. I'm sire my grandchildren will be thrilled. Kathryn and Hans Stoker are SOoo concerned about the grandchildren's welfare they want to hook them up right away to an alcoholic drug abuser James Wells) who brought one of his junkie friends suffering severe withdrawals from heroin into the household to share with the children while he puked all over the walls, carpet and bed leaving the putrid mess for my one handed daughter to clean up. The Stokers were so concerned about my grandchildren's safety, they couldn't brar to turn this alcoholic out of the house on their doorstep despite telling me they had to gut it after James left, TWO MONTHS after Selena god a DV restraining order against Jim to protect both her AND the children. Selena couldn't even return to retrieve her thin gs until he left while the Stokers drug their feet in hopes they could control Selena through her abusive boyfriend. Kathryn acts shocked over the 3rd hand hearsay about a NJ Motel 6 room 5 months ago while ignoring the squalor brought about by Selena's alcoholic boyfriend for years. With a grandmother like that, Selena's children hardly need enemies. It's beginning to sound like the Stoker's don't like the competition. They ignored the squalor in their own yard for years—squalor Kathryn admitted to and is reflected in Maya Stoker's declaration. The Stokers, by their own demonstration and management of their own property while a drug enfeebled alcoholic lounged in their house for free for years (Jim) aren't so much interested in the children's safety and wellbeing, but in control. Selena grew exhausted and injured by that control. She left. That enraged the Stokers.

Kathryn's understanding of Selena's living arrangements are distorted by the fact she has never seen them. Kathryn appears to get a lot of mileage in this court from her 'understanding' and bare assertions. My own experience is my own children, as minors, consistently received their greatest physical injuries on Kathy's watch. Her safety record was abysmal. Even in the face of a DV protection order, Karhy ignores the safety of the children to use Jim to checkmate Selena. Jim is currently living in a tent trailer, he tells me, in a rugged area near Mt. Adams. Jim admits it's no place for small children.

Does Kathy Stoker plan on paying for the psychiatrist she wants this court to force on Selena Smith? There is no indication Selena is mentally ill. I have spent dozens of hours speaking with my daughter this month alone. She is not mentally ill. I would know were it so. It is not. Yet the Stokers bank on that steady drumbeat. Before you kill a dog, first you call it mad.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington and pursuant to GENERAL Court RULE 13 and RCW 9A.72.085 that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signed at Mason, [County] Washington [State] on June 28, 2021 [Date]



Signature of Petitioner or Lawyer/WSBA No.

Selena Smith (mother), pro se
Print Name

I have e-mailed a copy of this entire document to Breckan Scott, attorney for the Stokers, & Selena Smith on 6-28-21.

Signed at Mason, [County] Washington [State] on June 28, 2021 [Date]



Signature of Petitioner or Lawyer/WSBA No.

Selena Smith (mother), pro se
Print Name