21-4-00452-34
AF 38
Affidavit
10576245

41

E-FILED
THURSTON COUNTY, WA
SUPERIOR COURT
06/29/2021 11:00:32 AM
Linda Myhre Enlow
Thurston County Clerk

[X] EXPEDITE (If filed within 5 court days of hearing)
[X] Hearing is set
Date: 6-30-21
Time: 9:00am Zoom #: 242-974-5214 Rm:4
Judge/Calendar: Rebekah Zinn/Motion & argument

Superior Court of Washington for Thurston County Family & Juvenile Court

In re: Emergency Guardianship of RAVEN GAIA SHENANDOAH SMITH-WELLS, & ONAWA KACHINA SMITH-WELLS Respondent(s): Minor Child(ren)	**No. 21-4-00452-34** **Counter-Affidavit to Kathryn Stoker's from SELENA SMITH**

(Cover Sheet)
TITLE OF DOCUMENT

Counter-Affidavit to Kathryn Stoker's from SELENA SMITH

NAME: Selena Smith, indigent mother
Mailing ADDRESS: 6901 26th Ct, SE, Lacey, WA 98503
PHONE: (360)427-3599

[X] EXPEDITE (if filing within 5 court days of hearing)
[X] Hearing is set:
Date: 6-30-21
Time: 9:00pm Zoom #: 242-974-5214 Rm:4
Judge/Calendar: Rebekah Zinn/Motion & Argument

Superior Court of Washington for Thurston County Family & Juvenile Court

In re: Emergency Guardianship of RAVEN GAIA SHENANDOAH SMITH-WELLS, & ONAWA KACHINA SMITH-WELLS Respondent(s): Minor Child(ren)	**No. 21-4-00452-34** **Counter-Affidavit to Kathryn Stoker's from SELENA SMITH**

TO: The Clerk of the Thurston County and Juvenile Court, (360)709-3260, 2801 32nd AVE SW, Tumwater, WA 98512;
AND,
Breckan Scott-Gabriel, bar #:41585, attorney for Kathryn Stoker (maternal grandmother) and Hans Stoker (husband of Kathryn Stoker, but NOT the grandfather), PO Box 1123, Yelm, WA 98597-1123, PH. (360)960-8951, fax (360)485-1916, e-mail: breckan@breckanlaw.com;
AND,
Selena Ursa Smith, mother, e-mail: doublekachina007@protonmail.com, domiciled in Oregon mailing address: 6901 26th Ct SE, Lacey, WA 98503, Ph. (971)803-9898
AND,
James Wells (father of Onawa & Raven), rodytok@gmail.com, Ph. (253) 948-8260, homeless

I Identity of Parties

I, Selena Smith (indigent mother of the subject minor(s) in this action) enter this counter-affidavit to Kathryn Stoker's Declaration into the record without counsel of necessity, pro se, for this court's consideration as the truth and nothing but the truth. I reserve the right and continue to object to the jurisdiction of this court as stated below under JURISDICTION.

Kathryn Stoker (maternal grandmother) and her husband, Hans Stoker (who is NOT the grandfather of the children, contrary to his and his wife's sworn misrepresentations in their filed pleadings to this court)

brought this action before this court well BEFORE the young children at issue had been evaluated by any qualified Family and Children's social worker within the State of their domicile or oversight of a state court properly presiding over the same, i.e. Oregon, where this court's emergency ex parte order to seize the children was executed around midnight and they were spirited, under cover of darkness, out of Oregon after handing off the very young three to the Stokers at a gas station adjacent to I-5 north of Eugene that night. The seizure was executed, as described at midnight, 5-30-21 in/near Oakridge, OR, the initial ex parte emergency petition for seizing my 3 children was filed 5-27-21, the order granting the petition was entered on 5-28-21. The Stokers filed their petition prior to the children being examined and evaluated precisely to deny me and my children due process with this court's approval, aid, and abetment under color of state law in violation of Oregon's sovereignty, the federal ADA (I have only one hand), my status as a destitute DV survivor (contrary to UCCJEA requirements, and in violation of meaningful protection under the 6th and 14 Amendment as well as principles laid out in Troxel vs. Troxel and the notorious Elian Gonzalez international case.

JURISDICTION

The Petitioners (Stokers) are longtime residents of and domiciled in Thurston County, Washington.

I, Selena Smith (mother), am the person bringing this motion. I had left Washington State without any intention of returning more than 6 months prior to the date my children were seized in Oregon where I resided and was domiciled with my children. I returned briefly in March to recover some of my property, from the Stokers, but did not reside in Washington. The Stokers used this date to deceive the court into believing less than 6 months had lapsed since I left Washington in late November, 2020 as a DV survivor with my 3 young children. Thus, this court does not have proper in personam or subject matter jurisdiction even if there had not been an in excess absence of my children residing/domiciled in Washington. **In light of these facts, all actions/orders taken/entered by this court are void abb initio**. The basis for this court's rulings have been fraudulent misrepresentations and deception submitted to this court by the Stokers.

I, Selena Smith, the mother of the very young child(ren) at issue in this cause, due to DV, fled the State of Washington with my children prior to 11-24-20, which is the date James Wells (my boyfriend) filed a DV Protection Petition (20-2-30761-34 | JAMES DANIEL WELLS, Jr vs SELENA URSA SMITH) after I left Washington State to preserve my and my children's safety. Mr. Wells' purpose was to use the children (who he sought custody of in the petition) to support himself. The petition was denied by Court Commissioner Rebekah Zinn. Mr. Wells is currently sleeping in the open near Mt. Adams, is homeless and non-compliant with a subsequent DV protection order issued by the court.

I, Selena Smith, filed a petition for DV protection, alleging Mr. Wells was violently abusive with me and the children, an alcoholic, and in need of anger management classes. Court Commissioner Rebekah Wells ruled in Selena's favor and ordered Mr. Wells to surrender his firearms. This action was filed by myself from out of State. I personally appeared before this court (Court Commissioner Rebekah Zinn, presiding) from an out of state DV women's shelter and filed the declaration of an advocate associated with that shelter confirming evidence I had seen of what appeared to be stalking while I was staying in that out-of-state DV shelter.
(20-2-30788-34 | SELENA URSA SMITH vs JAMES DANIEL WELLS, Jr)
i.e. I, Selena Smith, and my children have been absent and no longer resided in Washington State for longer than 6 months prior to having my 3 children seized around midnight on 5-30-21 under the color of

Washington State law via an ex parte emergency guardianship order executed beyond Washington's own borders in a foreign state (Oregon). Court Commissioner Rebekah Zinn was either well aware I had left Washington State with my children (or should have been) more than 6 months before the instant case had been filed. I was under no legal obligation to inform my parents or Hans Stoker of my whereabout, nor did the Stokers have standing to object since there was no court order granting them standing , custody, or visitation. Yet they conspired to track me in conjunction with security guard Robert Kurtz for months wherever I went using my I-phone to do so.

Even case officers with the New Jersey Division of Children & Families admitted they had no authority to use a New Jersey Court Order (under the circumstances) to order law enforcement in Oregon to seize my children upon New Jersey's direction, although security guard Robert Kurtz indifferent to the invasion of my privacy.. When Kurtz's actions were challenged, a case worker supervisor retorted it was NJ Division of Children & Families to track or find missing families/children when receiving reports/suspicion of the same. **Except**...there **WERE NO missing children**.! They were with me, their mother, who had no legal duty to provide the State of New Jersey or the Stokers with such information. Nor was there a nation wide manhunt for me, only the illegal surveillance conducted by security guard Robert Kurtz, the means by which he chose not to reveal in his declaration submitted to this court to avoid incriminating himself.

Robert Kurtz was acting only on his own without authority from his agency or direction to invade my privacy by conspiring with the Stokers who were using software on my I-phone to track, unbeknownst to me, my movements, purchases, bills and confidential health/billing records obtained by opening my mail without permission (as well as rifling through my personal papers left where I once resided on the Stoker property). Adding outrage to injury, the Stokers kept the notices of fines received in the mail they opened rather than forwarding it to my new mailing address of which they were aware—putting my Driver's License at risk of suspension for want of notice. They used the unlawfully acquired document to try and prejudice the court against me. They may have succeeded, denying me fairness in these proceedings, or even the appearance of fairness.

This rogue action by a Washington Family Court fails what even grade school children would recognize as the SMELL TEST. The statutory construction of a normal petition for guardianship of minors in Washington State replete with a full complement of meaningful due process is very different from an ex parte emergency petition for guardianship of minors with effectively **no meaningful due process**. Accordingly, the ex parte judicial excess of Washington's judiciary ought to be a pleasure enjoyed by its own citizens which it is accountable to rather than being visited upon the citizens of foreign states.

In Troxel vs. Troxel, the U.S, Supreme Court pronounced Washington's Courts interpretation of the 'best interests' of the child(ren_ "breathtaking in scope"! Additionally, they concluded a parent's bond with their minor children wqs so fundamental a right that a state which substituted its judgment for a parents exceeded its authority no matter how seductive the state's reasoning mught be unless there was genuine true imminent harm that would come to the child(ren). Not only is that not evident in the instant case, but the child(ren at issue were not evaluated by a qualified expert prior to the court issuing its ex parte emergency seizure order executed out-of-state under cover of darkness.

Kathryn Stoker lied to my father when she described the circumstances and genesis of that seizure as well as the date of the court hearing (Nathan Kortokrax presiding who recused himself) as on the 18th of this month when it, in truth, was the 16th. The Stokers also lied about my mental condition and claims there was a "nation wide manhunt" for me.

This court did not provide me with 60 day notice to respond from service out-of-state, nor was a Return of Service filed in either of the 2 case #'s involving the child(ren) to either father or permission for alternative service ought.

In short, this case and all orders pursuant to it are void ab initio for failure to establish proper subject matter and in personam jurisdiction over all the parties. The issuance of the ex parte emergency order to sieze the children executed out-of-state was based on fraudulent misrepresentations and deceptions that are a matter of record presented to the court. Nor did the court take any care to insure the child(ren) were genuinely at risk before giving them the impression that their mother was a 'bad' person as they were seized as though I was Dillinger. My father has ordered and will be paying for the video, audio, photos, and police reports from the Oakridge PD. They will reveal my children were not imperiled and their needs were being met; they were not living in squalid conditions. I will present this evidence to this court for its consideration given the chance. I have spoken to the Oakridge authorities about this case. Their assessment does not support the tale the Stokers had to tell this court. The midnight raid on myself and my child(ren) is what one would expect in a totalitarian regime or from Hollywood. I have spent many hours discussing these events with my father. He is part of my and my children's life and has always tried to maintain a relationship with us. He has never interfered with my relationship with my children. He is a necessary abd indispensable party to this action as the Stokers have never respected his role in our lives which can be seen in their declarations where Hans and his wife deceives the court into believing he is my father and my children's grandfather. My story is persuasive if the court would but take the time to hear it out. My father, John Smith, has taken the time to do so since he learned of my predicament despite my mother dissembling to him. It is abundantly clear I am **not** delusional or mentally ill. I do cry and get upset about my babies. My father is not an attorney, but he has an important ongoing role in our lives that will be ignored by the Stokers if he is not allowed to join this action and represent himself on behalf of his relationship with me and my children.

II DECLARATION

I have but one hand, am indigent (though employed), homeless, a DV victim fleeing my abuser, and desperately needs a court appointed lawyer, as do the child(ren) need a GAL not of the Stoker's choosing. I, Selena Smith [Name] ***Declare*** that:

My mother, Kathryn Stoker, has a history of covering up for the males in her family. This includes Chad, Alex and Hans Stoker. She lies where she has to or feels is necessary. She does not have good self-esteem or self-respect. She has been chronically depressed off and on throughout my life. My mother is a classic enabler and excuse maker. Her own choices in marriages and partnerships were more strained and dysfunctional than she is willing to admit. My mother cares very much about how she is perceived, however, and is careful to keep hidden her own failings or that of her family members.

Being open, honest, or authentic is not admirable or rewarded by my mother, Kathryn Stoker. It is a liability--something she would rather not deal with or encourage. My mother has her own shame and issues she does not cope with or face well. I believe she blames me for being honest and pointing out the obvious in not only my own affairs, but hers. However, I cannot ignore the negative impacts of familial dysfunction and neurosis I witness within her family. I know she resents this. My mother had children more to please her husbands and secure those relationships

than she wanted or enjoyed having children or family. She was a distant mother, emotionally. While I confided in her, and wanted to be close with her and loved, my mother doesn't represents a healthy relationship for myself or my children, moving forward based on all my experience and what I know of her.

My mother, Kathryn Stoker, is accurate when she states she picked out a psychiatrist for me at 16. I was going through upheavals in my life, the consequences of which she thought could be eliminated through medication, a preference she has pursued for herself through most of her life.

In my counter affidavit to Alex Stoker's declaration, I responded to that time period in more detail. At that time, I had no children, during the bulk what she is referring to. I was a young, confused adult, to be sure. I had my hand severed in a rock climbing accident near Spokane and had to undergo a series of major surgeries at 19 to reattach my hand to my arm, but which had not been preserved for 3-days until I was airlifted, along with my hand, to Harborview Trauma Center in Seattle. In addition, as I struggled with a newly acquired physical disability, and was forced to live with my mother and her husband again. Hans Stoker was not kind.

I ended up leaving their home and living in a rented out bus hulk near Evergreen State College, even though I was still going through surgeries. I was still very weak and thin, and in recovery, when I met Maya's father, Andrew Forman, now deceased. We lived together for two years off and on before I became pregnant with Maya. We did not plan the pregnancy. He left me for my best friend, Amy while I was still pregnant.

I focused on the expected baby, but was depressed. I hoped Andrew would come back, and accepted him whenever he did. But he always went back to my friend, Amy, which caused considerable tensions between us. It was emotionally very difficult. After the baby was born, he still kept this up, playing each of off against the other which developed into animosity between Amy and I. Eventually, it was too much for me, and as he was leaving me for her again, I begged him not to go. I pleaded. Andrew called his friends, had them take me to the hospital, and took my baby, Maya Smith, with him to Amy's place, telling me before he left I was crazy. Amy had been my best friend. We grew up together and she spent time with me in my mother's house as well as my father's. She recalls a great deal of what went on in each home.

I had been through a lot with Andrew Forman. I had very poor self-esteem and just accepted most of what he said to me. I didn't protest going to the hospital. His friends walked me in. And once there, I admitted I was depressed. From that point, I was admitted, and mostly repeated to the doctors what Andrew had told me about myself. The doctors labeled me with all kinds of stuff.

A social worker working with my family and myself explained to me after I'd been there a while that he knew I was very poor, and that the best thing he could do was make my condition seem as bad as possible, so I could get the services we needed. I never knew my mother and her husband had taken custody of Maya extrajudicially while I was being cared for. No one ever told me. I was never brought to court, or notified. My daughter was returned to me by my mother after I was released. Soon, my mother had me sign some court documents I didn't understand. Neither was I represented by an attorney, nor did I consult with one. It was my

father who discovered, three years later, that my mother had custody, and I had been living without that knowledge.

My father, John Smith, learned of this through Parks Weaver, esq. (now deceased) who specialized solely in family law and was a 3rd generation lawyer whose grandfather had been a sitting justice on the Washington State Supreme Court. He informed my father that in the documents my mother had filed in her petition to the Thurston County Family Court (3rd party custody #99-3-00727-2) she had falsely alleged my dad was mentally ill even though he wasn't even a party to the action and had made no demands whatsoever related to the affair. My father didn't want to believe Parks, but when he read the court record, the proof was plain to see. On page 2, last paragraph, of her Motion and Declaration for an Ex Parte Restraining Order, my mother, **Kathryn Stoker, lies to the court when she states, "Her [Selena Smith] biological father is schizophrenic and they are trying to determine if Selena also suffers from schizophrenia,"** in the above referenced cause. Moreover, after submitting this perjured statement to that court, she moved to have the records and her perjured Declaration sealed. The court denied her motion to seal.

My mother, Kathryn, was lying to that court and tried unsuccessfully to hide the fact. She is lying to this court now on a number of substantive material issues. I am NOT mentally ill, my children were not at risk of eminent harm, my children and I had not resided in Washington for over 6 months when my mother misrepresented this fact in her filing on 5-27-21 submitted in the instant case. Nor was there a nationwide manhunt for my children and I. It was an orchestrated invasion of my privacy as my mother, in conjunction/league with NJ security guard Robert Kurtz, used my I-Phone to spy on me (which she admitted) as well as opened my private mail without my permission, and rifled through my personal papers. We confronted my mother about her perfidy and perjury submitted in the 1999 3rd Party Custody Declaration she had sought to hide from scrutiny.. She remedied it legally once confronted by moving to dismiss and that was the end of it. Or so I thought. My father knows the details of how she accomplished custody legally without my knowledge, and kept it hidden.

I am working full time, but being minimally compensated delivering auto parts to retailers in Portland. I have moved on with my life with my daughters. I want to continue to do so without being stalked by my mother and her husband. I took my father's counsel seriously and became healthy. I stopped depending on medication which proved to be one of the healthiest decisions I've made in life, and learned how to cope with the stresses and demands on a single handicapped mother in a precarious economy and pandemic. I left all the chaos, dysfunctional family dynamics, and chaos in the Stoker home behind, thank God. Maya's father, Andrew Forman, died in a car accident on the Hood Canal bridge when she was only a year and a half. He was DOA when helicoptered to the Seattle regional trauma center.

My mother is incorrect that I went into the hospital in 2010 due to depression over my marriage, or that I attempted suicide in response. That is simply false...part of a pattern of deception she uses in the courts to deny/thwart justice being done by all the parties and a genuine search for the truth. Her wealth makes her feel invulnerable to the consequences of deliberately misleading the courts and she should be sanctioned for it as she has done a lot of harm through the years with the practice.

My mother is misrepresenting the incident in Eureka, CA. In fact, my friend had gone missing, to whom I was close. James Wells (the father of my two youngest daughters) and his friend, Sky, forced me into a position where I was compelled to leave Onawa. After being told he would be there shortly to pick her up, I left on those reassurances because he did not want to see me. But Jim didn't show. My mother and Hans wouldn't let me see Onawa after that. I was breastfeeding Onawa at the time, and begging to be allowed to at least feed her. They insisted if I wanted to see her again, I had to go into the mental ward nearby. I disclosed to the doctor the circumstances and my reasons for traveling south to check on my friend's disappearance. I disclosed the abuse I was experiencing, including Jim's attempt to snatch Onawa, my youngest at the time, and his strange behavior rolling around on the front porch where he hurt both Onawa and myself. After I disclosed the abuse we'd experienced, James also visited with the doctor. I wanted help and law enforcement intervention but the doctor was uncooperative.

A still deep point of pain for me is the fact my mother and her husband Hans and James Wells (who would not leave the rent free house they provided rather than work for us to be independent) would use my children whenever I temporarily entrusted them to the Stokers, or James Wells (their father) to force my return to an abusive situation else they would not return my children to me. Nor was Hazel 'living' with my mother and her husband. I had to leave and I returned for her and Onawa. James Wells and the Stokers used my children to force me to always return to an abusive dysfunctional hostile environment. It was a chaotic scene I desperately wanted my children and I to escape permanently.

I never "ran away". This is a lie seeking to frame the false narrative with disingenuous semantics. I fled, in fear, numerous times, from abuse and violence. They weren't **"taking custody"** of Hazel or any of my children, nor did I ask them to. There were times when I was being hurt so badly, I did not have time to do anything but flee My mother did not help me reunite with my children, and I was forced to return, every time, to be with them. My flight to the Midwest was to a DV safe house, where advocates attested to witnessing stalking and threatening behavior towards myself and them, which my advocates reported to the police. The police were able to stop and identify some of the participants, and recorded it.

My mother's recollection of my time at the Midwest shelter in 2019 are not true at all. I never called them from the Midwest to come get me or the children. Raven was born December 14, 2019. Her timeline is inaccurate. My first time at the Midwest DV shelter I had Onawa, but not Hazel. I knew I had to go back for her. I called my mother to ask for Hazel. She knew we had support and advocates. My advocates were encouraging me to have my mother arrange Hazel's reunification with Onawa and myself. They promised to keep us safe. **My mother and her husband refused.** Raven wasn't born yet. Is my mother is conceding in her declaration that as I was finally getting support, she was moving to take custody of Hazel. She sought to take advantage of the situation rather than doing the right thing and working with the domestic violence advocates and myself to return Hazel. I returned to retrieve Hazel. I had to submit and reluctantly 'make up' with James. I discussed my decision with the advocates. They did not judge me. They said it was my decision. And they understood.

For the record, Hazel can travel, and does just fine with travel, notwithstanding my mother's false claim submitted before this court to the contrary.

My mother's account in regards to enrolling Hazel in North Thurston School District is false. The State of Washington and North Thurston School District, whom my mother relies on to support Hazel's needs financially, told me later after I arrived in Washington my mother had asserted **she was the mother**, in many instances. They told me my mother never needed to be contacted in order for Hazel to receive services, even though I was out of state. They told me, as the mother, all I needed to do was talk to them over the phone, and we would have been able to arrange for all her needs remotely, with my authorization. In fact, they were alarmed because my mother had broken the law, and my consent and authorization was required. My mother was committing fraud. I never told my mother I couldn't handle Hazel. Some women are notorious for having stolen newborns from the hospital. My mother uses the courts to achieve the same end. It is simply untrue that my mother and Hans have had **custody** for several years of Hazel's young life. This is a gross misrepresentation. I have taken care of Hazel the majority of her life, been her primary caregiver through thick and thin, and been responsible for the majority of all her care. That includes the vast majority of her medical care and therapies, as well as assessments, she had received, including her club foot.

The conversation my mother, Kathryn Stoker, recapitulates of what my brother, Chad. and his wife, declared regarding a conversation with Hazel. It sounds nothing like my daughter, Hazel. Chad has served as my mother's agent since her Petition for 3rd party custody of Maya, my oldest.

I recently had a phone conversation with Hazel. She asked me why I hadn't come and gotten her yet. Where was I? Was I far? Was I coming? Was I working? Was I making money so I could take her on a road trip? Why wasn't I there yet? She also said Onawa was scared. I could hear Onawa. She sounded very unhappy. I'm sad. I am well aware of the truth of what my children think and feel, and the impact this is having on them. Hazel's conversation is really a communication about Hazel's sister Onawa.

My children aren't allowed in the front seat of our RV, and Onawa is the most frequent in getting in the front seats anyway. I have told Hazel she is to tell me if she notices Onawa or her sister going into the front, and she knows everyone, including her, get in trouble if they don't stay out of the front area. I don't want them in the driver's area or playing with the gear shift, etc. I am stern on this. Emphatic. That is not allowed. I encourage the girls to be responsible for each other and follow our family rules. Hazel wants her sister to be better behaved, and doesn't understand why Onawa isn't better at following the rules. I have told her it's because Onawa is still young and learning, and that Hazel Is bigger, older, and better at understanding many things her sister doesn't yet, but she's still contemplating her sister and these concepts. Hazel has also been spanked a couple times--a practice I abandoned, because it was completely ineffective. Hazel and I discussed spanking, other alternatives, and talked openly about our feelings. However, she still remembers. But that is the extent of it.

Chad Stoker's account that I tell bad stories about the family isn't true. That doesn't even sound like Hazel. We talk very little about the family. We have, however, had discussions about alcohol and drugs, and their effect on people's behavior. When Hazel is asked if she goes to school, she is being accurate. She was about to end her school year by taking online standardized testing, as required, but we were unable to complete that, as the seizure of the children interfered with that. Her education and completion of this school year has been negatively impacted, as a result. I don't believe Hazel would be saying I get really angry. Or that I don't like it when she

does art. I'm always encouraging her art and her interest in it, as well as keeping plentiful art supplies on hand. She's been fairly free to explore not only art whenever she chooses, but meny interests of her choosing. Sometimes she's been at it so long I encourage her to focus on some other activities. She was telling me of all about her new drawings last we talked. As for talking when we're sleeping? She's largely allowed to do that and we're used to it. I don't like it. But I accept it. I do, however, ask her to be quiet while her sisters are trying to nap or go to sleep. My brother, Chad, translates/interprets Hazel badly, or isn't good at interpreting her experiences.

I have arranged and organized the vast majority of Hazel's care and therapies. Her medical needs. The majority of all my children's needs. While my mother may have provided some transportation at times and coordinated with me, that does not represent abdicating my responsibilities where Hazel, in particular, is concerned. My mother's false narrative is intended as a deception visited upon this court.

I did not become paranoid during the pandemic. **My mother and her husband refused to believe the pandemic was serious, and refused to follow quarantine measures or wear masks.** They wanted to come in and out of our house as they wished without following precautions. They continued to cross boundaries and live as they always did, and were angry I did take the pandemic seriously. They would not cooperate or work with me regarding keeping my family's health and safety regarding covid-19. Since there were supply shortages, yes, I did stock up on certain things. So did my mother. So did everybody. I also joined Lakewood Food Is Free, grew hundreds of plant starts for people to start new gardens with, made many new friends and many people expressed their appreciation for my contributions and efforts. I became more active in my community, not less. I was part of a network that shared food and helped provide food to low-income recipients. It is a fabrication on my mother and Han's part that this was somehow a paranoid or delusional effort. Food insecurity did exist, and I was active in my community in helping mitigate that. In truth, I accurately predicted the economic impact of the pandemic and other outcomes. (e.g. inflated food prices). My mother and Hans mocked it, mocked Governor Inslee, and seemed to openly resent my participation in community efforts with others. I felt they were disrespectful of my family's safety and health. I remonstrated with them they were not to enter our home, and they needed to socially distance and wear their masks around us. They were angered, defiant.

I called CPS and asked to enter their voluntary program with Jim. They provided resources I felt James and I needed. It was not the same as an open CPS case. James and my mother and Hans treated it like it was. But they do have a voluntary program. You can ask them for resources and receive them. You don't have to have something wrong with you or your family to receive their resources. However, that's not how James interpreted it. It wasn't CPS intervening. It was another program with CPS providing our family with needed resources.

In 2020, James began drinking hard liquor, and more heavily than I'd ever see him drink, continuously. He also became more violent, out of control and abusive. In May he hurt me badly, and he had also been continuously verbally abusive with me, attacking my parenting and my relationship with the children. I still had a 5-month old baby I was breastfeeding. I thought for a while life would never get better, and I had no support. I am thankful for my friends in Lakewood Food Is Free who saw me through that rough time, and we're supportive. However, I was unaware

my mother was planning to take custody and had hired a lawyer. That is a surprise. I was unaware. It appears she had done this a lot. She never told me. Or discussed it with me.

I started work for the census August 5, 2020. I ceased work for the census, in good standing, October 5, 2020. If I wasn't deployed with the census long distance, which I did when assigned for two weeks total, I was home every night after work. The younger children suffered because my mother and Hans wouldn't watch them while I was working. **They left then with James**, who was chronically and severely drunk the majority of the time. **They only wanted to watch Hazel.** I knew the children were being neglected, and it upset me. However, I was the only one working, and I needed to support us. We needed the money.

My mother's account of me finally managing to leave domestic violence with all three children after the last time James hurt me, and her husband was spitting and screaming into my face as I gathered my kids, is telling. She focuses on possessions we left behind and easily replaced, not our safety or why we left so quickly. I was intimidated by Hans and James, and their decision to continue to allow James to live there. I periodically checked in with her only to see when it would be convenient to arrange to move our things, which I did by phone. My mother was evasive. She wouldn't commit to a date. I was out of state. It was a financial hardship to return without a commitment from her. Ultimately, when I returned to the property two weeks later after he'd finally left, they only let me stay long enough to throw what I could in the RV, with a friend, and leave again. Hans and Alex intimidated both my friend and I while we were there. They also told me that since I hadn't shown them my final DV protection order within 30 days, I had technically abandoned my belongings. My mother would not give me back my dog, who had grown up alongside my babies. She informed me she had her microchipped, and the dog was hers now.

My mother did not attempt to keep in touch with me much. I didn't want to talk to her either. Or any of the Stoker family. Communication tended to be very brief. She never left any messages with any details of anything important.

My mother's allegations as to the "horrible" conditions at the motel 6 where we spent five days are misrepresented. The police department log does not support her account. Brooklawn, NJ Sargent Herst read the log verbatim over the phone to me. It was brief. It should also be obvious that motel 6 does not allow vermin to run rampant at their motels--that they have cleaning services. And we weren't there for very long--Certainly not long enough for the conditions to accumulate that my mother described. Given the massive deception surrounding her and Hans sworn statement there was a nationwide manhunt, open CPS cases in five states, and the sheer volume of deceit in the declarations that all mirror my mother and her husband's narrative, this court should impeach them as declarants and discount all they have to say. **Falsus in uno, falsus in omnibus.** (FALSE IN ONE THING, FALSE IN ALL THINGS). It doesn't make sense. It's not supported by contacts at these departments or agencies. I never fled police, workers, or kidnapped my children. Certainly, if my mother's allegations were true, I would have hardly have outraced anyone in my minivan which DOES have seatbelts and car seats which I drive the majority of the time. Moreover, the incident complained of was in mid-JANUARY of this year! What is the court rule or law for how long one must wear a hair shirt? My other van had to be converted, but has the capability to install car seats as well. I also own our RV, which is older, but safe, clean, dry, warm, everything works, and it runs reliably without any issues. I don't move it much however. We are welcome in our friends' homes, and spend

quality time with them while parked in their driveway and sleep in our RV. It is not illegal in Oregon to live in your RV with your children, or even to be homeless with your children, as long as you care for them and have everything you need. We were checked up on in Oregon numerous times, and authorities found no issue. We were not domiciled in or residents of Washington when subjected to a midnight raid to seize my children pursuant to an unlawful ex parte emergency guardianship for minors order issued in Thurston Cpinty on 5-28-21 then executed at midnight on 5-30-21 in Oakridge, Oregon—a blatant violation of Oregon's sovereignty and my rights, along with my children's, to remain domiciled in Oregon, the deception visited on this court by the Stokers notwithstanding.

My mother and I are in disagreement over my mother's continued personal attempts to cut me out of decision making regarding Hazel's teeth. She first took Hazel to the dentist, of her choosing, when Hazel was first back in Washington a couple of years ago, per our agreement to jump start Hazel's access to resources for her autism in Washington State, prior to my arrival without consulting me. The dentist removed a lot of her teeth and put her out with nitrous oxide, a decision I did not authorize. I question whether it was even necessary. My mother is always finding ways to have more work done on Hazel's teeth, and I'm not convinced it's good for her. I have never once been able to meet the dentist or be appraised by the dentist involved as to any of the details. As a result, Hazel is missing most of her teeth and has elaborate metal plates separating her teeth. Of course I question it, and I wanted her personally evaluated by a dentist of my choosing, who respected I was the parent and acknowledged the need for my consent regarding extensive surgery and anesthesia for her teeth.

I have managed my own affairs medically and otherwise surrounding surgery, and all of Hazel's appointments, needs and surgeries for her club foot, as well as the majority of her care surrounding her autism--Her schooling, and more. There is absolutely no reason my mother should be assuming her care where her teeth are concerned. I'm actually worried about the long term impact on my daughter's mouth and my mother's incessant need to not have me present or involved. We take care of our hygiene, including our oral hygiene. I recognize my mother's inappropriate decision making obsession concerning Hazel's teeth, parental consent and the real need for me, as her mother, to be fully aware and involved in medical decisions regarding my daughter and children. I am there to protect my children, and the last line of defense in that, especially in the Stoker family. My mother has been inappropriate where Hazel's teeth and other matters are concerned. I urge the court not to give her or any of the Stoker's medical authority over any of the children. I also want to point out my mother is again relying on the state to finance the children's medical and other needs, despite their extreme wealth. While I do not begrudge the financial responsibilities regarding the children, and I embrace them, I don't believe my mother, or her husband, or any of the Stoker's, demonstrate good judgment or choices regarding my children's wellbeing, as much as they may propose to care for them. My daughter's medical care is particularly important, not something I have neglected, and I have always pursued it vigorously. My mother's behavior is inappropriate, is hysterically possessive, obsessive, and does not make sense. It is pernicious and continues a decades long pattern of parental and familial alienation.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington and pursuant to GENERAL Court RULE 13 and RCW 9A.72.085 that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signed at Mason, [County] Washington [State] on June 27, 2021 [Date]

Signature of Petitioner or Lawyer/WSBA No.

Selena Smith (mother), pro se
Print Name

I have e-mailed a copy of this entire document to Breckan Scott, attorney for the Stokers, Selena Smith, and James Wells, on 6-27-21.

Signed at Mason, [County] Washington [State] on June 27, 2021 [Date]

Signature of Petitioner or Lawyer/WSBA No.

Selena Smith (mother), pro se
Print Name

[X] EXPEDITE (If filed within 5 court days of hearing)
[X] Hearing is set
Date: 6-30-21
Time: 9:00am Zoom #: 242-974-5214 Rm:4
Judge/Calendar: Rebekah Zinn/Motion & argument

Superior Court of Washington for Thurston County Family & Juvenile Court

In re: Emergency Guardianship of RAVEN GAIA SHENANDOAH SMITH-WELLS, & ONAWA KACHINA SMITH-WELLS Respondent(s): Minor Child(ren)	**No. 21-4-00452-34** **Selena Smith's Exhibit 'A'**

(Cover Sheet)
TITLE OF DOCUMENT

Exhibit 'A'
from SELENA SMITH

NAME: Selena Smith, indigent mother
Mailing ADDRESS: 6901 26th Ct, SE,
Lacey, WA 98503
PHONE: (360)427-3599

SELENA SMITH'S EXHIBIT 'A'

21

CASE#: 99-3-00727-2 DOM JUDGMENT# NO
TITLE: IN RE MAYA JOY URSA SMITH
FILED: 06/25/1999
CAUSE: CUS CHILD CUSTODY
RESOLUTION: DSM DATE: 03/24/2000 DISMISSAL WITHOUT TRIAL
COMPLETION: DATE:
CASE STATUS: ACT DATE: 06/25/1999 ACTIVE
CONSOLIDATED:
NOTE1:
NOTE2:

----------PARTIES----------

CONN	LAST NAME,	FIRST MI TITLE	LITIGANTS	SERVICE
PET01	STOKER, HANS			
RSP01	SMITH, SELENA U			
PET02	STOKER, KATHRYN			
RSP02	FORMAN, ANDREW LOREN			
MNR01	SMITH, MAYA JOY URSA			

----------ATTORNEYS----------

CONN	LAST NAME,	FIRST MI TITLE	LITIGANTS	DATE
ATP01	HOOD, TONI MARIE		PET01,02	

----------APPEARANCE DOCKET----------

SUB#	DATE	CD/CONN	DESCRIPTION	SECONDARY
1	06/25/1999	$FFR	FILING FEE RECEIVED	110.00
2	06/25/1999	SM	SUMMONS (CUS)	
3	06/25/1999	PTCUS	PETITION FOR CUSTODY	
4	06/25/1999	MTSC	MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
5	06/25/1999	NTIS	NOTICE OF ISSUE	07-08-1999MD
		ACTION	SHOW CAUSE/RESTRAINING ORDER	
6	06/25/1999	TPROTSC	TEMP REST ORD & ORD TO SHO CAUS	07-08-1999
		ACTION	SHOW CAUSE	
	06/25/1999	EXWACT	EX-PARTE ACTION WITH ORDER	
		COM10	COMMISSIONER SCOTT C. NEILSON	
7	07/06/1999	AFSR	AFFIDAVIT/DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
-	07/08/1999	MTHRG	MOTION HEARING	
		COM09	COMM. H. CHRISTOPHER WICKHAM	
			CC SMITH	
8	07/08/1999	ORPUB	ORDER FOR PUBLICATION 1PG	
9	07/08/1999	DCLR	DECLARATION	
10	07/08/1999	SMPB	SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION	
11	07/08/1999	TMO	TEMPORARY ORDER /CUSTODY 2PG	
12	07/08/1999	RRL	REGISTRY REFERRAL LETTER	
13	02/29/2000	ORTSC	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	03-24-2000M2
		ACTION	2:30 PM - DISMISSAL OF CASE	
-	02/29/2000	AFML	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING	
14	03/10/2000	LTR	LETTER TO COUNSEL FR SUPERIOR COURT	
15	03/24/2000	MTHRG	MOTION HEARING	
		JDG02	JUDGE PAULA CASEY	
			CC MOULTON	
16	03/28/2000	ORDSM	ORDER OF DISMISSAL	

----------END COPY CASE----------

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
THURSTON COUNTY, WASH.

99 JUL -8 PM 2:38

BETTY J. GOULD, CLERK

BY _____ DEPUTY

MEMORANDUM

BETTY J. GOULD, CLERK
THURSTON COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
2000 Lakeridge Drive, Bldg. 2
Olympia, WA 98502
(360) 786-5430

To: Washington State Support Registry
Support Enforcement Division
PO Box 9008, MS FU-11
Olympia, WA 98504

Date: July 8, 1999

From: Sharon Baldwin,
Deputy Clerk

Subject: **In Re the Marriage OF STOKER AND FORMAN/SMITH**
Thurston County Cause No. 99-3-00727-2

Title of Document	Documents Attached
Decree of Dissolution	
Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law	
Parenting Plan	
Order of Support	
Worksheets	
Judgment & Order	
Order on Show Cause	
Other: OR. FOR PUBLICATION/TEMPORARY ORDER	X

12

ORIGINAL

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
THURSTON COUNTY WASH

'99 JUL -8 A9:17

BETTY J. GOULD CLERK
BY GB
DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF THURSTON
FAMILY & JUVENILE COURT

In re the Custody of:
MAYA JOY URSA SMITH, a Minor,

HANS STOKER and KATHRYN STOKER,

Petitioners,

and

SELENA O. SMITH, Mother,

ANDREW LOREAN FORMAN, Alleged Father,

Respondents.

NO. 99-3-00727-2

TEMPORARY CUSTODY ORDER
(NONPARENTAL CUSTODY)
(TMO)

I. JUDGMENT SUMMARY

Does not apply.

II. ORDER

It is ORDERED that:

2.1 TEMPORARY RELIEF.

Temporary custody and visitation shall be as follows:

TMH

The petitioner shall have temporary custody of the child, Maya Joy Ursa Smith. The respondent, Selena Smith, shall be allowed supervised visitation in the petitioner's home or in another location if agreed upon by the petitioner. The respondent, Andrew Forman, shall have no visitation with the child.

This order shall be reviewed in three (3) months from the date of entry.

2.2 RESTRAINING ORDER.

Does not apply.

MICROFILMED

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1770

2.3 OTHER RESTRAINING ORDER.

The respondents are restrained from removing the child from the State of Washington.

2.4 BOND OR SECURITY.

Does not apply.

2.5 OTHER.

Reserved

All pleadings and document shall be placed in a confidential file.

Dated: 7/8/99

Judge/Commissioner

Presented by:
Foster, Foster & Schaller

Approved by:

TONI MARIE HOOD, WSBA #26473
Attorney for Petitioners

SELENA SMITH, Respondent

MICROFILMED

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1720

ORIGINAL

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
THURSTON COUNTY WASH.

'99 JUL -8 A9:17

BETTY J. GOULD CLERK
BY _____ DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF THURSTON
FAMILY & JUVENILE COURT

In re the Custody of:
MAYA JOY URSA SMITH, a Minor,

HANS STOKER and KATHRYN STOKER,

Petitioners,

and

SELENA U. SMITH, Mother,

ANDREW LOREN FORMAN, Alleged Father,

Respondents.

NO. 99-3-00727-2

SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION (SMPB)

TO THE RESPONDENT:

1. The petitioner has started an action in the above court requesting that nonparental custody be determined.

2. The petition also requests that the Court grant the following relief:

Approve a parenting plan for the dependent children.

Determine support for the dependent children pursuant to the Washington State Support Schedule.

Enter a continuing restraining order.

3. You must respond to this summons by serving a copy of your written response on the person signing this summons and by filing the original with the clerk of the court. If you do not serve your written response within 60 days after the date of the first publication of this summons (60 days after the _____day of _____, 1999), the court may enter an order of default against you, and the court may, without further notice to you, enter a decree and approve or provide for other relief requested in this summons. In the case of a dissolution of marriage, the court will not enter the final decree until at least 90 days after service and filing. If you serve a notice of appearance on the undersigned person, you are entitled to notice before an order of default or a decree may be entered.

4. Your written response to the summons and petition must be on form WPF DR 01.0300, Response to

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1770

10

Petition (Domestic Relations). Information about how to get this form may be obtained by contacting the clerk of the court, by contacting the Office of the Administrator for the Courts at (360) 705-5328, or from the Internet at the Washington State Supreme Court homepage:

http://www.wa.gov/courts/

5. If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your written response, if any, may be served on time.

6. One method of serving a copy of your response on the petitioner is to send it by certified mail with return receipt requested.

This summons is issued pursuant to RCW 4.28.100 and Superior Court Civil Rule 4.1 of the State of Washington.

DATED this 8 day of July, 1999.

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER

By _____
TONI MARIE HOOD, WSBA #26473
Attorney for Petitioner

FILE ORIGINAL OF YOUR RESPONSE THE CLERK OF THE COURT AT:

Thurston County Superior Court
2000 Lakeridge Drive SW
Olympia, Washington 98502
Phone (360) 786-5430

SERVE A COPY OF YOUR RESPONSE ON:

[x] Petitioner's Lawyer

Foster, Foster & Schaller
701 Evergreen Plaza
711 South Capitol Way
Olympia, Washington 98501

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1770

ORIGINAL

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
THURSTON COUNTY WASH.
'99 JUL -8 A9:17
BETTY J. GOULD CLERK
DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF THURSTON
FAMILY & JUVENILE COURT

In re the Custody of: MAYA JOY URSA SMITH, a Minor, HANS STOKER and KATHRYN STOKER, Petitioners, and SELENA U. SMITH, Mother, ANDREW LOREN FORMAN, Alleged Father, Respondents.	**NO.** 99-3-00727-2 DECLARATION FOR SERVICE BY PUBLICATION **(DCLR)**

I DECLARE:

1. Service of summons by publication is justified because the respondent/alleged father cannot be found in this state because:

   the respondent/alleged father has concealed himself to avoid service of summons.

   other:

   The petitioner believes that the respondent/alleged father has no permanent address.

2. The facts supporting the above allegations are:

   The petitioner believes the respondent/alleged father lives on the streets and has no permanent address. The petitioner only has minimal knowledge about places where the respondent/alleged father has been known to "hang out" at in the past. Recently, the petitioner was contacted by respondent/alleged father's mother who asked the petitioner if she knew where the respondent/alleged father was.

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1770

3. The following efforts were made to locate the respondent for personal service or service by mail:

On June 30, 1999, S. Jackson, a qualified process server, attempted to locate the address given for the subject above at 4443 43rd Avenue NE, Olympia, WA and could locate no such address. There was a 6800-7400 block of 43rd NE but nothing in the 4400 block. There was also no such address on 43rd SE, NW, Ct SE, Ct NE, etc.

We were also told that the subject hangs out at Bulldog News and Jova Flow but couldn't find the subject at either location. At this time, ABC Legal Messenger, Inc., is unable to served the subject.

4. I do not know the respondent's address.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed at Olympia, Washington on this 8 day of July, 1999.

TONI MARIE HOOD, WSBA 26473
Attorney for Petitioner

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 753-1711

ORIGINAL

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF THURSTON
FAMILY & JUVENILE COURT

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
THURSTON COUNTY WASH.

'99 JUL -8 A9:17

BETTY J. GOULD CLERK

In re the Custody of:
MAYA JOY URSA SMITH, a Minor,

HANS STOKER and KATHRYN STOKER,

Petitioners,

and

SELENA U. SMITH, Mother,

ANDREW LOREN FORMAN, Alleged Father,

Respondents.

NO. 99-3-00727-2

DEPUTY

ORDER FOR SERVICE OF SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION
(If Required by Local Practice)
(ORPUB)

I. BASIS

The court has considered a motion and declaration requesting that the summons in this matter be served on the respondent by publication.

II. FINDINGS

Based on the representations made in the declaration, the court FINDS that the summons in this matter may be served on the respondent by publication in accordance with RCW 4.28.100.

III. ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the summons in this matter may be served on the respondent by publication in conformity with RCW 4.28.100.

Dated: 7/8/99

Judge/Commissioner

Presented by:

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER

TONI MARIE HOOD, WSBA #26473
Attorney for Petitioner

MICROFILMED

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1770

THURSTON COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

THURSDAY, JULY 8, 1999
FAMILY LAW CALENDAR 9:00 AM

COMMISSIONER CHRIS WICKHAM
SANDY SMITH, CLERK

Underlined Parties Present at Hearing

99-3-00644-6 23.

BUI, GIAU — YOUNG, DERIC NEIL
AND
TRAN, TUAN — TRAN, TUAN PRO SE

TEMPORARY ORDER

10:28 - Court called Tuan Tran or anyone representing him; not present. Court signed: **Temporary Order, Temporary Parenting Plan, Order of Child Support and Child Support Worksheets** as presented by Mr. Young.

99-3-00682-9 24.

CARLSON, HEATH JAMES — RANSOM, CLARK T.
AND
CARLSON, RACHEAL LEE — JORDAN, TERESA LANDREAU

SHOW CAUSE

Hearing struck for non appearance of moving party.

99-3-00723-0 25.

EBERLE, NANCY A — HILL, ROBERT MARTIN
VS
GOODELL, WILLIAM ARTHUR ET AL

SHOW CAUSE

Court signed: **Temporary Order** as presented by Mr. Hill.

99-3-00727-2 26.

STOKER, HANS ET AL — HOOD, TONI MARIE
VS
SMITH, SELENA U ET AL

SHOW CAUSE/RESTRAINING ORDER

Court signed: **Order for Service of Summons by Publication and Agreed Temporary Custody Order** as presented by Ms. Hood.

ORIGINAL

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
THURSTON COUNTY WASH.

'99 JUL -6 P4:27

BETTY J. GOULD CLERK
BY _____ DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF THURSTON
FAMILY & JUVENILE COURT

In re the Custody of: MAYA JOY URSA SMITH, a Minor, HANS STOKER and KATHRYN STOKER, Petitioners, and SELENA U. SMITH, Mother, ANDREW LOREN FORMAN, Alleged Father, Respondents.	No. 99-3-00727-2 DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Chad W. Stoker, do hereby state and declare as follows:

I am a citizen of the United States and of legal age; that on the June 26 1999, I handed a copy of Summons for Nonparental Custody, Petition for Nonparental Custody, Motion and Declaration for Ex Parte Restraining Order and for Order to Show Cause, Notice of Issue, Ex Parte Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause in the above matter to the Respondent, SELENA U. SMITH, at Saint Peters Hospital, Olympia, Washington.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

Signed at Olympia, Washington on this 28th day of June, 1999.

Chad W. Stoker

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1770

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
THURSTON COUNTY, WASH.
99 JUN 25 PM 1:52
BETTY J. GOULD, CLERK
BY
DEPUTY

Prev. Noted ✓

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF THURSTON
FAMILY & JUVENILE COURT

In re the Custody of: MAYA JOY URSA SMITH, a Minor, HANS STOKER and KATHRYN STOKER, Petitioners, and SELENA U. SMITH, Mother, ANDREW LOREN FORMAN, Alleged Father, Respondents.	NO. 99 3 0 727 2 EX PARTE RESTRAINING ORDER/ ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE (NONPARENTAL CUSTODY) **(TPROTSC)** [ ] Clerk's Action Required

VIOLATION OF A RESTRAINING ORDER IN PARAGRAPH 3.4 BELOW WITH ACTUAL KNOWLEDGE OF ITS TERMS IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE UNDER CHAPTER 26.09 RCW, AND WILL SUBJECT THE VIOLATOR TO ARREST. RCW 26.09.060

I. SHOW CAUSE ORDER.

It is ordered that the respondent appear and show cause if any, why the restraints below should not be continued in full force and effect pending final determination of this action and why the other relief, if any, requested in paragraph 1.3 of the motion should not be granted. A hearing has been set for the following date, time and place:

Date: July 8, 1999 Time: 9:00 a.m.

Place: Thurston County Superior Court Room/Department: Family & Juvenile Court

FAILURE TO APPEAR MAY RESULT IN A TEMPORARY ORDER BEING ENTERED BY THE COURT WHICH GRANTS THE RELIEF REQUESTED IN THE MOTION WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE.

II. BASIS

A motion for a temporary restraining order without written or oral notice to the respondent or that party's lawyer has been made to this court.

ORIGINAL

MICROFILMED

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1770

6

III. FINDINGS

The court adopts paragraphs 2.1, 2.2, and 2.4 of the Motion/Declaration for an Ex Parte Restraining Order and for an Order to Show Cause (Form WPF CU 03.0150), as its findings, except as follows:

IV. ORDER

It is ORDERED:

4.1 RESTRAINING ORDER.

Does not apply.

4.2 OTHER RESTRAINING ORDER.

The respondents are restrained from removing the child from the State of Washington.

The respondents are restrained from removing the child from the petitioners' home or child's daycare without supervised by a party agreed to by the petitioners.

4.3 SURRENDER OF DEADLY WEAPONS.

Does not apply.

4.4 EXPIRATION DATE.

This order shall expire on the hearing date set forth above or 14 days from the date of issuance, which ever is sooner, unless otherwise extended by the court.

Dated: June 25, 1999

~~JUDGE~~/COMMISSIONER

Presented by:

Foster, Foster & Schaller

TONI MARIE HOOD, WSBA # 26473
Attorney for Petitioners

MICROFILMED

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1770

MD

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
THURSTON COUNTY, WASH.
99 JUN 25 PM 1:52
BETTY J. GOULD, CLERK
BY 3 DEPUTY 27 2

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
IN AND FOR THURSTON COUNTY
FAMILY AND JUVENILE COURT

HANS and KATHRYN STOKER
Petitioners,

vs.

SELENA SMITH and ANDREW FORMAN
Respondents.

NO.

FAMILY LAW
NOTICE OF ISSUE (NTIS)
Clerk's Action Required

TO: **THURSTON COUNTY CLERK** and to all other parties per list on reverse side;

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an issue of law in this case will be heard on the date below and the Clerk is directed to note this issue on the calendar checked below.

Calendar Date: July 8, 1999 **Day of Week:** Thursday

Bench Copies: Deliver to Court Administrator, Second Floor, Room 277
Filing Deadlines: By 12:00 noon, five court days preceding the scheduled hearing date [LSPR 94.03(b)(5)(A)].
Confirmation: * E-mail to famlaw@co.thurston.wa.us, fax to (360) 709-3286, or call 786-5423 by 10:00 a.m. two court days prior to hearing.
** E-mail to civlaw@co.thurston.wa.us, fax to (360) 753-4033, or call 786-5423 by noon three court days prior to hearing date [LCR 16(b)(2)].
Court Address: 2801 32nd Avenue SW, Olympia, WA 98512.

☐ **State Family Law** (Monday - 9:00 a.m.)
■ **Family Law** (Tuesday/Thursday - 9:00 a.m.)*
☐ **Pro Se Family Law** (Friday - 1:30 p.m.)

☐ Review Hearing ☐ Show Cause/Contempt
☐ Support Issues ☐ Residential Issues
☐ Temporary Order ☐ GAL Appt/Report
☐ Present Order ☐ Bench Warrant
☐ Default ☐ Adequate Cause
☐ Paternity ☐ Mediation
■ Restraining Order
☐ Other _____

Motion Calendar (Friday - 1:30 p.m.)**

☐ Motion to Revise ☐ Status Conference
☐ Other _____

I certify that on June __ 1999, I ☐deposited in the United States mail, ■ delivered through a legal messenger service, ☐ personally delivered, a copy of this document to the attorney(s) of record for ☐Petitioner ■Respondent.

_____ for ____
Attorney for ■Petitioner ☐ Respondent

☐ **Final Dissolution**
(with attorney/Tuesday - 8:30 a.m.)
☐ **Pro Se Final Dissolution**
(without attorney/Friday - 8:30 a.m.)

Domestic Violence (Wednesday - 9:00 a.m.)
☐ Protection Order ☐ Review

Adoption (Monday - 9:00 a.m.)
☐ Present Decree ☐ Other_____

PRESENTING PARTY:
Signed: _____
Type name: TONI MARIE HOOD
WSBA #: 26473
Address: 711 South Capitol Way, Suite 701
Olympia, WA 98501
Attorney for: Petitioners
Phone: (360) 943-1770
Date: _____

6

MD

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
THURSTON COUNTY, WASH.
99 JUN 25 PM 1:52
BETTY J. GOULD, CLERK
BY [illegible] DEPUTY

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THURSTON COUNTY FAMILY AND JUVENILE COURT

HANS and KATHRYN STOKER
Petitioners,

vs.

SELENA SMITH and ANDREW FORMAN
Respondents.

NO. 99 3 00277 2

FAMILY LAW NOTICE OF ISSUE (NTIS) Clerk's Action Required

TO: **THURSTON COUNTY CLERK** and to all other parties per list on reverse side;

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an issue of law in this case will be heard on the date below and the Clerk is directed to note this issue on the calendar checked below.

Calendar Date: July 8, 1999 **Day of Week:** Thursday

Bench Copies: Deliver to Court Administrator, Second Floor, Room 277
Filing Deadlines: By 12:00 noon, five court days preceding the scheduled hearing date [LSPR 94.03(b)(5)(A)].
Confirmation: * E-mail to famlaw@co.thurston.wa.us, fax to (360) 709-3286, or call 786-5423 by 10:00 a.m. two court days prior to hearing.
** E-mail to civlaw@co.thurston.wa.us, fax to (360) 753-4033, or call 786-5423 by noon three court days prior to hearing date [LCR 56(f)(2)].
Court Address: 2801 32nd Avenue SW, Olympia, WA 98512.

☐ **State Family Law** (Monday - 9:00 a.m.)
■ **Family Law** (Tuesday/Thursday - 9:00 a.m.)*
☐ **Pro Se Family Law** (Friday - 1:30 p.m.)

☐ Review Hearing ☐ Show Cause/Contempt
☐ Support Issues ☐ Residential Issues
☐ Temporary Order ☐ GAL Appt/Report
☐ Present Order ☐ Bench Warrant
☐ Default ☐ Adequate Cause
☐ Paternity ☐ Mediation
■ Restraining Order
☐ Other _____

Motion Calendar (Friday - 1:30 p.m.)**

☐ Motion to Revise ☐ Status Conference
☐ Other _____

I certify that on June __ 1999, I ☐deposited in the United States mail, ■ delivered through a legal messenger service, ☐ personally delivered, a copy of this document to the attorney(s) of record for ☐Petitioner ■Respondent.

_____ for
Attorney for ■Petitioner ☐ Respondent

☐ **Final Dissolution**
(with attorney/Tuesday - 8:30 a.m.)
☐ **Pro Se Final Dissolution**
(without attorney/Friday - 8:30 a.m.)

Domestic Violence (Wednesday - 9:00 a.m.)
☐ Protection Order ☐ Review

Adoption (Monday - 9:00 a.m.)
☐ Present Decree ☐ Other_____

PRESENTING PARTY:
Signed: [signature]
Type name: TONI MARIE HOOD
WSBA #: 26473
Address: 711 South Capitol Way, Suite 701
Olympia, WA 98501
Attorney for: Petitioners
Phone: (360) 943-1770
Date _____

6

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
THURSTON COUNTY, WASH.
99 JUN 25 PM 1:52
BETTY M. GOULD, CLERK
BY
DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF THURSTON
FAMILY & JUVENILE COURT

In re the Custody of: MAYA JOY URSA SMITH, a Minor, HANS STOKER and KATHRYN STOKER, Petitioners, and SELENA U. SMITH, Mother, ANDREW LOREN FORMAN, Alleged Father, Respondents.	NO. 99 3 00727 2 MOTION/DECLARATION FOR EX PARTE RESTRAINING ORDER AND FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE (NONPARENTAL CUSTODY) **(MTAF)**

ORIGINAL

I. MOTION

Based upon the reasons set forth in the declaration below, the undersigned moves the court for a temporary order and order to show cause.

1.1 EX PARTE RESTRAINING ORDER.

A temporary restraining order should be granted without written or oral notice to the other party or the other party's lawyer because immediate and irreparable injury, loss, or damage will result before the other party or the other party's lawyer can be heard in opposition. This order should restrain:

Selena Ursa Smith and/or Andrew Forman from removing the child from the State of Washington.

OTHER:

Selena Ursa Smith and/or Andrew Forman from removing the child from the petitioners' home or child's daycare without supervision by a party agreed to by the petitioners.

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943 1770

4

Selena Ursa Smith and Andrew Forman should be required to appear and show cause why these restraints should not be continued in full force and effect pending final determination of this action.

1.2 SURRENDER OF DEADLY WEAPONS.

Does not apply.

1.3 OTHER TEMPORARY RELIEF.

Selena Ursa Smith and Andrew Forman also be required to appear and show cause why the court should not enter a temporary order which:

grants the petitioner custody of Maya Joy Ursa Smith and gives reasonable supervised visitation to Selena Smith

Dated: 6-25-99

TONI MARIE HOOD, WSBA # 26473
Attorney for Petitioners

II. DECLARATION

2.1 INJURY TO BE PREVENTED.

The ex parte restraining order requested in paragraph 1.1 above is to prevent the following injury (define the injury):

See Paragraph 2.2 below.

2.2 REASONS WHY THE INJURY MAY BE IRREPARABLE.

This injury may be irreparable because:

I, Kathryn Stoker, am the grandmother of Maya Smith. Maya's mother, Selena, my daughter, voluntarily admitted herself to St. Peter's Psychiatric Unit last Sunday, June 20, 1999. My daughter has suffered from depression and disorganized thinking. Her biological father is schizophrenic and they are currently trying to determine if Selena also suffers from schizophrenia. I believe she is a good mother when she is stable and taking her medication, however, I am very concerned about her when she is not.

Since Selena graduated from high school, she has moved in and out of our house. We have continually

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-7770

provided financial and emotional support for Selena and Maya. Immediately after Maya was born, Selena and Maya lived with us. Maya has lived with us for the majority of her life. Except for one month when Selena took Maya to Hawaii and approximately three weeks when she took the child to California, Maya has been with us. Both times when Selena left the state with Maya we ended up buying her airplane tickets so that she could return to Washington State with the baby.

Approximately a week before she admitted herself to the hospital, Selena was set up with an apartment through the housing authority. I do not know the exact address of that apartment but I do have keys to the apartment.

I am filing this petition because I am concerned that Selena will leave the hospital and not continue to take her medication and that if she leaves with the baby in an unstable state that this could put the baby at risk. As I indicated earlier, I believe Selena is a good mother when she is stable and on medication, however, right now Selena needs some time to get healthy and get her condition properly diagnosed and treated. The day she was admitted to the hospital, she was very distressed, highly agitated and was very concerned about her daughter. Once the nurses told her that Maya was with me, she calmed down. I have informed Selena that I am going to be filing this petitioner in order to protect the baby. At the time that I explained this to her, she agreed to having the baby stay with me. I do not believe however at this time that she would be able to understand the legal documents in order to sign them herself.

It is believed that the baby's father is Andrew Forman, however, paternity has never been established. Mr. Forman lives on the street and has no permanent address or place of employment. He has never shown any interest in the child and has never paid support.

I believe it is in the best interest of the child to be placed with me, at least until Selena can stabilize her life and address her mental illness. Selena has a good relationship with us and I have no objection to having Selena coming over to the house once she is released from the hospital and spending as much time as she wants with the baby in my home. I do believe that for now that visitation should be supervised.

I am asking the court for an Ex Parte Restraining Order because I do not know when my daughter will be released from the hospital or whether she will take her medication or what follow up treatment will be needed. I do not know whether she will be willing to continue to stay there on a volunteer basis or if she will change her mind and attempt to take the child. Because of her current unstable mental condition, I believe that this could put the child at serious risk.

2.3 CLEAR AND CONVINCING REASONS WHY WEAPONS SHOULD BE SURRENDERED.

Does not apply.

2.4 REASONS FOR A TEMPORARY ORDER.

It is necessary that the court issue a temporary order with the relief requested in paragraph 1.3 above for the following reasons:

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1770

Because it is unknown at this point how Selena will be diagnosed and whether or not she will continue to participate in her own treatment it is necessary for the court to enter a temporary order until Selena's condition stabilizes. Mr. Forman has never been involved or shown any desire to be involved with the child's life and has no permanent address or means of support.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed at Olympia, Washington on this 25 day of June, 1999.

Kathryn Stoker
KATHRYN STOKER, Petitioner

III. EFFORTS TO GIVE OTHER PARTY NOTICE.

The following efforts have been made to give the other party or other party's lawyer notice and the following reasons exist why notice should not be required:

The respondent, Selena Smith, is in St. Peter Hospital Psychiatric Unit. She was informed by the petitioner that we would be filing a petition for nonparental custody. At that time, she agreed that the child should be in her mother's custody at least temporarily. It is unclear to the petitioner if the respondent is currently capable of signing or understanding any legal documents that would be presented to her at this time. The hope is that the respondent will be assisted by new medication provided by the hospital. However, she may still be unable to understand the full ramifications of the legal proceeding at the hearing for show cause. If that is the case, I will be asking the court to readdress the issue of continuing the ex parte restraining order at that time.

As indicated above, the exact whereabouts of the respondent, Andrew Forman, are unknown at this time.

Dated: 6-25-99

Toni Marie Hood
TONI MARIE HOOD, WSBA #26473
Attorney for Petitioners

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1770

ORIGINAL

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
THURSTON COUNTY, WASH.
99 JUN 25 PM 1:52
BETTY M. GOULD, CLERK
BY _____ DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF THURSTON
FAMILY & JUVENILE COURT

In re the Custody of:
MAYA JOY URSA SMITH, a Minor,

HANS STOKER and KATHRYN STOKER,

Petitioners,

and

SELENA U. SMITH, Mother,

ANDREW LOREN FORMAN, Alleged Father,
Respondents.

NO. 99 3 00727 2

NONPARENTAL CUSTODY PETITION
(PTCUS)

I. BASIS

1.1 IDENTIFICATION OF PETITIONER(S).

Name	[1]Kathryn Stoker (Mother of Respondent Smith)	[2]Hans Stoker (Stepparent of Respondent Smith)
Date of Birth	7/21/49	
Driver's License or Identicard (# and State)		
Home Address	2735 84th Ct NE Olympia, WA 98506	2735 84th Ct NE Olympia, WA 98506

1.2 IDENTIFICATION OF RESPONDENT(S).

Name	[1]Selena Ursa Smith	[2]Andrew Loren Forman
Date of Birth	6/11/76	Unknown
Driver's License or Identicard (# and State)		Unknown
Home Address	2735 84th Ct NE Olympia, WA 98506 (Currently at St. Peter Hospital)	Unknown

1.3 CHILDREN FOR WHOM CUSTODY IS SOUGHT.

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1770

3

Name	1 ~~Maya Joy Ursa Smith~~	2
Date of Birth	2/28/98	
Social Security No.		
Home Address	2735 84th Ct NE Olympia, WA 98506	

1.4 JURISDICTION.

This court has jurisdiction over this proceeding for the reasons below.

This state is the home state of the child because the child lived in Washington with a parent or a person acting as a parent for at least six consecutive months immediately preceding the commencement of this proceeding and any absences from Washington have been only temporary.

1.5 UNIFORM CHILD CUSTODY JURISDICTION ACT INFORMATION.

Name of of Child	Mother's Name	Father's Name
Maya Joy Ursa Smith	Selena Ursa Smith	Andrew Loren Forman

The child permanently resides in this county or can be found in this county.

During the last five years, the child have lived in no place other than the State of Washington and with no person other than the petitioner or the respondent.

Claims to custody or visitation.

The petitioner does not know of any person other than the respondent who has physical custody of, or claims to have custody or visitation rights to, the child.

Other legal proceedings concerning the children.

The petitioner has not participated in, and is not aware of, any other legal proceedings concerning the child, including any paternity, dependency or custody proceedings.

1.6 VISITATION.

Reasonable visitation rights should be given to Selena Ursa Smith. However, all visitation should be supervised at this time. No visitation right should be granted to respondent Forman.

1.7 CHILD SUPPORT.

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1771

Support for the dependent child should be set pursuant to the Washington State Child Support Schedule.

1.8 HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE.

Either or both parents should be required to maintain or provide health insurance coverage consistent with RCW 26.10.060.

1.9 CONTINUING RESTRAINING ORDER.

Selena Ursa Smith should be restrained from removing the child from the State of Washington.

1.10 THIS PETITION IS BEING FILED BECAUSE:

The child is not in the physical custody of one of the parents and neither parent is a suitable custodian for the child.

1.11 BEST INTEREST OF THE CHILD.

The requests made in this petition are in the best interests of the child for the reasons below:

See Motion and Declaration for Ex Parte Restraining Order.

II. RELIEF REQUESTED

The petitioner REQUESTS that the Court enter an order giving petitioner custody of the children listed in paragraph 1.3 of this petition and requiring either or both parents to maintain or provide health insurance coverage for the children consistent with RCW 26.10.060. The petitioner also REQUESTS the relief described below.

Order reasonable visitation pursuant to paragraph 1.6.

Enter a continuing restraining order.

Dated: _____

_____
TONI MARIE HOOD, WSBA # 26473
Attorney for Petitioners

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1770

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed at Olympia, Washington on this 25 day of June, 1999.

Kathryn Stoker

KATHRYN STOKER, Petitioner

HANS STOKER, Petitioner

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1770

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
THURSTON COUNTY, WASH.
99 JUN 25 PM 1:52
BETTY J. GOULD, CLERK
BY _____ DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY OF THURSTON
FAMILY & JUVENILE COURT

In re the Custody of: MAYA JOY URSA SMITH, a Minor, HANS STOKER and KATHRYN STOKER, Petitioners, and SELENA U. SMITH, Mother, ANDREW LOREN FORMAN, Alleged Father, Respondents.	99 3 00727 2 NO. SUMMONS FOR NONPARENTAL CUSTODY PROCEEDING (SM)

TO THE ABOVE LISTED RESPONDENTS

1. An action has been started against you in the above court requesting that the petitioner be granted custody of Maya Joy Ursa Smith.

   Additional requests, if any, are stated in the petition, a copy of which is served upon you with this summons.

2. You must respond to this summons and petition by filing a written answer with the clerk of the court and by serving a copy of your answer on the person signing this summons. You must also complete the Washington Child Support Schedule Worksheets served with this summons. The completed worksheets must be filed and served with your written answer.

3. Your written response to the summons and petition must be on form WPF CU 01.0300, Response to Nonparental Custody Petition. Information about how to get this form may be obtained by contacting the clerk of the court, by contacting the Office of the Administrator for the Courts at (360) 705-5328, or from the Internet at the Washington State Supreme Court homepage:

   http://www.wa.gov/courts/

ORIGINAL

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1770

2

4. If you do not file and serve your written answer within 20 days (60 days if you are served outside of the State of Washington) after the date this summons was served on you, exclusive of the date of service, the court may, without further notice to you, enter a default judgment against you ordering the relief requested in the petition. If you serve a notice of appearance on the undersigned person, you are entitled to notice before an order of default may be entered.

5. You may demand that the other party file this action with the court. If you do so, the demand must be in writing and must be served upon the person signing this summons. Within 14 days after you serve the demand, the other party must file this action with the court, or the service of this summons and petition will be void.

6. If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your written answer, if any, may be served on time. Copies of these papers have not been served upon your attorney.

7. One method of serving your written answer and completed worksheets is to send them by certified mail with return receipt requested.

This summons is issued pursuant to Superior Court Civil Rule 4.1 of the State of Washington.

Dated: 6-25-99

TONI MARIE HOOD, WSBA #26473
Attorney for Petitioners

FILE ORIGINAL OF YOUR RESPONSE WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT AT:

Thurston County Superior Court
2000 Lakeridge Drive SW
Olympia, Washington 98502
Phone (360) 786-5430

SERVE A COPY OF YOUR RESPONSE ON:

[x] Petitioner's Lawyer

Foster, Foster & Schaller
701 Evergreen Plaza
711 South Capitol Way
Olympia, Washington 98501

FOSTER, FOSTER & SCHALLER
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS
701 EVERGREEN PLAZA
711 SOUTH CAPITOL WAY
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98501
(360) 943-1770

FILED

THURSTON COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
BETTY J GOULD
THURSTON COUNTY CLERK
OLYMPIA WA

99-3-00727-2

Rcpt. Date	Acct. Date	Time
06/25/1999	06/28/1999	01:55 PM

Receipt/Item #	Tran-Code	Docket-Code
1999-02-06044/01	1100	$FFR

Cashier: GJB

Paid By: FOSTER, FOSTER
Transaction Amount: $110.00

Thurston **COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT**

C E INFORMATION COVER SHEET

Case Number 99 3 00727 2 **Case Title** Staker v Smith/Forman

Attorney Name Toni M Hood **Bar Membership Number** 26473

Please check the one category that best describes this case for indexing purposes. Accurate case indexing not only saves time in docketing new cases, but also helps in forecasting needed judicial resources. Cause of action definitions are listed on the back of this form. Thank you for your cooperation.

APPEAL/REVIEW
- ___ Administrative Law Review (ALR 2)
- ___ Civil, Non-Traffic (LCA 2)
- ___ Civil, Traffic (LCI 2)

CONTRACT/COMMERCIAL
- ___ Breach of Contract (COM 2)
- ___ Commercial Contract (COM 2)
- ___ Commercial Non-Contract (COL 2)
- ___ Third Party Collection (COL 2)

DOMESTIC RELATIONS
- ___ Annulment/Invalidity (INV 3)
- X Child Custody (CUS 3)
- ___ Dissolution with Children (DIC 3)
- ___ Dissolution with no Children (DIN 3)
- ___ Foreign Judgment (FJU 3)
- ___ Legal Separation (SEP 3)
- ___ Mandatory Wage Assignment (MWA 3)
- ___ Modification (MOD 3)
- ___ Out-of-State Custody (OSC 3)
- ___ Reciprocal, Respondent in County (RIC 3)
- ___ Reciprocal, Respondent Out of County (ROC 3)

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE/ANTI-HARASSMENT
- ___ Civil Harassment (HAR 2)
- ___ Domestic Violence (DVP 2)

JUDGMENT
- ___ Abstract Only (ABJ 2)
- ___ Foreign Judgment (FJU 2)
- ___ Judgment, Another County (ABJ 2)
- ___ Judgment, Another State (FJU 2)
- ___ Tax Warrant (TAX 2)
- ___ Transcript of Judgment (TRJ 2)

MENTAL ILLNESS
- ___ Alcoholic Treatment (ALT 6)
- ___ Mental Illness - Adult (MI 6)
- ___ Mental Illness - Juvenile (MIJ 6)

OTHER COMPLAINT/PETITION
- ___ Action to Compel/Confirm Private Binding Arbitration (MSC 2)
- ___ Deposit of Surplus Funds (MSC 2)
- ___ Injunction (INJ 2)
- ___ Interpleader (MSC 2)
- ___ Minor Settlement (No guardianship) (MST 2)
- ___ Subpoenas (MSC 2)

ADOPTION/PATERNITY
- ___ Adoption (ADP 5)
- ___ Initial Pre-Placement Report (PPR 5)
- ___ Paternity (PAT 5)
- ___ Paternity/URESA (PUR 5)
- ___ Relinquishment (REL 5)
- ___ (Title 26) Termination of Parent-Child Relationship (TER 5)

PROBATE/GUARDIANSHIP
- ___ Absentee (ABS 4)
- ___ Disclaimer (DSC 4)
- ___ Estate (EST 4)
- ___ Foreign Will (FNW 4)
- ___ Guardianship (GDN 4)
- ___ Guardianship/Estate (G/E 4)
- ___ Limited Guardianship (LGD 4)
- ___ Minor Settlement (With guardianship) (MST 4)
- ___ Will Only (WLL 4)

PROPERTY RIGHTS
- ___ Condemnation (CON 2)
- ___ Foreclosure (FOR 2)
- ___ Quiet Title (QTI 2)
- ___ Unlawful Detainer (UND 2)

TORT, MEDICAL MALPRACTICE
- ___ Hospital (MED 2)
- ___ Medical Doctor (MED 2)
- ___ Other Health Care Professional (MED 2)

TORT, MOTOR VEHICLE
- ___ Death (TMV 2)
- ___ Non-Death Injuries (TMV 2)
- ___ Property Damage Only (TMV 2)

TORT, NON-MOTOR VEHICLE
- ___ Asbestos (PIN 2)
- ___ Other Malpractice (MAL 2)
- ___ Personal Injury (PIN 2)
- ___ Products Liability (TTO 2)
- ___ Property Damage (PRP 2)
- ___ Wrongful Death (WDE 2)

WRIT
- ___ Habeas Corpus (WHC 2)
- ___ Mandamus (WRM 2)
- ___ Restitution (WRR 2)
- ___ Review (WRV 2)

IF YOU CANNOT DETERMINE THE APPROPRIATE CATEGORY, PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CAUSE OF ACTION BELOW.